

**biblioteki
publiczne
w liczbach**

**public
libraries
in figures**



**Biblioteka Narodowa
Instytut Książki i Czytelnictwa**

**Biblioteka Narodowa
Instytut Książki i Czytelnictwa
The National Library
The Books and Readers Institute**

**biblioteki
publiczne
w liczbach
2007**

**public
libraries
in figures
2007**

**Biblioteka Narodowa
Warszawa 2008**

Opracował zespół w Pracowni Bibliotekoznawstwa
Instytutu Książki i Czytelnictwa w składzie:
MAŁGORZATA JEZIERSKA
BARBARA BUDYŃSKA
DOMINIKA STĘPNIEWSKA

Konsultacja:
JERZY MAJ

Oprogramowanie komputerowe:
ANNA HALLAY

Komplet danych z Głównego Urzędu Statystycznego o działalności bibliotek oraz stanie ludności otrzymano w lipcu 2008 r.
Opracowanie zakończono w listopadzie 2008 r.

ISBN 978-83-7009-697-7
ISSN 0137-2726

Biblioteka Narodowa • Warszawa 2008
Opracowanie i druk: Wydawnictwo BN
al. Niepodległości 213, 02-086 Warszawa

PUBLIC LIBRARIES IN 2007

Discussion of selected aspects

INTRODUCTION

“Public Libraries in Figures” 2007 is the 37th yearbook of the series. It provides data illustrating the state and present condition of Poland’s public libraries, and also the last year’s impact of library-related and other far-reaching changes on public libraries. Compared to the previous year, the 2007 yearbook’s structure, both in the descriptive and the tabular part, has not been altered. The changes were last introduced in 2004 when the formal/legal and automation sections were added. Also since 2004 the yearbook has provided information on the size and use of electronic holdings, number of libraries in buildings adapted for physically challenged users, subscription spending and tables containing figures on collection sizes, acquisitions and loans.

Since 2006 we have added an extended commentary of some year-specific developments affecting public libraries. Compared to previous years, in the commentary for 2007 we extended information on the formal/legal and organizational status of public libraries.

THE CURRENT STATE AND STRUCTURE OF THE PUBLIC LIBRARY NETWORK

At the end of 2007, according to the Central Statistical Office GUS data, the total number of public libraries in Poland was 8, 489, including 2, 625 libraries and 5, 864 library branches. Compared to 2006 the public library network contracted by 53 entities (i. e. by 0.6%). In the recent five years the average network reduction rate has oscillated around the level of 0.7% and has been lower nearly by half than in the first decade after the municipal libraries were turned over to local governments (1.3%). The number of public libraries went down by 1, 824 entities, i. e. a decrease of 17.7% from 1989. In 2007 a tendency persisted of a larger decline in the number of library entities in rural areas than in urban areas (in rural areas the number went down by 40 libraries, i.e. a 0.7%

decline; and in urban areas by 13 libraries, i. e. a nearly 0.5% decline). Rural libraries are still two thirds of all library entities in Poland (66.2%).

The network reduction has a direct impact on the need to increase service areas, i. e. the number of inhabitants per one library or library branch, which may deteriorate library user access. In 2007 the index reached 4, 490 inhabitants per one library entity (4, 463 in 2006).

Limited user access is also caused by the fact that some libraries or library branches suspended regular activity. Such libraries, though they formally did not close, made up 1 % of the entire public library network.

Another important aspect is the formal/legal and organizational status of public libraries. Merging public libraries and their branches with other institutions is by no means a new development. The record of such mergers dates back to 1960s and 1970s, thus way before the sociopolitical transformation of the 1990s. The intensity of the merging tendency varied over time, yet it rose visibly after public libraries were turned over to local governments after 1989. In 2007 the number of libraries and branches merged with other institutions was 1, 897, i. e. a decrease by 105 (5.2%) from 2006 (2, 002), and their percentage share in the total number dropped from 23.4% in 2006 to 22.3% in 2007. Despite the statutory amendment that came into force six years ago which prohibited merging libraries with other institutions, their number in 2007 still exceeded 1/5 of the network, and nearly 83% out of this figure were rural libraries.

AUTOMATION

Public library automation is a dynamic process which involves changes in library organization and management, and library core activity in order to introduce new procedures and services. Development of electronic collections, digitalization, information management are priority tasks in an increasing number of public libraries.

In 2007, according to the GUS data, 5, 076 libraries and library branches in Poland (59.8%) used 24, 636 PCs, whereas in 2006 the number of such entities reached 4, 456 (52.2%) with 21, 118 computers. Compared to 2006, the number of libraries making use of computers went up in 2007 by 620, i. e. 13.9%, and the number of computers used rose by 3, 518 (16.7%). However, the growth dynamics of these indices clearly waned: by comparison in 2006 the increase from 2005 was 20.8% and 23.5% respectively, whereas in 2005 the increase from 2004 was 23.6% and 33%.

Most computers are installed in libraries – in 91.6% of the total number, whereas in only 45.6% of library branches. Over 3/4 (75.8%)

of urban entities use computers, whereas in rural areas over a half (51.6%).

On the average one automated library entity in 2007 owned 4.9 machines (4.7 in 2006), and the general average per one library entity was 2.9 computers (2.5 in 2006). In rural areas the average number of computers per one automated library entity was 2.9 (just as in 2006), and the average number of computers per one library entity was 1.5 (1.3 in 2006). In urban areas the figures were respectively 7.5 and 5.7 (7.0 and 4.9 in 2006).

Just as in 2006, in 2007 the growth rate of the number of computerized libraries was higher in rural areas than in urban areas. Nevertheless, still many rural areas are largely neglected in this respect. In percentage terms the number of computerized libraries rose higher in rural areas (a 18.3% rise) than in urban areas (a 8.6% rise) (in 2006: 25.4% and 15.7% respectively). Also, the growth rate from the hardware already owned in 2006 is higher in rural libraries (a 17.2% rise) than in urban libraries (a 16.4% rise) (in 2006: 31.3% and 19.9% respectively). The level of computerization in libraries in rural areas has improved systematically. In 2007 2, 900 rural libraries (i. e. 51.6%) used 8, 355 computers.

In 2007 over a half of library computers was accessible to library users (13, 270 out of the total number of 24, 636, i. e. 53.9%); in rural libraries a decisive majority – 6, 153 out of 8, 355, i. e. 73.6%. The Internet access is becoming ever more popular in public libraries. In total, 87.3% computers were connected to the Internet in 2007, out of which 11, 998 (55.8%) were accessible to library users; in rural areas 89.2% and 77.4% respectively. These data indicate that a lower level of computer saturation in rural areas causes that the same computers are used by library staff in their library/bibliographic work and by library users. Yet, most of the hardware that rural libraries obtain from the IKONKA programme is meant solely for user Internet access. It seems that providing the Internet access is the leading computerized service offered by rural libraries.

The 2007 percentage share of computers used in library/bibliographic work indicated a slight drop to 40.6% (41.3% in 2006). However, considering the absolute data, in 2007 the number of computers used in library/bibliographic work rose from 8, 722 to 9, 999 (i. e. by 1, 277); the number of machines used in acquisitions, cataloguing and bibliographic work grew from 5, 382 in 2006 to 6, 072 in 2007 (a nearly 13% growth), the number of cataloguing terminals went up from 2, 092 to 2, 421, i. e. by 15.8%). The number of computers to register users and access went up to 1, 647 (a 21.2% rise). These data are probably due

to the fact that a growing part of cataloguing is done outside bottom-level library entities.

It appears that the disproportion between computer-equipped and automated libraries has grown. In 2007 out of 5, 076 computer-equipped libraries and library branches, 2, 991 (i. e. 58.9%) used library software (59% in 2006, 59.8% in 2005); more specifically, in urban areas 1, 667 (76.6%) out of 2, 176, and in rural areas 1, 324 (45.7%) out of 2, 900. The disproportion has particularly deepened in rural areas. In 2006 library software was installed in 74.5% urban libraries, and in 46.4% rural libraries.

The data enable us only to present the hardware resources in libraries, yet we cannot evaluate their technological quality and their use, including their use in library procedures and user services.

LIBRARY POINTS

The number of active library points in 2007 totalled 1, 618, most of them in rural areas (65.7%). It went down by another 52 units (i. e. 3.1%) from 2006: 15 urban units (2.6%) and 37 rural units (3.4%) closed.

Their services were used by 114, 690 persons (a decline of 2.5%) who borrowed 1, 355, 306 volumes (a decline of 6.6%). The mean number of users per one library point was 71 (70 – a year earlier), and the number of borrowings per one point averaged 838 (869 – in 2006). One statistical user borrowed nearly 12 books. Library points recorded a clear decline in borrowings in the rare/special collections' category. In 2007 they accounted for 4.7% of the total figure; in 2006 – 7.2%; in 2005 – 8.7%; in 2004 – 9.2%.

Marginalization of library points has become a fact and it is hard to expect any reversal of this trend. In 2007 the services of library points were used by 1.7% of all public library users (no change from the year before), however their social reach declined to 0.30% of Poland's population (0.31% in 2006).

HOLDINGS

The size of public libraries' collections in 2007 is very close to the 2006 level. According to the end of 2007 GUS data the public libraries' collections reached 135, 558, 166 volumes of books and serials (excluding rare/special collections), which equals a minor increase of 0.04% from 2006. It is the fourth year in a row of a rising trend in collection development (following a systematic decline that lasted since 1996). However, the previous two years saw a more sizeable increase

(in 2006 – nearly 0.3%, in 2005 – 0.5%). Like in 2006, the collections grew only in urban libraries which gained 66, 442 volumes (a rise of 0.1%). Rural libraries recorded a decline by 7, 168 volumes (i. e. 0.01%). The nationwide index of the number of volumes per 100 inhabitants went up from 355 in 2006 to 356 in 2007.

The collection size per one library or branch averaged 15, 969 volumes (in urban areas - 27, 814 vols.; in rural areas – 9, 915 vols.). The average collection per one library numbered 26, 676 vols., and per one library branch – 11, 175 vols. (in urban areas: 48, 495 and 17, 441; in rural areas: 14, 116 and 8, 144 respectively).

In 2007 the traffic in collection resources was balanced, i. e. the acquisitions more or less equalled withdrawals (in 2004-2006 acquisitions prevailed). The acquisitions in public libraries in 2007, coming from various sources (purchases, gifts, exchange, deposits etc.), totalled 4, 538, 508 vols. (0.6% less than a year before). The 2007 data indicate a welcome change in the structure of acquisitions. The purchase of 3, 618, 128 volumes from local government funds and the Ministry of Culture grant accounted for 79.7% of all acquisitions (as compared to 76.6% in 2006). The rest of the incoming publications are books taken over from other libraries (3.6%; as compared to 6% in 2006) and those purchased from other funds (16.7%; as compared to 17.4% in 2006). In percentage terms the share of new acquisitions in library collections was 3.4% (the share of purchases – 2.7%); in urban areas – 3.7 and 2.8; in rural areas – 2.9 and 2.6 respectively.

Withdrawals (losses/disposal) in public libraries in 2007 covered 3.3% of the collections, i. e. slightly more than a year and two years before (3% in 2006; 2.9% in 2005). Their level showed an increase of 11.3% and reached 4, 513, 456 volumes. The relative level of withdrawals also went up to 11.8 volumes per 100 inhabitants (in 2006 – 10.6 volumes). It is also higher in urban areas – 12.4 volumes per 100 inhabitants, than in rural areas – 11.0 volumes (in 2006 – 11.0 and 10.1 volumes respectively).

The ministerial grant for new titles and the fact that it has been linked with the contribution of local government funds undoubtedly helped to reverse the previously negative trend in new titles purchases and to maintain their stable level. The annual ministerial grant in 2007 totalled 28.5 million PLZ (in 2006 likewise); in 2005 – 30 million PLZ; in 2004 – 10 million PLZ. It was the fourth year in a row of the rising new titles purchase index. In 2007 purchases reached 3, 618, 128 volumes, i. e. 3.4% more than a year before. The first two years of the grant were marked by notable rises: in 2004 – a rise of 29.1%, in 2005 – a rise of 36.2%, yet in 2006 – only 1.8%. In 2007 the total spending on new

books for public libraries totalled 72, 557, 139 PLZ, i. e. 4.1% more than a year before; the spending level in the years 2006 – 2005 was in the order of 69 million PLZ.

In two recent years the relative purchase index defined as the number of purchased books per 100 inhabitants maintained the level of 9 volumes. In 2007 it went up to 9.5 volumes per 100 inhabitants (9.2 in 2006, 9.0 in 2005) and was the best result since 1991. However, it is still slightly over a half of the lowest standard established by the Ministry of Culture in 1980 and fulfilled with a wide margin until 1990. The tendency has been again observed of decreasing disproportion between rural and urban libraries in new book purchases. In 2006 and 2005 rural areas showed higher relative book purchase indices than urban areas. The same trend was confirmed in 2007.

The book purchase spending per one inhabitant grew slowly from 1.83 PLZ in 2006 to 1.90 PLZ in 2007, and the spending per one library user went up from 9.93 PLZ to 10.80 PLZ. The mean price of one book purchased in 2007 reached 20.05 PLZ (19.92 in 2006). The mean price of one book purchased for rural libraries was lower than in urban areas and reached 18.22 PLZ (in urban areas it went up to 21.24 PLZ).

AUDIOVISUAL AND ELECTRONIC HOLDINGS

Collections of audiovisual and electronic materials are built mainly by urban libraries (97.6% of audiovisual and 90.6% of electronic materials were registered in urban areas). The end of 2007 data indicated only 2, 581, 164 registered units, which accounted unchangeably for only 1.8% of the total public library resources (audiovisual materials – 1.8%, electronic documents – 0.07%). The size of both the audiovisual and electronic holdings in 2007 rose to 2, 484, 941 and 96, 223 registered units respectively (a rise of 1.5% and 15.5%). Over the previous years the data showed instability of the audiovisual collections' sizes, i. e. the years of declining collections (2001, 2003, 2006) intertwined with years of growth. It appears that the electronic holdings grew systematically, though less dynamically in 2007 (15.5%), as compared to 29.7% in 2006 and 42.5% in 2005.

The loans of audiovisual materials in public libraries dropped to 2, 742, 676 registered units (a decline of 9.5%, compared to a decline of 10.4% in 2006). The on-site access, on the other hand, in this category of holdings plunged to 146, 333 registered units (a decline of 23.6%, as compared to a rise of 7.3% in 2006).

The 2007 figures for electronic documents showed the same trend as in 2006, i. e. both years' growth levels in the number of registered

loans were comparable (36.7% in 2007, and 40.7% in 2006). The on-site access continued to decrease, though the decline was not as sharp as in 2006 (a decline of 0.4% as compared to a decline of 6.6% in 2006). The registered loans went up to 174, 376 units (an increase by 46, 853 units), and on-site access dropped to 1, 087, 532 units (a drop by 4, 395 units). Analyzed together, on-site access and loans of audiovisual materials in 2007 declined from the previous year by 10.3% (in urban areas – a decline of 10.6%, in rural areas – a rise of 2.5%). In the category of electronic documents the figure increased by 3.5% (in urban areas – a rise of 5.2%, in rural areas – a decline of 2.0%).

USERS

Like in the previous three years, the 2007 data show declining numbers of persons interested in using the services of public libraries, their branches or library points. In the discussed year nearly every sixth inhabitant of Poland was a registered user of public libraries (17.6% of the entire population), including every fifth town inhabitant (21.2%) and every eighth inhabitant of rural areas (12%).

The declining number of public library users was recorded for the first time in 1992. In 2004 the figures showed a decline of 0.9% from 2003, and the next year the fall reached already 2.3%. In 2006 and 2007 public libraries lost 4.3% of users per annum. In 2007 the number of registered users went down to 6, 719, 075, i. e. 304, 184 less than in 2006. Like a year before, the figures indicate a higher decline in urban areas (by 239, 315, i. e. 4.6% compared to the previous year's 4.8%) than in rural areas (by 64, 869, i. e. 3.5% compared to the previous year's 2.8%). Such tendency has persisted already since 2005. Despite this, town inhabitants constitute 73.6% of public library users.

The above fall of the absolute number of public library users had an impact on other indices illustrating institutional reading in Poland. The decline in institutional reading was reflected by the relative index, i. e. the percentage of public library users in Poland's population: in the "total" category it went down from 18.4% to 17.6% (a 0.8% decline like in 2006), in urban areas from 22.2% to 21.2% (a 0.9% decline, in 2006 – a 1.1% decline from 2005), in rural areas from 12.4% to 12.0% (0.4% less like in 2006). The average number of users per one library entity in the "total" category fell from 822 in 2006 to 792 in 2007 (i. e. by 3.6%, in 2006 – by 3.7%).

STRUCTURE OF USERS

The analysis of 2007 data confirms that the age structure of public library users continues to shift in the direction of the earlier years of birth. In 2007 the share of users up to 24 years of age dropped to 60.4%, i. e. by 1.9%. The age group up to 15 continues to represent over one fourth, the group 16-19 over 16%, and the group 20-24 nearly 17%. The analysis of the percentages of users above 24 indicates their systematic growth from 33.3% in 2003, 34.9% in 2004, 36.3% in 2005, to 37.7% in 2006. In 2007 their share grew to 39.5% (i. e. by 1.8%); the rise occurred in all age groups above 24.

The changed age structure in 2007 is associated with the decreased number of public library users in all age groups up to 44. Only in two age groups the number of public library users increased from the previous year: by 1.5% in the 45-60 group and by 5.1% in the 60 + group.

The age structure shifting toward two highest age groups (45-60 and 60+) is due to aging Polish society, and also the waning interest in the traditional book among young people. The dominating group of public library users is invariably made up of learning or studying persons, including adults pursuing lifelong education.

A notable fact is that traditional user registers tend to fall behind the present public library use patterns. Public libraries do not register users of electronic media, the Internet and digital books. Hence library statistics lose from sight the youngest groups of users.

The declining number of public library users registered in 2007 found its reflection in the changes in the user occupation structure. Like in 2006 most visible is the dropping share of pupils (a decline of 7.3%, in 2006 – 7.0%) and the unemployed (a decline of 4%), and – to a lesser degree – of students (a 3.3% decline), blue collar workers (a 0.8% decline), farmers (a 0.3% decline), and persons from the “other employed” category (a 0.4% decline). The only category indicating growth were white collar workers (a 1.4% rise).

In the social cross-section of public library users the largest fall from the previous year concerns pupils. Their share in the total number of public library users went down from 47% in 2006 to 45.5% in 2007, two categories (farmers and unemployed) remained basically unchanged, in other categories the percentage shares went up from 0.1 to 0.9 (white collar workers). Pupils make up nearly a half of public library users (45.5%), followed by the unemployed (15.9%), white collar workers (15.5%) and students (13.1%). The lowest shares of the reading clientele are represented by farmers – 1%, “other employed” - 2.9%, and blue collar workers (6.0%).

Despite the above discussed changes it is worth stressing that the public library user analysis continues to indicate school pupils and young people up to 24 years of age (60.4%), as well as learning and studying persons (58.6%) as dominating user groups. This is not to say that public libraries should focus exclusively on young people. Increasing the number of senior public library users, changing the tastes and preferences of this group of users, raising the awareness of the problems of the disabled, which until recently have rarely been raised are all important tasks of public librarianship.

LOANS

As mentioned in the chapter on holdings books continue to dominate in public libraries' holdings which in turn impacts loan statistics. Though access to the Internet, new media and formats other than the traditional book is becoming ever more popular, yet as it is not covered by libraries' registers the statistical picture of public library services is incomplete.

Users borrowed 130, 673.9 thousand registered units, including 127, 674.0 thousand volumes of books and serials (97.9% of all loans) and 2, 999.9 thousand registered units of special collections (2.3%). In 2006 this proportion was 97.5%: 2.5% which would mean that despite the growing offer of non-traditional library material, its share in the total number of loans has remained unchanged which is definitely associated with the abovementioned service registration shortcomings. This basically unchanged proportion may also be due to the stable inflow of new books owing to the Ministry of Culture grant approved in the recent several years.

The above figures indicate a decline in the number of loans by over 7, 204.8 thousand registered units from the previous year. This decline of 5.2% makes 2007 the fourth year in a row displaying this unfavourable trend (in 2006 – 4.9%, in 2005 – 4.7%, in 2004 – 0.9%). A sharper fall, like in the previous two years, was again recorded in urban libraries (5.5%) than in rural libraries (4.6%). The declining number of loans resulted in the loans index per 100 inhabitants going down from 362 vols. in 2006 to 343 vols. in 2007 (a decline of 5.2%).

It also resulted in a lower loans index per one user, both in the "total" category (going down from 19.6 in 2006 to 19.4 in 2007), and in the urban/rural cross-section (in towns down from 19.4 to 19.2 and in rural areas from 20.3 to 20.1). The changes in the use of print-on-paper texts and the ever more frequent departure from books to information

searches on the Internet and in library electronic resources are trends that were already described in the last year's commentary. As the statistics show they have only deepened since then, which is only proved by the falling absolute number of loans and derivative indices for the fourth year in row. Electronic services of public libraries are not covered by GUS statistics.

ON-SITE ACCESS

In 2007 public libraries offered 108, 951 reading room seats, i. e. 39 (0.04%) less than a year before. The general drop in the number of reading room seats results from their rise in urban libraries by 248 (0.5%) seats and their fall in rural areas by 287 (0.5%). This is probably due to the increasing library office space in urban areas. The average was 0.3 seats per 100 inhabitants (unchanged from 2006).

The lower number of users limited the frequency of use of public library services and on-site access to their holdings. The number of accessed registered units in 2007 totalled 33, 896, 383, which consisted of 32, 425, 876 volumes of books and serials, and 1, 470, 507 registered units of special collections. This means a sharp fall by nearly 3 million (8.0%) from 2006 (in 2006 – 7.7%). The negative balance resulted from a drop in the on-site access in urban reading rooms by 2.7 million (9.2%) registered units (in 2006 – 8.4%), and in rural reading rooms by nearly 226 thousand (i. e. 3.2%) registered units (in 2006 – 4.7%).

The above fall in on-site access is first of all associated with a reduced use of books and serials by nearly 2.9 million volumes (i. e. a decline of 8.2%) and to a lesser extent of special collections by ca. 66.4 thousand registered units (a decline of 4.3%). Like in the previous year the declining interest in books and serials in urban areas (a 9.4% decline) was still far over twice the decline in rural areas (a 3.2% decline). The statistics of on-site access in the recent five years indicate a mean yearly fall of 4.5% in the number of books and serials accessed on site. Likewise, in the special collections' category, the use of these holdings in rural reading rooms went down by 2.8% and in urban reading rooms by 4.7%.

The change in the use of public library reading rooms is also associated with the improved situation of other types of libraries, particularly academic ones, as well as the extended network of libraries at private institutions of higher learning and the growing resources of digital libraries. The improved access to books for studying persons or persons pursuing lifelong education might have an impact on decreased interest in public library reading rooms.

In the reported year the aggregate index of on-site access per 100 inhabitants, comprising books, serials and special collections, went down from 97 registered units in 2006 to 89 in 2007 (a decline of 8.2%).

LIBRARY OFFICE SPACE

Both inevitably growing holdings, including those in non-book format, and library automation raise a demand for increased and modernized library office space. However, many library premises hardly meet the standards expected of a modern public library. Next to traditional books they need to hold new media and to provide adequate space and equipment. Despite the ongoing favourable changes, including library office space extensions, modernization and new library buildings, the needs in this area are still very big.

However, in terms of library office space, the situation of public libraries has slightly improved. In total the public library office space in 2007 grew to 955, 691 sqm, i. e. by over 17, 100 sqm from 2006 (i. e. a rise of 1.8%, over twice the rise of a year or two before). The library office space grew chiefly in urban areas – by 15, 600 sqm (2.6%), and in rural areas only by 1, 505 sqm (i. e. 0.4%).

The average space per one library institution (library or branch) rose in 2007 by nearly 3 sqm (2.7 sqm) from the previous year and reached 112.6 sqm. The size of an average library, regardless of the category, moved up to 203.3 sqm (a 2.0% rise), and an average branch to 72.0 sqm (a 2.3% rise). The average library institution in urban areas occupied 211.8 sqm (a 3.1% rise from 205.5 sqm), and in rural areas over three times less – 61.9 sqm (a 1.1% rise from 61.2%). The size in branches for children and young people changed little – from 99.8% in 2006 to 100.1 sqm in 2007.

In 2007 public libraries had 7 “statistical users” per 1 sqm (in 2006 – 7.5), in urban areas – 8 users per 1 sqm, in rural areas – 5. 1 users per 1 sqm.

Very little progress was achieved in adjusting library premises to the needs of physically challenged persons (it is not only the question of external, barrier-free access, but also internal modernization, including elevators, adequate width of corridors, doors, space between the book stacks etc.) In 2007 the number of library facilities with barrier-free access for the wheelbound grew to 1, 405 (16.6% of all public libraries and branches). Every fourth urban library (25.8%) offered barrier-free access, and in rural areas only 11.8% (in 2006: 24.2 and 10.5 respectively). The number of barrier-free facilities for wheelbound users in 2007 went up by another 111, i. e. only 1.5% from 2006.

LIBRARY STAFF

After the falls of 2000-2003, 2007 was the fourth year in a row of growing employment which reached the 2000 level. The number of employed public librarians grew by 122 persons (0.7%) from 2006 and reached 17, 688 persons.

The reported growth, however, was recorded mainly in rural libraries (by 78 persons, i. e. a 1.2% growth), in urban libraries the employment level went up by 44 persons (i. e. 0.4%). Growth in the number of staff in rural libraries was recorded for the first time since 2000.

The average number of staff per one statistical library entity (library or branch) has not changed and it is still 2.1; the urban/rural cross-section also has not changed (in urban areas: 3.9 and in rural areas: 1.1).

The share of full-time employees exhibited very slow growth. In 2007 it grew to 74.6% (in 2006 – 74.5%, in 2005 – 74.1%).

Like in 2006 the number of staff with university/college-level education exhibited a rising tendency and it went up by another 423 employees (i. e. 8%) and the number of staff with secondary library education dropped this time by 290 persons (4.3%). The statistics indicate a minor fall from 2006 in the number of employees having no professional library qualifications (by 11 persons) which is a reverse tendency from the previous year when this category of employees grew by 1.2%.

In 2007 the share of library staff with library qualifications in the total number of library employees returned to the 2005 level to reach 68.3% (in 2006 – 68.0%), including 32.2% with university/college-level qualifications (in 2006 – 30.0%), and 36.1% with secondary school-level qualifications (in 2006 – 38.0%). The group of persons with no professional library qualifications decreased from 32.0% in 2006 to 31.7% in 2007 (return to the 2005 level). The declining number of graduates of library colleges is, as it has been described in the previous yearbooks, associated with a tendency to upgrade professional qualifications from secondary to university-level, and also with the changed structure of library schools.

The falls in the number of users and loans over the recent years combined with the simultaneous growth of employment brought about lower work load indices for librarians and this trend has only deepened ever since. The average number of users per one librarian dropped to 380 in 2007 (20 users i. e. 5% less than a year before), whereas the number of loans went down to 7, 388 (461, i. e. 5.9% less than a year before).

Unfortunately, since the data are not available (the Central Statistical Office GUS has not gathered them yet) we cannot discuss here the activity going beyond traditional library operations, like new technolo-

gies, digitalization, new media and access to electronic information resources.

New technologies are now used on a daily basis by an ever growing number of public libraries. Public library employees need to pursue continuing professional development and acquire new competences going beyond traditional library qualifications. This requirement is particularly challenging for smaller libraries, particularly the rural ones. More often than not, in a one-person library the need to attend a training course means that the library will close temporarily or cut the hours of operation. As a result the changes in the employment structure and work organization in rural libraries have taken a slower pace than in larger centres. One measure of this slower change is that still over 45% of rural librarians have no professional qualifications, nearly 37% have secondary school-level library qualifications, and only 18.0% have a university degree in library science.

FINAL COMMENTS

The activity of public libraries in 2007 as reflected by the most recent indices shows no major shifts from 2006. Also similar tendencies are observable. The positive trends in collection development and acquisition of new titles were reinforced. Also the attractiveness of libraries in terms of new technologies, equipment and infrastructure improved. Like in 2006, also in 2007 the statistics indicate the diminishing social impact of libraries. Lower, though to a minor degree, are the indices of use of library services, level of library reading, number of users, and also loans and on-site access. Despite these continuing falls, the social impact of public libraries has not been undermined. Libraries are institutions that undergo changes and transformations, and, like all other public utility institutions, they are not immune to new trends.

Translated by *Katarzyna Diehl*

Performance of public libraries in 2007

Specifications		Total	In urban areas	In rural areas		
0		1	2	3		
Libraries and branches:		01	8 489	2 871	5 618	
including active ones		02	8 400	2 840	5 560	
including those providing wheelchair access		03	1 405	741	664	
Libraries and library branches merged with other institutions	total	04	1 897	329	1 568	
	public and school libraries	05	233	31	202	
	including those operating as part of a community centre	06	1 562	285	1 277	
	including those operating as part of another institution	07	102	13	89	
Libraries and branches including PCs equipped with	total	08	5 076	2 176	2 900	
	branches	09	2 671	1 247	1 424	
	children's departments	10	156	155	1	
	providing access to	own catalogues	11	976	844	132
		external databases	12	3 726	1 839	1 887
Children's departments		13	834	682	152	
Collections (in volumes)	Stocks 31.XII.2006	14	135 498 892	79 788 359	55 710 533	
	Acquisitions	15	4 538 508	2 932 559	1 605 949	
	of which bought	16	3 618 128	2 196 192	1 421 936	
	of which from the other libraries	17	164 191	103 851	60 340	
	Lost	18	4 513 456	2 895 568	1 617 888	
	Stocks 31.XII.2007	19	135 558 166	79 854 801	55 703 365	
of which bound serials	20	1 586 967	1 573 358	13 609		
Special collections	Stocks 31.XII.2007 (in registered items)	21	4 586 735	4 514 590	72 145	
	of which audiovisual materials	22	2 484 941	2 425 596	59 345	
	of which electronic documents	23	96 223	87 204	9 019	
Borrowers		24	6 719 075	4 948 520	1 770 555	
Borrowers by age groups	under 15	25	1 807 663	X	X	
	16-19	26	1 113 492	X	X	
	20-24	27	1 137 668	X	X	
	25-44	28	1 506 442	X	X	
	45-60	29	775 091	X	X	
	60 plus	30	378 719	X	X	
Borrowers occupation	pupils	31	3 059 440	X	X	
	students	32	882 532	X	X	
	white collars	33	1 043 100	X	X	
	workers	34	402 039	X	X	
	farmers	35	66 672	X	X	
	other employed	36	194 757	X	X	
	unemployed	37	1 070 535	X	X	

Performance of public libraries in 2007

Specifications			Total	In urban areas	In rural areas	
0			1	2	3	
Loans	books and periodicals		38	127 673 958	92 136 652	35 537 306
	books		39	122 422 959	88 933 918	33 489 041
	periodicals		40	5 250 999	3 202 734	2 048 265
	Special collections in registered items	total	41	2 999 911	2 901 947	97 964
		audiovisual mat.	42	2 742 676	2 700 752	41 924
electronic doc.		43	174 376	165 905	8 471	
Loans inside a library	Number of seats in reading rooms		44	108 951	53 137	55 814
	books and periodicals		45	32 425 876	25 851 898	6 573 978
	books		46	15 269 415	11 593 442	3 675 973
	periodicals		47	17 156 461	14 258 456	2 898 005
	Special collections in registered items	total	48	1 470 507	1 165 678	304 829
		audiovisual mat.	49	146 333	130 773	15 560
		electronic doc	50	1 087 532	810 123	277 409
Service points	Number of library service points		51	1 618	555	1 063
	Borrowers		52	114 690	55 086	59 604
	Loans		53	1 355 306	598 708	756 598
Library staff	Total		54	17 688	11 330	6 358
	full- time		55	13 193	10 092	3 101
	Qualified librarians	total	56	5 692	4 545	1 147
		full- time	57	4 951	4 227	724
Number of PCs	Total		58	24 636	16 281	8 355
	working in a network		59	18 991	13 723	5 268
	PCs connected to the Internet	total	60	21 507	14 052	7 455
		accessible to users	61	11 998	6 230	5 768
	Used in library and bibliographic work	total	62	9 999	8 165	1 834
		acquisitions and/or processing	63	6 072	4 572	1 500
		as cataloguing terminals	64	2 421	2 212	209
		in user and loans registration	65	1 647	1 471	176
Library space (m ²)		66	955 691	608 181	347 510	
Spending (PLZ)	Books		67	72 557 139	46 653 291	25 903 848
	Special collections		68	3 007 126	2 919 703	87 423
	of which audiovisual materials		69	2 207 463	2 142 618	64 845
Subscription (PLZ)		70	10 387 529	7 610 590	2 776 939	

STATISTICAL TABLES
by voivodships

TABLE 1 LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, CHILDREN'S DEPARTMENTS AND LIBRARY SPACE

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL (col. 2+9)	LIBRARIES							BRANCHES		Children's depart- ments	Library space (m) ²
		Total	voivod- ship	county*	urban munic.	urban. rural munic.	rural munic.	others	Total	for children		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Poland	8 489	2 625	18	103	262	567	1 607	68	5 864	207	834	955 691
dolnośląskie	658	190	1	3	32	58	94	2	468	14	72	82 051
kujawsko-pomorskie	460	145	2	5	12	33	92	1	315	25	68	48 500
lubelskie	602	224	1	11	18	20	172	2	378	3	68	59 033
lubuskie	268	82	2	-	9	31	40	-	186	6	38	32 758
łódzkie	565	189	1	15	21	18	133	1	376	25	19	61 902
małopolskie	763	189	1	6	12	42	125	3	574	9	78	67 751
mazowieckie	995	330	1	6	44	53	226	-	665	61	59	106 417
opolskie	322	72	1	1	2	32	36	-	250	5	33	29 913
podkarpackie	701	198	1	8	11	24	115	39	503	4	55	57 095
podlaskie	248	117	1	2	10	23	81	-	131	2	31	27 698
pomorskie	338	127	1	7	21	16	82	-	211	6	20	41 349
śląskie	835	169	1	20	30	22	96	-	666	12	91	126 205
świętokrzyskie	298	103	1	1	4	27	69	1	195	8	20	27 932
warmińsko-mazurskie	333	124	1	2	14	33	64	10	209	2	54	40 535
wielkopolskie	724	235	1	9	13	88	116	8	489	19	76	80 691
zachodniopomorskie	379	131	1	7	9	47	66	1	248	6	52	65 861

* In total: rural powiaty (according to statutory functions) and towns with powiat status. The libraries performing tasks for other entities in the powiat on the basis of agreements were not included.

TABLE 2 **LIBRARIES MERGED WITH OTHER INSTITUTIONS**

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	Libraries and library branches merged with other institutions			
	Total	Public and school libraries (integrated)*	Including those operating in a structure of:	
			a community cultural centre	other institution
0	1	2	3	4
Poland	1 897	233	1 562	102
dolnośląskie	224	9	199	16
kujawsko-pomorskie	74	7	57	10
lubelskie	154	9	144	1
lubuskie	59	14	43	2
łódzkie	106	27	74	5
małopolskie	166	5	161	-
mazowieckie	149	18	124	7
opolskie	125	9	105	11
podkarpackie	167	6	161	-
podlaskie	70	12	58	-
pomorskie	52	2	48	2
śląskie	84	11	63	10
świętokrzyskie	50	6	44	-
warmińsko-mazurskie	122	39	83	-
wielkopolskie	179	30	140	9
zachodniopomorskie	116	29	58	29

* Including public-school libraries and libraries merged with community centres (10).

TABLE 3

LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES IN RURAL AREAS

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL (col. 2+3)	LIBRARIES	BRANCHES		CHILDREN'S DEPART- MENTS
			Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4	5
Poland	5 618	1 666	3 952	1	152
dolnośląskie	416	98	318	-	7
kujawsko-pomorskie	287	93	194	-	12
lubelskie	467	172	295	-	26
lubuskie	181	40	141	-	1
łódzkie	369	133	236	1	2
małopolskie	561	125	436	-	10
mazowieckie	601	224	377	-	13
opolskie	243	36	207	-	10
podkarpackie	581	152	429	-	25
podlaskie	181	80	101	-	5
pomorskie	200	84	116	-	3
śląskie	351	96	255	-	3
świętokrzyskie	227	71	156	-	3
warmińsko-mazurskie	238	74	164	-	4
wielkopolskie	472	121	351	-	20
zachodniopomorskie	243	67	176	-	8

TABLE 4 **AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES – TOTAL**

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES						NUMBER OF PCS INCLUDING:						
	Total	including equipped with PCs			providing access to:		Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work, including PCs used in:			
		total	libraries	branches	own catal.	external databases		total	accessible to users	total*	acquisitions and/or processing	as cataloguing terminals	in user and loans registration
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Poland	8 489	5 076	2 405	2 671	976	3 726	24 636	21 507	11 998	9 999	6 072	2 421	1 647
dolnośląskie	658	368	168	200	74	241	1 733	1 603	913	560	330	103	127
kujawsko-pomorskie	460	307	143	164	14	217	1 294	1 176	671	513	219	133	97
lubelskie	602	360	213	147	24	263	1 540	1 332	772	490	312	109	59
lubuskie	268	147	69	78	34	121	631	575	347	208	104	65	33
łódzkie	565	358	179	179	68	259	1 721	1 412	784	844	487	200	167
małopolskie	763	519	186	333	128	439	2 029	1 750	995	867	597	168	110
mazowieckie	995	653	314	339	221	430	3 795	3 196	1 600	1 961	1 164	524	412
opolskie	322	138	68	70	15	111	639	591	342	235	168	37	30
podkarpackie	701	381	166	215	45	208	1 426	1 244	767	542	346	139	54
podlaskie	248	156	100	56	9	137	710	591	370	247	153	63	32
pomorskie	338	222	115	107	84	156	1 266	1 135	636	567	371	139	66
śląskie	835	477	165	312	29	422	2 701	2 303	1 101	1 044	621	293	148
świętokrzyskie	298	135	83	52	19	74	590	492	315	171	130	38	12
warmińsko-mazurskie	333	258	121	137	69	241	1 538	1 422	945	524	335	132	70
wielkopolskie	724	402	209	193	84	236	1 870	1 712	929	772	515	145	142
zachodniopomorskie	379	195	106	89	59	171	1 153	973	511	454	220	133	88

* The figures in col. 10 may be lower than the sum of cols. 11-13 (multiple choices).

TABLE 5 **AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES – IN RURAL AREAS**

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES – IN RURAL AREAS						NUMBER OF PCS – IN RURAL AREAS, INCLUDING:						
	Total	including equipped with PCs			providing access to:		Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work, including PCs used in:			
		total	libraries	branches	own catal.	external databases		total	accessible to users	total*	acquisitions and/or processing	as cataloguing terminals	in user and loans registration
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Poland	5 618	2 900	1 476	1 424	132	1887	8 355	7 455	5 768	1 834	1 500	209	176
dolnośląskie	416	205	78	127	6	116	505	460	371	68	61	5	9
kujawsko-pomorskie	287	169	92	77	1	125	476	433	368	74	59	2	13
lubelskie	467	265	162	103	2	191	772	674	523	155	132	19	4
lubuskie	181	81	30	51	2	58	178	148	126	13	11	-	1
łódzkie	369	195	124	71	8	114	615	551	436	142	105	12	20
małopolskie	561	345	122	223	36	280	890	790	582	239	217	9	11
mazowieckie	601	304	210	94	6	132	962	871	607	338	262	62	23
opolskie	243	80	34	46	1	55	205	178	153	27	22	2	3
podkarpackie	581	283	121	162	2	127	711	625	501	130	112	8	3
podlaskie	181	101	66	35	3	86	305	268	217	62	47	12	4
pomorskie	200	116	73	43	15	65	387	331	236	102	85	10	16
śląskie	351	194	92	102	6	169	595	545	395	130	105	16	12
świętokrzyskie	227	79	51	28	1	28	232	200	164	30	21	6	1
warmińsko-mazurskie	238	175	71	104	16	158	593	537	441	107	95	10	17
wielkopolskie	472	221	102	119	21	114	683	623	463	176	131	25	26
zachodniopomorskie	243	87	48	39	6	69	246	221	185	41	35	11	13

* The figures in col. 10 may be lower than the sum of cols. 11-13 (multiple choices).

TABLE 6 **COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES – ACQUISITIONS AND EXPENDITURES**

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS							EXPENDITURES			
	STOCKS 31.XII.2006	ACQUISITIONS			LOST	STOCKS 31.XII.2007		BOOKS		SUBSCRIPTION	
		Total	of which bought	from other libraries		Total	in rural area	total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas
	volumes in thousands							PLN in thousands		PLN in thousands	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Poland	135 498,9	4 538,5	3 618,1	164,2	4 513,5	135 558,2	55 703,4	72 557,1	25 903,8	10 387,5	2 776,9
dolnośląskie	10 602,3	359,1	257,9	31,6	473,5	10 504,3	4 163,7	5 278,9	1 520,2	779,9	154,3
kujawsko-pomorskie	7 757,0	203,6	170,7	2,3	189,0	7 771,6	3 083,7	3 293,3	1 340,1	452,5	154,3
lubelskie	6 879,6	262,3	225,1	6,6	357,0	6 784,5	3 850,4	4 219,7	2 054,9	592,7	287,5
lubuskie	4 000,9	132,1	104,8	6,5	154,3	3 991,8	1 584,4	2 078,7	743,6	369,2	94,1
łódzkie	9 021,1	245,8	193,8	5,1	172,7	9 094,1	3 636,5	3 883,2	1 758,3	591,8	193,0
małopolskie	10 843,1	339,0	287,0	3,7	332,3	10 846,7	5 477,0	5 595,8	2 717,6	624,9	183,3
mazowieckie	16 758,3	769,2	631,5	42,7	710,4	16 817,1	6 249,7	13 503,9	3 447,5	1 664,9	318,7
opolskie	4 190,2	135,3	111,1	0,6	122,4	4 203,1	2 090,4	2 150,0	779,8	283,4	61,5
podkarpackie	8 892,2	281,9	239,3	14,8	221,4	8 951,8	5 328,6	4 474,4	2 272,6	566,0	228,3
podlaskie	4 492,3	137,8	102,7	0,7	80,6	4 549,4	1 981,8	2 027,9	786,1	308,8	122,0
pomorskie	6 022,6	211,1	167,6	7,2	236,8	6 008,0	2 211,0	3 468,1	982,8	573,7	127,7
śląskie	17 027,1	575,2	440,4	7,9	508,1	17 092,4	3 882,2	8 753,4	2 221,1	1 311,9	162,3
świętokrzyskie	4 423,7	126,3	107,9	0,6	85,5	4 464,4	2 373,3	2 044,6	982,9	414,3	142,6
warmińsko-mazurskie	5 475,4	164,8	127,4	7,5	237,7	5 402,1	2 200,2	2 577,1	973,7	502,3	148,9
wielkopolskie	12 029,0	376,6	310,9	7,3	386,1	12 019,5	5 206,2	6 301,2	2 539,0	837,7	275,9
zachodniopomorskie	7 084,3	218,4	140,1	19,3	245,4	7 057,4	2 384,4	2 906,9	783,6	513,5	122,3

TABLE 7

SPECIAL COLLECTIONS – ACQUISITIONS AND EXPENDITURES

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	SPECIAL COLLECTIONS									EXPENDITURES	
	STOCKS 31.XII.2006	ACQUISITIONS			LOST	STOCKS 31.XII.2007				Total	of which audiovisual materials
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents		Total	in rural areas	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents		
	registered items in thousands					registered items in thousands				PLN in thousands	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Poland	4 466,5	243,3	139,7	17,2	114,3	4 586,7	72,1	2 484,9	96,2	3 007,1	2 207,5
dolnośląskie	488,6	30,3	21,5	3,1	20,9	497,9	7,2	316,7	14,2	483,6	400,0
kujawsko-pomorskie	159,3	7,7	2,4	1,2	1,5	165,4	4,7	64,7	5,9	83,5	47,3
lubelskie	248,6	11,1	2,6	0,5	6,2	253,5	4,1	86,8	4,3	86,6	51,9
lubuskie	131,8	9,6	1,5	0,4	0,3	141,1	0,4	64,8	3,0	128,5	26,6
łódzkie	223,6	8,4	5,6	0,8	5,6	226,5	5,4	153,1	7,0	80,4	44,6
małopolskie	228,6	4,9	3,8	0,8	2,0	231,5	6,5	188,0	6,8	131,2	107,8
mazowieckie	398,1	41,3	28,0	2,6	8,7	430,8	9,7	284,3	15,3	784,8	666,8
opolskie	143,2	3,7	2,1	0,3	3,8	143,2	1,3	102,7	1,2	88,1	59,6
podkarpackie	163,6	6,2	3,8	0,4	0,7	169,0	1,4	141,6	3,3	49,4	31,4
podlaskie	103,4	10,1	0,8	1,0	0,3	113,2	2,2	32,8	4,0	31,6	19,5
pomorskie	82,2	3,4	3,1	0,3	0,0	81,4	2,6	77,0	2,2	69,9	61,9
śląskie	742,3	35,3	15,7	2,6	7,4	770,3	7,2	296,2	14,6	423,3	318,9
świętokrzyskie	64,8	2,0	1,3	0,4	0,2	62,3	0,5	46,5	1,6	113,2	75,5
warmińsko-mazurskie	148,3	9,7	3,8	1,2	5,4	152,7	1,6	74,2	5,0	140,0	97,9
wielkopolskie	480,6	43,7	39,2	0,8	38,1	486,2	10,6	286,6	3,7	170,3	134,0
zachodniopomorskie	659,4	15,7	4,4	0,8	13,4	661,8	6,7	268,8	4,1	142,7	63,9

TABLE 8 **BORROWERS**

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	BORROWERS														
	Total	in rural areas	by age groups						occupation						
			under 15	16-19	20-24	25-44	45-60	60 plus	pupils	stu- dents	white collars	workers	farmers	other empl.	unemp- loyed
	in thousands		in % of total						in % of total						
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Poland	6 719,1	1 770,6	26,9	16,6	16,9	22,4	11,5	5,6	45,5	13,1	15,5	6,0	1,0	2,9	15,9
dolnośląskie	533,5	112,3	25,3	15,8	16,7	23,1	12,9	6,1	43,4	12,9	15,6	6,7	0,6	3,5	17,2
kujawsko-pomorskie	320,8	92,7	31,5	16,9	15,4	19,6	10,9	5,8	50,2	10,5	13,3	5,4	1,6	2,6	16,5
lubelskie	402,5	158,7	30,8	16,9	15,8	21,2	10,3	5,0	48,7	13,0	14,0	4,5	2,2	2,0	15,6
lubuskie	185,2	44,4	31,1	16,5	16,1	20,1	10,9	5,4	50,0	11,3	12,6	5,3	0,5	3,5	16,7
łódzkie	434,8	102,7	24,5	15,8	16,4	23,6	13,2	6,5	43,6	13,4	15,4	6,5	1,5	2,9	16,7
małopolskie	682,4	207,8	26,0	18,0	18,6	21,5	10,3	5,6	45,4	16,2	15,3	5,5	1,0	2,7	14,1
mazowieckie	867,7	195,2	24,4	15,9	16,0	24,2	12,3	7,2	41,9	14,1	20,1	5,0	1,0	3,5	14,4
opolskie	159,8	51,9	29,3	18,7	16,3	20,8	10,5	4,5	49,2	11,9	13,8	5,9	0,9	3,0	15,2
podkarpackie	374,3	165,7	33,3	19,5	14,8	19,6	8,7	4,1	54,7	10,1	12,6	4,9	0,8	1,9	14,9
podlaskie	168,1	45,4	32,4	18,0	15,1	20,3	9,9	4,3	53,2	10,9	13,6	5,5	1,7	2,3	12,9
pomorskie	334,8	75,4	24,3	16,1	16,7	24,1	12,6	6,2	43,0	11,5	16,5	6,7	0,6	3,2	18,6
śląskie	958,4	148,8	21,7	15,1	18,3	25,9	13,4	5,6	39,1	13,6	17,7	8,3	0,2	2,4	18,7
świętokrzyskie	188,1	69,7	28,6	18,0	18,3	20,5	10,0	4,6	47,0	15,5	13,2	4,6	1,7	2,9	15,2
warmińsko-mazurskie	245,8	60,4	30,0	17,3	17,1	20,7	10,6	4,4	49,8	13,2	13,9	4,9	0,8	2,2	15,2
wielkopolskie	569,6	179,4	30,4	16,6	16,6	20,8	10,6	5,0	49,5	11,7	13,3	6,6	1,4	3,3	14,1
zachodniopomorskie	293,3	60,0	26,4	15,1	20,3	20,9	11,7	5,6	44,8	14,8	13,6	5,0	0,5	4,4	16,9

TABLE 9 **EXTERNAL LOANS**

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	NUMBER OF LOANS:											
	Total*	in rural areas*	BOOKS (vols. in thousands)		PERIODICALS (registered items in thousands)		SPECIAL COLLECTIONS (registered items in thousands)					
			total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas	audiovisual mat.		electronic doc.	
	total	in rural areas							total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Poland	130 673,9	35 635,3	122 423,0	33 489,0	5 251,0	2 048,3	2 999,9	98,0	2 742,7	41,9	174,4	8,5
dolnośląskie	11 167,4	2 309,4	10 369,8	2 201,8	367,8	106,2	429,8	1,4	385,0	1,1	44,6	0,3
kujawsko-pomorskie	6 019,2	1 818,4	5 700,1	1 698,7	270,8	116,7	48,3	3,0	37,9	2,8	4,7	0,2
lubelskie	7 935,6	3 248,5	7 080,7	2 944,2	520,9	295,2	334,0	9,1	325,9	4,3	3,4	0,9
lubuskie	3 599,9	959,1	3 308,6	876,9	228,7	82,0	62,6	0,2	59,7	0,0	1,7	0,2
łódzkie	7 966,4	1 828,5	7 598,3	1 730,7	299,5	94,8	68,6	3,0	59,3	1,8	6,2	0,7
małopolskie	12 018,0	3 969,6	11 537,3	3 816,0	344,9	149,4	135,8	4,1	117,5	3,4	12,5	0,7
mazowieckie	16 299,3	3 688,1	15 166,9	3 484,8	544,2	179,2	588,3	24,1	566,3	9,5	6,3	0,6
opolskie	3 256,5	921,6	3 086,6	870,4	116,6	51,2	53,4	0,0	52,4	0,0	0,4	0,0
podkarpackie	7 249,9	3 317,1	6 991,8	3 168,5	178,9	125,8	79,1	22,8	57,0	1,7	0,1	0,0
podlaskie	3 241,2	1 043,3	3 100,1	978,4	103,7	61,6	37,5	3,4	28,4	0,3	8,3	3,1
pomorskie	6 482,9	1 567,8	6 117,6	1 437,0	304,2	129,8	61,1	1,0	57,8	0,1	2,9	0,4
śląskie	19 545,2	3 087,0	18 370,3	2 946,2	786,6	130,8	388,4	10,0	334,7	9,5	47,1	0,5
świętokrzyskie	3 551,2	1 434,9	3 190,5	1 288,6	296,5	141,0	64,2	5,3	40,1	0,5	19,0	-
warmińsko-mazurskie	4 605,2	1 366,3	4 127,5	1 239,6	292,0	124,0	185,6	2,7	175,3	2,2	9,7	0,5
wielkopolskie	12 277,8	3 756,3	11 643,7	3 606,8	357,5	144,2	276,6	5,3	269,7	2,4	1,1	0,1
zachodniopomorskie	5 458,3	1 319,6	5 033,2	1 200,5	238,4	116,5	186,7	2,6	175,7	2,4	6,3	0,2

* Special collections included.

TABLE 10

LOANS OF LIBRARY MATERIALS IN READING ROOMS

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms		NUMBER OF LOANS:									
			BOOKS (vols. in thousands)		PERIODICALS (registered items in thousands)		SPECIAL COLLECTIONS (registered items in thousands)					
	total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas	total	in rural area	audiovisual mat.		electronic doc.	
									total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Poland	108 951	55 814	15 269,4	3 676,0	17 156,5	2 898,0	1 470,5	304,8	146,3	15,6	1 087,5	277,4
dolnośląskie	9 916	4 937	1 107,3	308,1	1 006,1	164,4	129,2	1,0	10,9	0,1	90,0	0,9
kujawsko-pomorskie	5 968	2 910	722,1	216,7	543,7	126,9	35,4	0,9	2,0	0,5	23,4	0,5
lubelskie	6 620	4 300	500,1	202,4	819,1	277,8	10,7	4,9	0,6	0,4	1,3	0,4
lubuskie	4 192	2 221	617,1	192,5	787,6	237,0	11,5	0,6	3,3	0,2	5,6	0,4
łódzkie	7 223	3 844	990,1	183,2	1 284,9	211,5	73,4	5,4	24,6	2,3	30,9	2,8
małopolskie	8 070	4 773	1 249,9	355,9	1 010,0	151,4	680,8	251,5	7,3	1,6	664,9	249,9
mazowieckie	11 946	5 225	2 003,5	375,5	1 977,5	238,8	142,4	11,9	24,6	1,2	105,9	8,3
opolskie	3 994	2 427	547,7	127,5	704,7	69,5	11,3	0,4	6,6	-	2,4	0,4
podkarpackie	8 337	5 882	707,9	190,7	823,7	220,4	21,8	9,0	4,1	1,2	11,3	6,1
podlaskie	2 973	1 720	262,6	61,6	414,5	109,5	22,7	0,8	5,9	0,2	1,6	0,6
pomorskie	4 603	2 054	882,2	217,9	1 016,2	201,6	18,5	1,3	3,1	1,2	15,0	0,1
śląskie	10 464	2 921	1 760,9	184,9	2 179,0	107,7	150,1	3,1	21,3	2,0	77,9	1,1
świętokrzyskie	3 406	2 119	502,1	183,3	971,6	141,2	15,1	0,4	0,0	0,0	12,1	0,0
warmińsko-mazurskie	4 988	2 718	538,3	146,3	810,7	189,9	43,7	5,1	13,0	0,2	20,1	4,9
wielkopolskie	9 452	4 731	1 376,5	342,8	1 344,7	180,0	42,7	6,7	11,0	3,4	4,3	0,4
zachodniopomorskie	6 799	3 032	1 501,0	386,8	1 462,5	270,5	61,3	1,9	8,0	1,2	20,8	0,7

TABLE 11

LIBRARY SERVICE POINTS

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	NUMBER OF LIBRARY SERV. POINTS		BORROWERS (in thousands)		LOANS* (in thousands)	
	total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas	total	in rural areas
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Poland	1 618	1 063	114,7	59,6	1 421,5	764,3
dolnośląskie	125	89	9,1	4,3	118,6	55,1
kujawsko-pomorskie	166	90	11,4	5,1	142,0	62,2
lubelskie	138	118	7,8	5,9	109,1	82,3
lubuskie	80	55	5,9	2,9	87,9	45,6
łódzkie	72	34	4,4	2,1	76,7	26,5
małopolskie	65	34	4,8	2,4	51,9	23,2
mazowieckie	202	95	17,9	5,2	171,5	69,3
opolskie	13	10	0,6	0,5	4,3	3,3
podkarpackie	54	46	5,7	3,3	62,4	33,6
podlaskie	44	29	1,4	0,9	20,8	17,0
pomorskie	108	70	6,3	4,3	57,6	42,0
śląskie	45	26	4,1	1,7	37,0	24,8
świętokrzyskie	15	14	0,5	0,4	7,2	6,6
warmińsko-mazurskie	129	92	4,6	3,9	73,1	64,9
wielkopolskie	244	170	21,0	12,4	285,8	161,3
zachodniopomorskie	118	91	9,1	4,3	115,7	46,4

* Special collections included.

TABLE 12

LIBRARY STAFF

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	in rural areas	FULL-TIME		QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			Total	in rural areas	univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Poland	17 688	6 358	13 193	3 101	5 692	6 388
dolnośląskie	1 337	421	1 060	223	404	542
kujawsko-pomorskie	860	320	639	161	330	298
lubelskie	1 205	640	832	294	329	494
lubuskie	499	173	366	74	137	201
łódzkie	1 156	395	890	204	418	367
małopolskie	1 477	617	982	249	546	491
mazowieckie	2 332	676	1 918	449	839	689
opolskie	533	234	303	57	102	196
podkarpackie	1 195	651	732	248	269	545
podlaskie	490	216	423	155	130	236
pomorskie	859	260	640	129	265	332
śląskie	2 202	435	1 726	199	714	738
świętokrzyskie	546	269	399	140	235	157
warmińsko-mazurskie	695	263	531	128	262	217
wielkopolskie	1 460	527	1 086	271	455	590
zachodniopomorskie	842	261	666	120	257	295

TABLE 13 **INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE**

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Library space (m ²)	Number of seats reading rooms	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution*		per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Poland	4 490	2,9	2,5	0,3	356	9,5
dolnośląskie	4 374	2,6	2,9	0,3	365	9,0
kujawsko-pomorskie	4 492	2,8	2,3	0,3	376	8,3
lubelskie	3 598	2,6	2,7	0,3	313	10,4
lubuskie	3 763	2,4	3,2	0,4	396	10,4
łódzkie	4 524	3,0	2,4	0,3	356	7,6
małopolskie	4 298	2,7	2,1	0,2	331	8,8
mazowieckie	5 215	3,8	2,1	0,2	324	12,2
opolskie	3 221	2,0	2,9	0,4	405	10,7
podkarpackie	2 992	2,0	2,7	0,4	427	11,4
podlaskie	4 809	2,9	2,3	0,2	381	8,6
pomorskie	6 541	3,7	1,9	0,2	272	7,6
śląskie	5 574	3,2	2,7	0,2	367	9,5
świętokrzyskie	4 280	2,0	2,2	0,3	350	8,5
warmińsko-mazurskie	4 283	4,6	2,8	0,3	379	8,9
wielkopolskie	4 678	2,6	2,4	0,3	355	9,2
zachodniopomorskie	4 465	3,0	3,9	0,4	417	8,3

* I. e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 14

INDEXES OF INTENSITY OF SERVICES

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	Borrowers per one library institution*	Borrowers per sq. m. of library interior	Borrowers per one librarian	Loans** per one librarian	Loans*** in reading rooms per 1 seat
0	1	2	3	4	5
Poland	792	7,0	380	7 388	311
dolnośląskie	811	6,5	399	8 353	226
kujawsko-pomorskie	697	6,6	373	6 999	218
lubelskie	669	6,8	334	6 586	201
lubuskie	691	5,7	371	7 214	338
łódzkie	770	7,0	376	6 891	325
małopolskie	894	10,1	462	8 137	364
mazowieckie	872	8,2	372	6 989	345
opolskie	496	5,3	300	6 110	316
podkarpackie	534	6,6	313	6 067	186
podlaskie	678	6,1	343	6 615	235
pomorskie	990	8,1	390	7 547	416
śląskie	1 148	7,6	435	8 876	391
świętokrzyskie	631	6,7	345	6 504	437
warmińsko-mazurskie	738	6,1	354	6 626	279
wielkopolskie	787	7,1	390	8 409	292
zachodniopomorskie	774	4,5	348	6 483	445

* I. e. per one library or one branch.

** Outside a library, special collections included.

*** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 15

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

VOIVODSHIP (the top-level administrative unit)	Borrowers	Loans*	Loans** in reading rooms	Loans* per one item of stocks	Loans* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants				
0	1	2	3	4	5
Poland	17,6	343	89	0,9	19,4
dolnośląskie	18,5	388	78	1,0	20,9
kujawsko-pomorskie	15,5	291	63	0,8	18,8
lubelskie	18,6	366	61	1,1	19,7
lubuskie	18,4	357	140	0,9	19,4
łódzkie	17,0	312	92	0,9	18,3
małopolskie	20,8	367	90	1,1	17,6
mazowieckie	16,7	314	79	0,9	18,8
opolskie	15,4	314	122	0,7	20,4
podkarpackie	17,8	346	74	0,8	19,4
podlaskie	14,1	272	59	0,7	19,3
pomorskie	15,1	293	87	1,1	19,4
śląskie	20,6	420	88	1,1	20,4
świętokrzyskie	14,7	278	117	0,8	18,9
warmińsko-mazurskie	17,2	323	98	0,8	18,7
wielkopolskie	16,8	363	82	1,0	21,6
zachodniopomorskie	17,3	323	179	0,7	18,6

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

STATISTICAL TABLES
by counties

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE				
bolesławiecki	24	11	-	2 584
dzierżoniowski	24	17	-	3 054
gólgowski	16	10	-	2 640
górowski	11	7	-	1 701
jaworski	17	11	-	1 946
jeleniogórski	23	12	-	1 683
kamiennogórski	12	5	-	932
kłodzki	54	40	2	6 559
legnicki	19	9	-	1 896
lubański	18	9	-	2 140
lubiński	25	17	-	2 863
lwówecki	26	20	-	2 358
milicki	11	8	-	783
oleśnicki	20	12	-	2 630
oławski	17	13	-	1 501
polkowicki	22	16	2	2 398
strzebiński	13	8	-	1 297
średzki	18	13	-	1 708
świdnicki	29	21	-	4 849
trzebnicki	15	9	-	1 587
wałbrzyski	37	28	4	5 713
wołowski	13	10	-	2 147
wrocławski	40	31	-	3 313
ząbkowicki	27	20	-	2 287

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
zgorzelecki	27	20	-	2 824
złotoryjski	19	13	-	1 940
Jelenia Góra	9	8	-	1 837
Legnica	16	15	2	2 894
Wrocław	56	55	4	11 987
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE				
aleksandrowski	15	6	-	1 231
brodnicki	30	20	-	1 757
bydgoski	20	12	2	1 776
chełmiński	15	8	-	1 134
golubsko-dobrzyński	19	13	1	1 098
grudziądzki	12	6	-	831
inowrocławski	44	35	2	3 866
lipnowski	20	11	-	1 511
mogileński	8	4	-	652
nakielski	24	19	1	1 968
radziejowski	11	4	-	1 366
rypiński	18	12	-	1 578
sępoleński	11	7	-	1 131
świecki	25	14	1	1 811
toruński	30	21	1	2 154
tucholski	18	12	1	1 251
wąbrzeski	13	7	1	846
włocławski	26	13	-	1 983

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
żniński	21	15	-	1 709
Bydgoszcz	36	35	11	7 569
Grudziądz	11	10	-	1 876
Toruń	17	16	2	6 322
Włocławek	16	15	2	3 080
LUBELSKIE				
bialski	39	21	-	2 659
biłgorajski	35	21	2	2 927
chełmski	33	17	-	2 126
hrubieszowski	23	14	-	1 727
janowski	16	9	-	973
krasnostawski	21	10	-	2 105
kraśnicki	28	18	-	1 771
lubartowski	41	27	-	2 864
lubelski	55	40	-	3 408
łęczyński	19	12	-	1 340
łukowski	26	15	-	1 829
opolski	29	21	-	1 929
parczewski	22	14	-	1 048
puławski	31	19	-	2 158
radzyński	20	12	1	1 354
rycki	19	13	-	8 667
świdnicki	16	9	-	1 272
tomaszowski	26	13	-	2 129
włodawski	17	9	-	1 500

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
zamojski	33	18	-	2 143
Biała Podlaska	7	6	-	1 413
Chełm	4	3	-	1 400
Lublin	35	31	-	7 927
Zamość	7	6	-	2 364
LUBUSKIE				
gorzowski	14	7	-	1 178
krośnieński	22	15	-	1 869
międzyrzecki	22	16	-	2 584
nowosolski	27	19	-	2 383
słubicki	12	7	-	1 544
strzelecko-drezdenecki	16	11	-	1 192
sulęciński	13	8	-	1 189
świebodziński	15	9	-	1 314
wschowski	8	5	-	972
zielonogórski	31	21	-	2 811
żagański	30	21	-	2 517
żarski	29	20	-	3 474
Gorzów Wielkopolski	15	14	4	3 553
Zielona Góra	14	13	2	6 178
ŁÓDZKIE				
bełchatowski	19	10	-	2 641
brzeziński	6	1	-	745
kutnowski	31	20	-	2 917
łaski	17	12	1	1 444
łęczycki	14	6	-	1 214

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
łowicki	18	7	1	2 036
łódzki wschodni	11	5	-	1 246
opoczyński	21	14	-	1 916
pabianicki	17	10	3	1 994
pajęczański	26	17	-	1 983
piotrkowski	38	27	-	2 121
poddębicki	24	17	1	1 468
radomszczański	29	15	-	4 422
rawski	12	5	-	1 114
sieradzki	41	29	1	3 229
skierniewicki	20	11	-	1 295
tomaszowski	24	13	-	2 221
wieluński	31	20	1	2 261
wieruszowski	25	17	-	1 578
zduńskowolski	16	13	1	1 142
zgierski	35	26	1	3 464
Łódź	82	75	15	16 923
Piotrków Trybunalski	3	2	-	1 037
Skierniewice	5	4	-	1 491
MAŁOPOLSKIE				
bocheński	37	28	-	2 765
brzeski	30	23	-	2 132
chrzanowski	38	33	1	3 904
dąbrowski	18	11	-	1 761
gorlicki	31	22	-	2 575

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
krakowski	62	45	-	3 534
limanowski	37	25	-	3 139
miechowski	15	8	-	1 461
myślenicki	24	15	1	1 733
nowosądecki	62	46	2	3 811
nowotarski	57	43	-	3 489
olkuski	32	25	-	3 395
oświęcimski	38	30	1	2 931
proszowicki	13	7	-	671
suski	31	21	-	2 121
tarnowski	64	48	-	4 839
tatrzański	17	12	-	1 442
wadowicki	46	36	-	3 137
wielicki	20	15	-	1 632
Kraków	71	63	4	13 089
Nowy Sącz	8	7	-	1 885
Tarnów	12	11	-	2 305
MAZOWIECKIE				
białobrzeski	11	5	-	924
ciechanowski	19	9	-	2 121
garwoliński	28	14	-	2 482
gostyniński	15	10	-	1 022
grodziski	13	7	-	918
grójecki	18	8	1	1 352
kozienicki	17	11	-	1 365

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
legionowski	18	13	-	1 281
lipski	12	6	-	942
łosicki	13	8	-	678
makowski	22	12	-	1 804
miński	28	15	-	2 480
mławski	18	8	-	1 636
nowodworski	14	8	-	1 384
ostrołęcki	31	20	-	2 388
ostrowski	19	9	-	1 875
otwocki	30	22	1	1 987
piaseczyński	25	19	-	1 968
płocki	35	20	-	2 352
płoński	17	6	-	1 278
pruszkowski	22	14	3	2 208
przasnyski	19	12	2	1 704
przysuski	18	10	-	1 031
pułtowski	15	8	-	1 173
radomski	45	32	-	2 769
siedlecki	25	12	-	1 532
sierpecki	19	12	-	1 385
sochaczewski	21	13	3	1 700
sokołowski	21	12	-	1 289
szydłowiecki	11	6	-	842
warszawski zachodni	18	11	-	1 365

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
węgrowski	25	17	-	2 003
wołomiński	38	26	-	3 177
wyszkowski	21	15	-	3 177
zwoleński	14	9	-	970
żuromiński	14	8	-	927
żyrardowski	14	9	-	1 911
Ostrołęka	6	5	1	1 419
Płock	15	14	1	4 374
Radom	15	14	-	2 494
Siedlce	6	5	-	776
Warszawa	190	171	49	35 954
OPOLSKIE				
brzeski	31	25	1	3 183
głubczycki	14	10	-	921
kędzierzyński-kozielski	26	20	-	3 470
kluczborski	21	17	-	1 384
krapkowicki	33	28	1	2 829
namysłowski	15	10	-	1 252
nyski	42	33	-	3 421
oleski	30	23	1	2 060
opolski	47	34	-	3 506
prudnicki	22	18	-	1 850
strzelecki	23	16	-	1 783
Opole	18	16	2	4 254

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
PODKARPACKIE				
bieszczadzki	11	8	-	1 430
brzozowski	36	30	-	2 134
dębicki	39	30	-	3 037
jarosławski	35	19	-	2 139
jasielski	26	16	-	1 873
kolbuszowski	24	18	-	2 561
krośnieński	37	28	-	2 089
leski	19	14	-	1 815
leżajski	25	20	-	2 006
lubaczowski	26	17	-	2 225
łańcucki	33	18	-	3 112
mielecki	47	32	2	3 797
niżański	24	17	-	1 546
przemyski	38	28	-	2 009
przeworski	33	24	-	2 655
ropczycko-sędziszowski	24	16	-	1 421
rzeszowski	73	54	-	4 594
sanocki	36	18	-	2 794
stalowowolski	28	22	-	2 013
strzyżowski	27	22	-	2 473
tarnobrzeski	17	13	-	1 444
Krosno	8	7	1	1 892
Przemyśl	8	7	-	1 577
Rzeszów	19	18	1	3 289
Tarnobrzeg	8	7	-	1 170

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
PODLASKIE				
augustowski	20	13	-	1 612
białostocki	34	19	-	3 327
bielski	11	3	-	1 452
grajewski	9	3	-	883
hajnowski	13	4	-	1 738
kolneński	15	9	-	802
łomżyński	21	12	-	1 855
moniecki	12	5	-	1 175
sejneński	9	4	-	741
siemiatycki	14	5	-	1 384
sokólski	26	16	1	2 310
suwalski	18	9	-	1 197
wysokomazowiecki	14	5	-	1 183
zambrowski	6	1	-	941
Białystok	15	14	1	4 868
Łomża	6	5	-	1 042
Suwałki	5	4	-	1 188
POMORSKIE				
bytowski	21	10	-	1 994
chojnicki	11	5	-	2 593
człuchowski	15	8	-	1 406
gdański	13	5	-	1 299
kartuski	20	12	-	2 013

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
kościerski	17	7	-	1 460
kwidzyński	17	11	-	1 614
łęborski	12	7	-	1 641
malborski	9	3	1	725
nowodworski	15	10	-	1 315
pucki	13	6	-	1 521
słupski	23	13	-	1 896
starogardzki	23	10	2	1 992
sztumski	13	8	-	958
tczewski	18	12	1	2 553
wejherowski	27	17	-	3 260
Gdańsk	32	31	2	6 372
Gdynia	23	22	-	3 812
Słupsk	9	8	-	1 971
Sopot	7	6	-	954
ŚLĄSKIE				
będziński	36	28	-	6 268
bielski	39	29	-	3 509
bieruńsko-lędziński	8	3	-	1 148
cieszyński	33	21	-	3 418
częstochowski	41	25	-	3 142
gliwicki	26	18	1	2 578
kłobucki	24	15	-	1 801
lubliniecki	28	20	-	2 396

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
mikołowski	20	15	-	2 853
myszkowski	18	13	-	1 157
pszczyński	33	27	-	2 351
raciborski	33	25	-	3 077
rybnicki	21	16	-	1 846
tarnogórski	35	26	1	3 573
wodzisławski	34	25	-	4 634
zawierciański	39	29	1	3 480
żywiecki	44	29	-	3 256
Bielsko-Biała	18	17	-	3 617
Bytom	11	10	-	4 630
Chorzów	14	13	1	2 508
Częstochowa	25	24	-	5 542
Dąbrowa Górnicza	18	17	-	3 741
Gliwice	24	22	1	3 821
Jastrzębie-Zdrój	13	12	-	3 109
Jaworzno	18	17	-	2 974
Katowice	38	36	1	17 237
Mysłowice	11	10	-	2 569
Piekary Śląskie	10	9	-	1 329
Ruda Śląska	14	13	-	2 152
Rybnik	23	22	-	5 317
Siemianowice Śląskie	11	10	1	1 813
Sosnowiec	22	21	1	7 410
Świętochłowice	7	6	-	1 444

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
Tychy	16	15	2	2 412
Zabrze	22	21	2	2 098
Żory	8	7	-	1 995
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE				
buski	18	10	-	1 367
jędrzejowski	28	19	-	2 152
kazimierski	13	8	1	1 024
kielecki	49	30	1	3 604
konecki	24	16	1	1 806
opatowski	20	12	-	1 624
ostrowiecki	22	16	2	1 943
pińczowski	18	13	-	2 454
sandomierski	21	12	-	1 546
skarżyski	12	6	-	1 632
starachowicki	24	19	2	1 843
staszowski	19	11	-	1 413
włoszczowski	15	10	1	1 158
Kielce	15	13	-	4 366
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE				
bartoszycki	18	12	-	2 274
braniewski	12	5	-	1 204
działdowski	23	17	-	1 797
elbląski	19	9	-	1 842
ełcki	11	6	-	2 419

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
giżycki	13	7	-	1 521
gołdapski	6	3	-	928
iławski	21	10	-	1 725
kętrzyński	17	11	-	2 211
lidzbarski	15	10	1	1 581
mragowski	12	7	-	1 166
nidzicki	9	5	-	736
nowomiejski	16	11	-	1 374
olecki	9	5	-	957
olsztyński	39	25	-	4 186
ostródzki	25	16	-	2 405
piski	11	7	-	1 258
szczycieński	20	12	-	1 880
węgorzewski	7	4	-	920
Elbląg	11	10	-	3 396
Olsztyn	19	17	1	4 755
WIELKOPOLSKIE				
chodzieski	9	5	-	1 264
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	28	20	-	2 988
gnieźnieński	21	11	-	2 671
gostyński	15	8	-	2 190
grodziski	13	8	-	1 266
jarociński	15	11	1	1 717
kaliski	27	16	-	1 928
kępiński	19	11	-	1 353

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
kolski	25	14	1	1 712
koniński	34	16	-	2 788
kościański	20	15	-	2 596
krotoszyński	21	15	-	2 065
leszczyński	18	11	-	1 670
międzychodzki	10	6	-	982
nowotomyski	19	13	-	2 290
obornicki	12	9	-	1 249
ostrowski	40	32	1	3 713
ostrzeszowski	12	5	-	1 331
pilski	28	19	-	3 337
pleszewski	21	15	-	1 724
poznański	67	50	-	6 120
rawicki	15	10	-	1 678
słupecki	14	6	-	1 283
szamotulski	20	12	-	1 763
średzki	15	9	-	1 216
śremski	10	6	-	1 412
turecki	20	11	1	1 616
wągrowiecki	17	9	-	2 527
wolsztyński	15	9	-	1 298
wrzesiński	21	16	1	1 759
złotowski	17	10	-	2 454
Kalisz	10	9	-	1 797

TABLE 16

LIBRARIES, BRANCHES, LIBRARY SPACE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES TOTAL	BRANCHES		LIBRARY SPACE (m ²)
		Total	for children	
0	1	2	3	4
Konin	11	10	2	1 916
Leszno	8	7	-	1 622
Poznań	57	55	12	11 396
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE				
białogardzki	9	5	-	2 023
choszczeński	22	16	-	1 577
drawski	16	10	1	1 464
goleniowski	24	18	1	2 877
gryficki	14	7	-	3 913
gryfiński	26	17	-	2 817
kamieński	20	14	-	2 065
kołobrzeski	19	12	-	2 003
koszaliński	24	16	-	1 636
łobeski	13	8	-	1 790
myśliborski	18	13	-	2 913
policki	11	7	1	1 596
pyrzycki	19	13	-	2 737
sławieński	16	9	-	1 788
stargardzki	27	11	-	3 719
szczecinecki	22	10	-	2 534
świdwiński	15	6	-	1 831
wątecki	10	6	-	924
Koszalin	11	10	1	3 987
Szczecin	37	35	2	19 041
Świnoujście	6	5	-	2 626

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE								
bolesławiecki	24	14	9	5	57	57	34	12
dzierżonowski	24	12	7	5	77	71	43	18
górowski	16	8	5	3	34	34	18	8
górowski	11	7	4	3	28	27	23	1
jaworski	17	8	5	3	34	33	26	5
jeleniogórski	23	11	8	3	41	40	31	3
kamiennogórski	12	7	6	1	21	21	16	-
kłodzki	54	49	14	35	134	119	74	33
legnicki	19	15	8	7	44	38	31	12
lubański	18	5	4	1	17	16	7	2
lubiński	25	22	7	15	72	65	32	38
lwówecki	26	6	5	1	31	30	13	10
milicki	11	6	2	4	18	17	12	6
oleśnicki	20	12	8	4	60	56	26	26
oławski	17	8	3	5	25	21	15	3
polkowicki	22	10	6	4	47	40	30	7
strzeliński	13	6	5	1	20	18	14	6
średzki	18	5	4	1	15	14	10	4
świdnicki	29	17	8	9	112	107	55	47
trzebnicki	15	9	6	3	38	38	28	10
wałbrzyski	37	17	9	8	124	91	47	48
wołowski	13	7	3	4	23	22	19	1
wrocławski	40	32	9	23	85	80	51	24
ząbkowicki	27	11	7	4	36	35	24	14

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
zgorzelecki	27	14	7	7	72	65	33	30
złotoryjski	19	12	6	6	42	39	29	8
Jelenia Góra	9	8	1	7	48	34	5	36
Legnica	16	6	1	5	49	47	15	31
Wrocław	56	24	1	23	329	328	152	117
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE								
aleksandrowski	15	10	9	1	31	29	21	5
brodnicki	30	21	9	12	73	56	40	40
bydgoski	20	15	8	7	56	49	32	14
chełmiński	15	9	6	3	30	30	22	11
golubsko-dobrzyński	19	9	6	3	35	34	19	9
grudziądzki	12	11	6	5	28	25	21	8
inowrocławski	44	25	9	16	83	71	38	39
lipnowski	20	11	9	2	43	43	33	5
mogileński	8	5	4	1	22	22	13	7
nakielski	24	12	5	7	49	43	26	12
radziejowski	11	8	7	1	30	24	16	11
rypiński	18	12	6	6	44	44	31	12
sępoleński	11	6	4	2	24	24	11	10
świecki	25	13	11	2	52	51	40	9
toruński	30	27	9	18	86	77	59	24
tucholski	18	8	6	2	27	27	20	3
wąbrzeski	13	10	6	4	39	39	34	6
włocławski	26	17	13	4	48	45	43	3

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
żniński	21	7	6	1	26	26	16	6
Bydgoszcz	36	34	1	33	143	108	40	122
Grudziądz	11	5	1	4	27	17	7	17
Toruń	17	16	1	15	224	219	60	105
Włocławek	16	16	1	15	74	73	29	35
LUBELSKIE								
białski	39	28	16	12	68	55	40	14
biłgorajski	35	17	14	3	65	60	46	5
chełmski	33	19	15	4	75	72	56	15
hrubieszowski	23	16	9	7	48	45	34	9
janowski	16	9	7	2	21	20	14	4
krasnostawski	21	10	10	-	56	51	37	16
kraśnicki	28	14	10	4	72	60	36	17
lubartowski	41	29	13	16	91	73	57	22
lubelski	55	28	15	13	90	70	52	28
łęczyński	19	15	7	8	55	49	33	21
łukowski	26	22	11	11	72	63	46	16
opolski	29	14	7	7	43	41	20	20
parczewski	22	9	8	1	26	25	18	4
puławski	31	22	12	10	83	79	46	38
radzyński	20	11	8	3	38	35	26	8
rycki	19	7	5	2	24	17	13	10
świdnicki	16	7	4	3	30	30	13	14
tomaszowski	26	19	13	6	54	49	34	17
włodawski	17	7	7	-	25	25	20	4

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
zamojski	33	25	15	10	63	52	35	23
Biała Podlaska	7	7	1	6	70	64	13	40
Chełm	4	4	1	3	72	39	11	45
Lublin	35	15	4	11	206	208	53	71
Zamość	7	6	1	5	93	50	19	29
LUBUSKIE								
gorzowski	14	8	7	1	21	19	15	3
krośnieński	22	7	3	4	29	22	14	5
międzyrzeczki	22	10	5	5	25	19	18	1
nowosolski	27	12	8	4	50	46	32	8
słubicki	12	7	5	2	34	27	20	6
strzelecko-drezdenecki	16	6	4	2	19	19	14	3
sulęciński	13	5	3	2	12	9	7	-
świebodziński	15	6	4	2	15	14	8	8
wschowski	8	6	3	3	19	19	14	10
zielonogórski	31	24	10	14	79	76	57	17
żagański	30	12	6	6	41	37	32	11
żarski	29	20	9	11	74	61	38	11
Gorzów Wielkopolski	15	13	1	12	107	102	42	65
Zielona Góra	14	11	1	10	106	105	36	60
ŁÓDZKIE								
bełchatowski	19	14	8	6	77	70	31	41
brzeziński	6	5	5	-	23	18	11	8
kutnowski	31	14	11	3	76	74	39	34
łaski	17	7	4	3	38	32	18	13
łęczycki	14	9	7	2	45	42	30	14

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
łowicki	18	12	11	1	59	55	38	11
łódzki wschodni	11	6	3	3	28	27	20	4
opoczyński	21	7	7	-	32	31	18	12
pabianicki	17	15	7	8	49	43	25	37
pajęcząński	26	11	8	3	41	41	32	14
piotrkowski	38	15	10	5	48	40	33	11
poddębicki	24	7	7	-	39	30	16	16
radomszczański	29	16	12	4	78	58	36	32
rawski	12	9	7	2	48	45	30	17
sieradzki	41	22	12	10	119	105	54	53
skierniewicki	20	16	9	7	41	37	35	1
tomaszowski	24	23	11	12	83	77	41	39
wieluński	31	21	11	10	72	58	42	25
wieruszowski	25	11	8	3	57	52	41	9
zduńskowolski	16	5	3	2	29	24	9	12
zgierski	35	28	9	19	96	83	58	41
Łódź	82	78	7	71	449	310	113	332
Piotrków Trybunalski	3	3	1	2	48	21	8	37
Skierniewice	5	4	1	3	46	39	6	31
MAŁOPOLSKIE								
bocheński	37	26	9	17	55	49	35	5
brzeski	30	28	7	21	90	84	58	25
chrzanowski	38	21	5	16	142	136	61	84
dąbrowski	18	13	7	6	43	38	27	10
gorlicki	31	19	9	10	62	56	32	21

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
krakowski	62	39	16	23	136	141	95	28
limanowski	37	30	12	18	86	66	46	49
miechowski	15	9	7	2	47	45	32	16
myślenicki	24	15	9	6	47	45	28	9
nowosądecki	62	32	16	16	106	94	57	45
nowotarski	57	32	13	19	75	65	39	31
olkuski	32	15	7	8	78	73	39	31
oświęcimski	38	17	8	9	80	72	37	41
proszowicki	13	11	6	5	39	34	23	11
suski	31	20	10	10	69	62	43	17
tarnowski	64	55	16	39	151	128	88	50
tatrzański	17	12	5	7	37	30	20	15
wadowicki	46	22	9	13	68	59	43	18
wielicki	20	14	5	9	41	35	19	11
Kraków	71	70	8	62	399	326	110	258
Nowy Sącz	8	7	1	6	69	35	11	33
Tarnów	12	12	1	11	109	77	52	59
MAZOWIECKIE								
białobrzegi	11	7	6	1	30	21	15	10
ciechanowski	19	12	10	2	45	41	21	18
garwoliński	28	15	14	1	57	56	36	25
gostyniński	15	8	5	3	16	11	6	8
grodziski	13	6	6	-	27	27	16	11
grójecki	18	10	9	1	33	32	22	19
kozienicki	17	12	6	6	48	47	23	17

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
legionowski	18	14	5	9	54	46	25	27
lipski	12	8	5	3	30	24	13	9
łosicki	13	7	5	2	16	11	9	7
makowski	22	10	9	1	33	33	22	11
miński	28	19	13	6	99	94	54	48
mławski	18	10	8	2	37	34	28	8
nowodworski	14	10	6	4	42	37	24	28
ostrołęcki	31	16	11	5	62	55	41	18
ostrowski	19	10	9	1	43	42	29	14
otwocki	30	16	8	8	60	52	30	27
piaseczyński	25	21	5	16	62	47	23	34
płocki	35	21	15	6	63	56	40	18
płoński	17	8	7	1	29	28	14	14
pruskowski	22	19	8	11	67	64	31	43
przasnyski	19	11	7	4	36	33	24	9
przysuski	18	7	7	-	26	24	15	7
pułtuski	15	7	7	-	28	28	15	14
radomski	45	12	12	-	49	48	33	16
siedlecki	25	18	13	5	49	47	34	19
sierpecki	19	7	6	1	21	19	9	13
sochaczewski	21	12	8	4	29	27	13	11
sokołowski	21	10	8	2	56	52	35	23
szydłowiecki	11	4	4	-	17	16	12	3
warszawski zachodni	18	11	7	4	47	41	22	26

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
węgrowski	25	12	8	4	55	53	39	18
wołomiński	38	17	12	5	92	79	47	57
wyszowski	21	12	6	6	54	48	25	27
zwoleński	14	11	5	6	49	45	36	13
żuromiński	14	9	6	3	37	29	20	6
żyrardowski	14	6	5	1	32	31	17	23
Ostrołęka	6	6	1	5	30	21	8	30
Płock	15	14	1	13	121	115	42	76
Radom	15	12	1	11	102	63	30	33
Siedlce	6	6	1	5	58	51	32	41
Warszawa	190	190	19	171	1 854	1 468	570	1 082
OPOLSKIE								
brzeski	31	16	6	10	53	51	34	12
głubczycki	14	6	4	2	20	18	13	14
kędzierzyński-kozielski	26	16	6	10	105	95	62	30
kluczborski	21	7	3	4	29	26	17	6
krakowicki	33	9	5	4	41	38	20	12
namysłowski	15	6	4	2	28	26	22	5
nyski	42	14	9	5	61	57	33	20
oleski	30	11	7	4	35	31	21	12
opolski	47	25	12	13	74	66	45	20
prudnicki	22	5	3	2	24	16	13	3
strzelecki	23	10	7	3	40	38	27	12
Opole	18	13	2	11	129	129	35	89

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
PODKARPACKIE								
bieszczadzki	11	3	3	-	20	20	9	5
brzozowski	36	20	4	16	39	22	19	3
dębicki	39	23	7	16	66	65	53	12
jarosławski	35	7	6	1	31	29	19	12
jasielski	26	18	10	8	92	90	57	51
kolbuszowski	24	20	6	14	103	71	49	36
krośnieński	37	19	8	11	52	48	31	17
leski	19	6	5	1	23	22	20	3
leżajski	25	16	5	11	43	39	27	12
lubaczowski	26	9	8	1	52	50	33	15
łańcucki	33	23	15	8	75	68	40	34
mielecki	47	23	8	15	80	61	41	25
niżański	24	11	7	4	34	30	23	8
przemyski	38	16	10	6	44	41	34	1
przeworski	33	15	9	6	44	43	33	7
ropczycko-sędziszowski	24	6	5	1	24	23	17	1
rzeszowski	73	42	17	25	124	119	77	36
sanocki	36	26	15	11	74	61	46	24
stalowowolski	28	25	5	20	51	42	14	27
strzyżowski	27	13	5	8	45	42	24	14
tarnobrzeski	17	7	4	3	26	22	17	5
Krosno	8	6	1	5	61	61	25	34
Przemyśl	8	1	1	-	38	18	4	24
Rzeszów	19	18	1	17	130	103	35	91
Tarnobrzeg	8	8	1	7	55	54	20	45

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
PODLASKIE								
augustowski	20	13	7	6	45	37	26	12
białostocki	34	19	12	7	75	68	42	32
bielski	11	6	5	1	23	23	16	6
grajewski	9	7	6	1	26	25	17	4
hajnowski	13	12	9	3	55	43	32	15
kolneński	15	8	4	4	35	34	24	10
łomżyński	21	16	8	8	47	35	28	16
moniecki	12	9	6	3	30	22	17	13
sejneński	9	3	3	-	4	4	3	-
siemiatycki	14	7	7	-	42	38	26	10
sokólski	26	9	8	1	31	28	26	5
suwalski	18	10	8	2	31	29	26	4
wysokomazowiecki	14	9	9	-	40	40	29	3
zambrowski	6	6	5	1	18	12	11	3
Białystok	15	14	1	13	126	89	25	71
Łomża	6	3	1	2	43	29	9	32
Suwałki	5	5	1	4	39	35	13	11
POMORSKIE								
bytowski	21	11	10	1	55	51	39	15
chojnicki	11	6	3	3	65	56	35	22
człuchowski	15	8	7	1	45	35	24	15
gdański	13	13	8	5	38	29	24	11
kartuski	20	11	8	3	46	44	30	7

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
kościerski	17	9	7	2	34	27	15	16
kwidzyński	17	13	5	8	44	37	16	25
łęborski	12	8	5	3	53	36	17	24
malborski	9	8	5	3	26	22	14	8
nowodworski	15	9	5	4	35	29	19	10
pucki	13	6	6	-	30	29	19	11
słupski	23	13	9	4	51	49	32	16
starogardzki	23	19	13	6	80	74	54	23
sztumski	13	5	4	1	33	32	26	4
tczewski	18	11	6	5	53	44	20	16
wejherowski	27	24	10	14	116	112	62	53
Gdańsk	32	17	1	16	233	231	108	168
Gdynia	23	15	1	14	85	84	44	38
Słupsk	9	9	1	8	101	76	19	68
Sopot	7	7	1	6	43	38	19	17
ŚLĄSKIE								
będziński	36	22	8	14	102	81	44	24
bielski	39	29	10	19	120	97	62	38
bieruńsko-lędziński	8	8	5	3	35	33	23	16
cieszyński	33	23	12	11	99	74	41	40
częstochowski	41	27	16	11	80	79	70	6
gliwicki	26	16	8	8	62	57	39	15
kłobucki	24	12	9	3	46	42	33	6
lubliniecki	28	11	7	4	41	37	25	8

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
mikołowski	20	14	5	9	43	33	23	16
myszkowski	18	4	4	-	22	21	12	8
pszczyński	33	15	6	9	62	54	34	12
raciborski	33	20	7	13	73	67	45	15
rybnicki	21	7	5	2	22	21	15	4
tarnogórski	35	20	9	11	83	76	43	20
wodzisławski	34	18	9	9	76	69	34	29
zawierciański	39	13	9	4	57	54	30	18
żywiecki	44	26	15	11	71	58	44	18
Bielsko-Biała	18	12	1	11	128	121	35	32
Bytom	11	2	1	1	74	74	12	6
Chorzów	14	12	1	11	50	39	12	31
Częstochowa	25	5	1	4	53	39	16	12
Dąbrowa Górnicza	18	9	1	8	54	49	22	51
Gliwice	24	20	2	18	108	86	29	40
Jastrzębie Zdrój	13	6	1	5	67	65	32	27
Jaworzno	18	15	1	14	177	177	99	69
Katowice	38	34	2	32	428	343	94	268
Mysłowice	11	3	1	2	19	11	4	6
Piekary Śląskie	10	2	1	1	13	12	4	-
Ruda Śląska	14	14	1	13	48	35	10	14
Rybnik	23	3	1	2	45	43	12	18
Siemianowice Śląskie	11	9	1	8	36	36	19	11
Sosnowiec	22	2	1	1	80	18	3	39
Świętochłowice	7	3	1	2	10	7	-	6

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Tychy	16	12	1	11	50	50	17	17
Zabrze	22	21	1	20	116	102	46	85
Żory	8	8	1	7	51	43	18	19
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE								
buski	18	7	6	1	25	23	19	6
jędrzejowski	28	8	8	-	21	21	14	4
kazimierski	13	2	2	-	8	8	6	2
kielecki	49	28	18	10	78	65	48	17
konecki	24	7	5	2	36	35	18	9
opatowski	20	7	4	3	30	24	19	6
ostrowiecki	22	12	5	7	45	26	17	9
pińczowski	18	9	5	4	34	28	27	2
sandomierski	21	10	8	2	26	21	21	4
skarżyski	12	6	5	1	35	33	20	10
starachowicki	24	9	5	4	38	33	22	15
staszowski	19	10	6	4	41	32	22	12
włoszczowski	15	5	4	1	24	22	21	1
Kielce	15	15	2	13	149	121	41	74
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE								
bartoszycki	18	16	6	10	76	74	63	14
braniewski	12	10	7	3	70	67	47	20
działdowski	23	16	6	10	59	53	40	18
elbląski	19	15	10	5	79	76	58	16
ełcki	11	9	5	4	59	59	39	17

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
giżycki	13	8	6	2	42	41	23	19
gołdapski	6	6	3	3	41	39	24	13
iławski	21	18	9	9	90	83	63	23
kętrzyński	17	13	6	7	57	45	36	13
lidzbarski	15	11	5	6	51	47	35	14
mragowski	12	11	5	6	45	45	39	8
nidzicki	9	8	4	4	40	38	28	4
nowomiejski	16	11	5	6	49	45	33	10
olecki	9	7	4	3	28	27	20	13
olsztyński	39	23	13	10	107	101	71	27
ostródzki	25	17	9	8	74	68	41	23
piski	11	10	4	6	58	54	38	4
szczycieński	20	15	8	7	72	61	49	16
węgorzewski	7	7	3	4	41	36	23	14
Elbląg	11	9	1	8	114	114	49	49
Olsztyn	19	18	2	16	286	249	126	189
WIELKOPOLSKIE								
chodzieski	9	6	4	2	30	26	14	17
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	28	9	6	3	39	36	25	16
gnieźnieński	21	14	9	5	71	71	47	23
gostyński	15	8	7	1	38	36	18	17
grodziski	13	8	4	4	38	38	26	11
jarociński	15	8	4	4	40	36	14	24
kaliski	27	17	9	8	81	77	60	14
kępiński	19	12	8	4	55	51	37	10

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
kolski	25	10	8	2	34	34	20	9
koniński	34	18	14	4	60	56	41	13
kościański	20	11	5	6	52	49	33	16
krotoszyński	21	8	6	2	43	43	22	11
leszczyński	18	10	6	4	34	32	22	8
międzychodzki	10	5	4	1	23	21	10	8
nowotomyski	19	8	5	3	46	44	20	25
obornicki	12	2	2	-	9	9	6	2
ostrowski	40	29	8	21	104	92	51	46
ostrzeszowski	12	7	6	1	34	34	24	4
pilski	28	17	6	11	87	86	31	48
pleszewski	21	16	6	10	55	47	31	23
poznański	67	42	17	25	172	151	81	87
rawicki	15	13	5	8	46	43	23	19
słupecki	14	10	8	2	36	35	23	13
szamotulski	20	11	8	3	48	47	28	16
średzki	15	8	6	2	39	34	24	16
śremski	10	5	4	1	35	34	16	15
turecki	20	11	8	3	58	57	36	29
wągrowiecki	17	8	8	-	42	40	22	18
wolsztyński	15	7	3	4	27	25	13	17
wrzesiński	21	4	3	1	18	17	12	5
złotowski	17	10	7	3	56	33	25	16
Kalisz	10	9	1	8	34	30	8	22

TABLE 17

AUTOMATION IN LIBRARIES AND LIBRARY BRANCHES

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	LIBRARIES AND BRANCHES				NUMBER OF PCS:			
	Total	including equipped with PCs			Total	PCs connected to the Internet		Used in library and bibliographic work
		total	libraries	branches		total	accessible to users	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Konin	11	7	1	6	42	24	15	25
Leszno	8	8	1	7	47	32	11	28
Poznań	57	26	2	24	197	192	40	101
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE								
białogardzki	9	6	4	2	38	38	30	4
choszczeński	22	7	5	2	28	26	21	4
drawski	16	7	6	1	26	26	14	12
goleniowski	24	6	5	1	36	36	19	18
gryficki	14	7	6	1	48	39	23	18
gryfiński	26	15	7	8	51	49	34	12
kamieński	20	6	4	2	27	25	18	6
kołobrzeski	19	14	7	7	69	62	52	25
koszaliński	24	13	8	5	71	62	43	23
łobeski	13	5	5	-	29	27	14	8
myśliborski	18	9	4	5	41	36	24	10
policki	11	4	3	1	17	8	4	8
pyrzycki	19	6	5	1	26	24	17	6
sławieński	16	8	6	2	32	27	15	6
stargardzki	27	13	11	2	58	55	43	15
szczecinecki	22	8	6	2	27	22	10	9
świdwiński	15	9	7	2	25	23	21	1
wąlecki	10	3	3	-	15	15	11	4
Koszalin	11	11	1	10	167	86	23	70
Szczecin	37	36	2	34	305	271	71	185
Świnoujście	6	2	1	1	17	16	4	10

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE								
bolesławiecki	11,7	0,3	9,9	3,3	392,4	9,6	9,2	0,4
dzierzoniowski	13,5	-	11,3	16,0	439,0	5,5	5,3	0,2
głogowski	9,4	3,3	5,0	13,9	232,5	5,8	4,1	1,7
górowski	4,1	0,5	3,3	4,0	168,7	1,7	1,6	-
jaworski	7,3	0,8	6,1	2,8	253,2	7,6	7,6	0,0
jeleniogórski	8,1	0,5	6,4	14,6	289,1	0,3	0,2	-
kamiennogórski	3,9	0,5	3,1	2,3	168,3	1,0	0,5	0,0
kłodzki	26,7	2,0	12,8	19,0	993,3	7,0	6,1	0,3
legnicki	6,3	0,6	5,1	8,0	247,2	3,3	0,7	0,0
lubański	6,5	0,0	4,9	5,6	288,0	3,9	2,0	0,1
lubiński	12,0	1,2	9,5	11,4	323,6	8,4	8,4	0,0
lwówecki	6,5	0,1	4,7	5,2	287,9	0,8	0,8	0,0
milicki	6,6	2,0	4,1	0,8	159,2	0,2	0,1	0,1
oleśnicki	12,3	-	10,2	21,9	378,5	15,8	2,0	0,5
oławski	6,6	0,8	4,4	5,2	212,0	20,6	5,5	0,0
polkowicki	12,5	0,1	10,8	7,9	275,2	5,8	5,6	0,2
strzeliński	6,5	0,4	5,2	7,1	181,4	0,2	0,2	0,0
średzki	6,0	0,1	4,8	2,4	215,0	0,2	0,2	0,0
świdnicki	19,7	0,3	13,7	20,9	523,1	15,4	13,8	1,6
trzebnicki	8,7	0,4	6,8	18,2	250,3	3,4	3,4	0,1
wałbrzyski	21,0	1,8	15,3	44,6	670,9	42,3	39,7	0,0
wołowski	5,7	-	5,1	2,3	184,0	15,4	15,4	0,0
wrocławski	26,1	13,9	9,9	18,5	474,5	2,4	2,3	0,1
ząbkowicki	9,3	0,3	8,7	6,8	315,3	2,5	2,4	0,1

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
zgorzelecki	8,7	0,0	7,0	4,6	406,8	9,5	6,5	0,3
złotoryjski	7,4	0,4	6,6	7,0	265,3	4,1	4,0	0,1
Jelenia Góra	6,1	-	5,6	4,2	268,9	19,0	9,6	0,1
Legnica	9,9	1,2	7,6	6,6	373,4	75,9	35,2	0,3
Wrocław	70,1	-	49,9	188,3	1 267,3	210,2	124,7	7,9
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE								
aleksandrowski	6,3	-	5,9	2,7	237,0	0,8	0,6	0,2
brodnicki	10,8	-	10,3	5,2	288,5	2,0	1,7	0,2
bydgoski	12,9	0,2	11,4	5,3	291,2	0,9	0,8	0,1
chełmiński	6,2	-	5,7	2,8	236,6	5,8	4,4	1,0
golubsko-dobrzyński	6,0	-	5,9	6,5	231,7	0,1	0,0	0,0
grudziądzki	4,3	-	4,1	5,0	130,5	0,1	0,1	0,0
inowrocławski	19,7	-	16,6	6,2	741,9	3,3	2,0	0,3
lipnowski	6,3	0,1	5,6	50,6	259,2	0,2	0,2	0,0
mogileński	5,5	0,1	5,2	2,8	170,5	0,6	0,5	0,1
nakielski	9,0	-	8,6	11,4	306,4	0,3	0,2	0,0
radziejowski	6,9	-	6,1	2,3	209,2	0,9	0,7	0,3
rypiński	5,6	-	4,5	2,9	234,6	0,5	0,2	0,2
sępoleński	4,9	-	4,2	2,6	191,9	0,4	0,3	0,1
świecki	13,0	-	9,8	6,9	353,9	1,0	0,7	0,2
toruński	12,0	1,7	9,9	8,6	351,7	8,6	3,6	0,2
tucholski	6,3	-	5,4	1,4	225,6	0,5	0,5	0,0
wąbrzeski	4,4	-	3,8	4,9	123,7	1,5	0,0	0,6
włocławski	5,7	-	5,4	4,0	326,7	0,1	0,0	0,1

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
żniński	10,9	-	9,8	16,7	332,2	1,8	1,1	0,0
Bydgoszcz	17,2	-	13,6	13,4	1 077,1	50,9	13,4	0,4
Grudziądz	7,9	0,3	6,0	4,1	237,8	22,8	21,7	1,2
Toruń	12,9	-	7,6	14,5	782,7	59,0	8,5	0,7
Włocławek	9,2	-	5,2	8,3	430,8	3,3	3,3	-
LUBELSKIE								
białski	12,7	0,6	11,2	13,2	358,0	0,3	0,2	0,1
biłgorajski	11,7	-	11,0	20,5	332,8	0,1	0,0	0,0
chełmski	9,8	-	8,9	25,8	336,4	0,2	0,2	0,0
hrubieszowski	8,8	-	6,0	10,8	234,9	0,6	0,3	0,2
janowski	4,9	-	4,8	6,9	132,6	-	-	-
krasnostawski	8,2	0,2	7,2	8,3	267,2	0,2	0,1	0,1
kraśnicki	16,0	2,7	12,2	27,8	227,7	3,4	2,8	0,6
lubartowski	13,7	-	12,7	14,0	351,9	10,4	10,3	0,1
lubelski	19,6	0,4	18,2	21,9	467,4	0,3	0,1	0,1
łęczyński	9,0	-	7,9	7,3	176,9	0,6	0,5	0,1
łukowski	13,2	-	12,5	12,0	302,5	0,3	0,1	0,2
opolski	8,4	0,5	7,5	9,4	224,8	7,7	6,8	0,1
parczewski	4,2	0,0	3,6	6,5	154,2	0,6	0,6	0,0
puławski	13,1	0,2	12,6	12,8	305,4	13,3	10,6	0,0
radzyński	6,4	0,2	5,5	4,9	180,7	0,4	0,4	-
rycki	6,4	0,0	5,9	9,8	200,7	1,2	0,9	0,4
świdnicki	8,7	-	8,2	12,8	171,4	3,9	3,9	0,0
tomaszowski	9,6	-	9,3	32,3	300,8	0,7	0,7	0,0
włodawski	4,0	0,3	3,5	3,4	194,4	1,0	0,9	0,0

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
zamojski	13,0	-	12,0	42,3	389,3	0,5	0,3	0,2
Biała Podlaska	6,0	-	4,8	7,1	205,9	5,1	3,5	0,3
Chełm	7,5	-	4,9	4,9	205,9	35,3	30,8	1,3
Lublin	36,1	0,0	27,7	32,7	830,1	156,1	10,8	0,3
Zamość	11,0	1,4	7,3	9,8	232,9	11,3	2,0	0,2
LUBUSKIE								
gorzowski	10,4	-	6,2	7,8	181,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
krośnieński	7,3	0,3	6,3	10,8	246,0	4,8	1,8	0,1
międzyrzecki	8,6	-	8,0	7,6	277,2	0,9	0,9	0,0
nowosolski	11,2	-	9,2	15,5	369,5	1,2	1,1	0,1
słubicki	6,4	-	6,1	8,0	175,6	1,3	0,9	0,4
strzelecko-drezdenecki	5,1	-	4,9	6,2	174,3	0,1	0,0	0,1
sulęciński	4,0	-	3,8	2,9	163,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
świebodziński	5,4	0,0	4,5	6,7	188,4	0,3	0,0	0,3
wschowski	4,8	-	4,7	6,8	151,6	0,8	0,7	0,0
zielonogórski	11,0	0,5	9,9	12,3	372,2	6,7	6,7	0,0
żagański	11,8	-	10,6	31,6	439,2	1,4	0,6	0,8
żarski	12,9	-	11,0	2,9	399,1	22,6	6,1	0,1
Gorzów Wielkopolski	19,4	5,7	10,9	13,8	422,8	38,4	31,7	0,5
Zielona Góra	13,8	-	8,6	21,4	431,8	62,5	14,2	0,6
ŁÓDZKIE								
bełchatowski	13,8	-	8,1	15,9	339,0	2,0	1,6	0,3
brzeziński	3,1	0,0	3,0	1,1	127,1	0,2	0,2	-
kutnowski	12,4	-	11,6	8,0	432,3	25,3	24,6	0,0
łaski	5,6	-	4,1	2,3	255,9	3,4	3,2	0,0
łęczycki	4,7	-	4,5	3,4	228,4	3,0	2,6	0,0

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
łowicki	9,3	0,3	8,5	3,1	252,9	13,2	12,8	0,0
łódzki wschodni	5,1	-	4,3	7,5	175,9	0,3	0,3	0,0
opoczyński	4,9	-	4,3	3,6	247,4	0,1	0,0	0,1
pabianicki	8,9	1,1	6,3	8,5	324,4	2,7	2,1	0,3
pajęczański	6,5	0,0	6,3	1,8	247,3	0,0	0,0	-
piotrkowski	9,6	0,3	8,8	5,5	394,1	0,5	0,1	0,5
poddębicki	6,0	-	5,0	2,8	260,3	0,1	0,0	0,1
radomszczański	15,2	-	14,5	1,8	449,1	9,5	9,2	0,2
rawski	6,5	-	5,6	2,2	158,5	0,7	0,7	-
sieradzki	16,4	0,0	14,1	7,2	591,2	11,2	8,2	0,4
skierniewicki	4,7	0,2	4,1	5,6	195,2	0,1	0,1	0,0
tomaszowski	13,0	1,5	10,4	4,6	426,9	3,1	2,9	0,1
wieluński	12,3	0,2	10,3	6,3	375,0	0,7	0,5	0,3
wieruszowski	6,3	-	6,1	2,3	192,6	0,7	0,7	0,0
zduńskowolski	4,5	-	3,7	5,9	228,3	6,7	6,6	0,0
zgierski	12,3	0,0	10,0	10,7	516,4	4,3	4,1	0,1
Łódź	52,3	1,4	30,2	51,3	2 152,9	89,4	26,6	3,6
Piotrków Trybunalski	8,0	-	5,6	4,7	307,2	27,7	24,3	0,9
Skierniewice	4,5	-	4,1	6,5	215,9	21,7	21,6	0,1
MAŁOPOLSKIE								
bocheński	13,2	0,0	12,0	17,3	402,2	14,8	14,0	0,7
brzeski	12,8	-	11,7	10,1	431,2	0,8	0,5	0,3
chrzanowski	18,9	0,1	15,8	11,4	574,9	9,5	9,1	0,4
dąbrowski	6,5	-	6,4	3,8	207,8	0,2	0,2	-
gorlicki	11,9	0,5	10,0	24,0	374,4	16,2	15,3	0,1

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
krakowski	18,8	0,0	17,4	12,0	654,4	1,2	0,8	0,4
limanowski	14,7	0,0	14,2	11,4	474,6	11,3	10,4	0,2
miechowski	6,2	-	5,7	2,5	197,0	0,5	0,4	0,1
myślenicki	10,5	-	9,7	3,3	240,0	1,0	1,0	0,0
nowosądecki	22,5	0,2	20,4	23,5	731,4	8,0	3,0	0,0
nowotarski	16,4	0,2	15,2	14,2	636,4	0,5	0,5	0,0
olkuski	13,1	0,0	11,1	16,0	492,4	4,8	4,0	0,8
oświęcimski	18,9	0,1	15,7	10,8	517,5	6,9	6,1	0,8
proszowicki	4,8	-	4,7	5,8	127,2	0,5	0,4	0,1
suski	10,5	0,0	10,1	15,1	352,6	1,3	0,9	0,4
tarnowski	26,0	2,4	22,5	17,8	850,3	1,5	0,9	0,6
tatrzański	6,2	-	5,6	4,9	243,6	0,5	0,5	-
wadowicki	18,2	-	15,2	10,4	518,0	5,8	5,4	0,1
wielicki	9,2	-	8,5	3,1	270,1	0,3	0,2	0,1
Kraków	58,0	0,1	38,3	95,4	1 891,1	72,5	45,0	1,0
Nowy Sącz	14,3	-	11,8	11,6	298,8	25,1	22,4	0,3
Tarnów	7,4	-	5,0	8,2	360,9	48,2	46,8	0,4
MAZOWIECKIE								
białobrzeski	6,1	0,2	5,9	6,2	105,1	0,1	0,1	-
ciechanowski	9,8	-	9,1	14,6	361,2	38,6	27,3	0,2
garwoliński	12,3	0,3	11,5	18,5	360,4	0,2	0,2	0,0
gostyniński	4,3	-	3,9	2,2	200,4	-	-	-
grodziski	5,7	0,0	5,5	6,4	170,8	0,1	0,0	0,1
grójecki	13,1	5,2	7,3	17,7	278,3	0,1	0,1	0,0
kozienicki	8,7	0,2	8,0	17,6	167,0	0,3	0,3	0,1

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
legionowski	10,1	0,0	9,0	3,6	223,1	0,4	0,4	0,0
lipski	5,4	-	5,1	5,8	164,1	0,6	0,5	0,1
łosicki	3,5	-	3,2	6,1	123,6	0,6	0,6	-
makowski	7,4	-	7,1	9,9	292,0	2,3	2,0	0,3
miński	19,7	-	17,5	15,0	445,9	1,2	1,1	0,1
mławski	9,1	-	8,7	2,7	270,3	2,0	1,9	0,0
nowodworski	7,1	-	6,0	1,3	228,0	0,4	0,0	0,4
ostrołęcki	8,2	-	7,6	12,3	349,5	0,8	0,8	-
ostrowski	19,4	9,0	9,4	13,7	326,3	1,2	1,0	0,0
otwocki	9,8	-	8,8	11,8	345,7	0,3	0,2	0,2
piaseczyński	15,9	0,4	14,9	6,8	367,3	0,8	0,7	0,0
płocki	14,1	1,8	12,1	16,9	431,7	0,1	0,1	0,0
płoński	8,4	0,2	7,2	12,9	258,5	0,4	0,4	0,0
pruszkowski	16,1	-	15,3	12,4	380,5	0,6	0,5	0,0
przasnyski	4,8	-	4,6	1,0	252,7	0,7	0,6	0,0
przysuski	7,5	-	7,1	2,5	157,1	1,1	1,1	0,0
pułtuski	6,9	-	6,2	8,9	211,3	1,4	1,2	0,2
radomski	13,3	-	12,6	21,6	514,9	10,8	10,6	-
siedlecki	18,6	8,2	10,0	16,5	323,8	0,9	0,9	0,1
sierpecki	6,0	-	5,8	2,8	249,7	4,9	4,9	-
sochaczewski	9,0	0,0	7,9	20,3	260,1	2,2	2,2	0,0
sokołowski	6,0	-	5,5	11,7	265,8	1,7	1,6	0,1
szydłowiecki	3,8	-	3,6	4,2	144,3	0,1	0,1	-
warszawski zachodni	10,4	0,1	9,8	7,2	201,9	0,1	0,1	0,0

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
węgrowski	7,2	0,1	7,0	13,1	279,7	3,4	3,2	0,0
wołomiński	27,0	5,7	19,7	20,0	445,8	1,7	1,5	0,2
wyszkowski	9,1	-	8,6	13,1	268,7	1,0	0,6	0,0
zwoleński	5,2	-	5,1	11,3	146,8	0,0	0,0	-
żuromiński	3,2	-	3,1	2,9	146,5	-	-	-
żyrardowski	14,5	8,1	5,5	20,4	236,8	0,2	0,2	0,0
Ostrołęka	3,9	-	2,2	1,8	179,8	12,5	9,5	0,0
Płock	11,1	-	9,4	4,0	391,6	50,4	37,1	0,7
Radom	7,8	-	5,1	37,6	378,0	34,9	34,8	0,0
Siedlce	9,0	-	7,5	4,0	282,5	29,9	28,9	0,7
Warszawa	370,8	3,1	301,9	271,3	5 629,8	221,7	107,4	11,5
OPOLSKIE								
brzeski	10,9	-	8,0	11,5	377,0	5,5	5,3	0,2
głubczycki	5,5	-	4,7	1,3	176,6	0,1	0,1	0,0
kędzierzyński-kozielski	12,9	0,0	10,8	18,4	381,5	19,0	18,9	0,1
kluczborski	9,0	0,1	6,8	4,0	191,0	13,1	13,0	0,0
krupkowicki	7,6	-	7,4	16,6	338,0	4,5	4,4	0,0
namysłowski	4,3	-	3,6	3,2	195,4	1,1	0,8	0,0
nyski	13,2	-	11,6	9,2	543,8	1,5	0,8	0,1
oleski	10,4	-	9,7	9,9	328,4	4,7	4,6	0,1
opolski	19,7	0,5	15,8	22,7	563,7	7,5	7,0	0,0
prudnicki	6,0	0,0	4,8	6,3	261,5	0,1	0,1	-
strzelecki	8,2	-	7,9	5,9	282,2	-	-	-
Opole	27,5	-	20,1	13,4	563,9	86,1	47,7	0,6

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
PODKARPACKIE								
bieszczadzki	3,0	-	2,9	4,6	109,8	0,4	0,3	0,0
brzozowski	8,7	-	7,6	3,2	301,2	0,3	0,2	-
dębicki	14,4	-	11,4	12,0	587,9	0,3	0,3	-
jarosławski	8,8	-	8,3	7,9	336,9	1,3	0,6	0,6
jasielski	13,1	-	12,5	12,1	363,9	8,1	2,2	0,2
kolbuszowski	9,3	-	8,0	3,9	321,6	3,4	2,9	0,5
krośnieński	16,3	-	14,6	5,8	391,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
leski	4,8	-	4,4	5,8	209,2	0,6	0,5	0,0
leżajski	7,5	-	6,6	8,3	285,2	0,1	0,1	0,0
lubaczowski	9,3	-	8,5	4,2	255,8	0,4	0,4	-
łańcucki	12,1	-	10,6	11,6	473,6	3,9	3,1	0,2
mielecki	18,7	-	16,7	37,3	667,3	2,2	1,7	0,1
nizański	6,8	0,2	5,1	11,7	266,0	0,1	0,1	0,0
przemyski	9,0	0,5	8,4	9,8	328,6	0,1	0,1	0,0
przeworski	9,8	-	9,4	8,2	316,0	3,1	3,0	0,0
ropczycko-sędziszowski	6,9	0,0	5,8	5,1	263,2	0,2	0,0	0,0
rzeszowski	21,6	0,4	19,8	10,9	783,7	0,3	0,2	0,1
sanocki	11,4	-	9,8	6,4	442,1	13,3	13,1	0,2
stalowowolski	11,8	0,0	10,7	5,9	407,9	17,8	17,8	0,0
strzyżowski	8,2	-	7,7	4,6	343,2	0,5	0,5	0,0
tarnobrzeski	4,8	0,4	4,3	8,7	210,7	0,1	0,1	-
Krosno	15,1	-	14,2	5,0	239,2	44,0	40,8	0,4
Przemyśl	5,5	-	3,1	4,3	276,6	1,9	0,5	0,4
Rzeszów	36,1	13,4	20,7	13,9	571,5	33,5	20,5	0,5
Tarnobrzeg	8,9	-	8,3	10,2	199,9	33,0	32,7	0,0

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
PODLASKIE								
augustowski	6,8	-	6,2	8,7	262,1	1,0	1,0	0,0
białostocki	14,9	0,3	12,6	6,3	554,6	1,0	0,5	0,4
bielski	5,1	-	5,0	4,8	188,8	0,0	0,0	0,0
grajewski	4,4	-	4,3	4,2	164,9	-	-	-
hajnowski	5,9	-	5,2	2,8	219,7	1,8	0,2	0,4
kolneński	5,1	-	4,5	2,0	147,2	0,0	-	0,0
łomżyński	8,2	0,0	7,5	2,1	281,6	1,5	0,1	1,0
moniecki	5,4	0,0	4,9	3,9	164,8	0,7	0,7	0,0
sejneński	2,4	-	2,2	1,3	124,4	-	-	-
siemiatycki	6,1	0,0	5,8	2,5	234,8	0,4	0,3	0,0
sokólski	8,4	0,2	7,0	8,0	373,1	1,5	1,0	0,2
suwalski	4,1	0,1	3,5	10,1	189,0	0,0	-	0,0
wysokomazowiecki	4,4	0,0	4,2	2,1	204,2	0,1	0,1	0,0
zambrowski	3,6	-	3,6	0,9	112,6	0,2	0,2	-
Białystok	40,1	0,0	16,4	7,8	901,5	83,0	18,6	0,5
Łomża	6,5	-	5,6	7,9	196,6	9,5	3,4	-
Suwałki	6,5	-	4,1	5,3	229,6	12,3	6,7	1,4
POMORSKIE								
bytowski	7,5	-	6,3	12,4	242,4	1,2	1,2	0,1
chojnicki	12,5	4,3	6,5	7,8	258,4	2,8	2,6	0,2
człuchowski	9,1	-	8,6	2,0	267,7	5,5	5,3	0,1
gdański	6,9	0,0	6,0	3,1	237,6	0,6	0,6	0,0
kartuski	7,7	-	6,7	13,2	287,4	0,2	0,2	0,0

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
kościerski	5,0	0,0	4,6	13,9	231,6	0,1	0,1	0,0
kwidzyński	8,2	-	8,1	1,4	298,5	0,5	0,5	-
łęborski	8,7	-	8,0	7,9	206,6	1,1	1,0	0,1
malborski	4,3	0,1	4,0	5,5	123,1	0,5	0,4	0,1
nowodworski	4,6	0,4	3,9	2,4	178,5	1,4	1,4	0,0
pucki	6,1	1,6	3,9	3,6	174,4	0,5	0,5	-
słupski	9,3	0,1	7,9	11,8	282,6	0,2	0,2	0,0
starogardzki	10,0	0,0	9,6	10,9	346,9	0,0	0,0	0,0
sztumski	3,4	-	3,2	6,6	162,7	2,1	2,1	-
tczewski	11,2	0,0	8,8	16,4	303,2	12,4	12,2	0,0
wejherowski	19,2	0,6	15,6	18,5	547,3	4,0	2,8	0,1
Gdańsk	35,9	-	26,8	57,8	850,7	16,6	16,4	0,2
Gdynia	24,5	-	19,0	20,9	579,3	2,4	1,2	0,4
Słupsk	12,3	-	7,0	13,7	267,5	28,4	28,1	0,3
Sopot	4,8	-	3,2	7,1	161,8	0,8	0,3	0,5
ŚLĄSKIE								
będziński	20,1	0,0	18,2	13,8	828,4	5,1	3,6	0,3
bielski	22,9	-	20,4	7,5	608,2	3,6	2,9	0,7
bieruńsko-łódzki	8,7	-	7,7	4,7	167,2	0,9	0,9	0,0
cieszyński	19,7	0,0	17,6	18,2	584,1	1,6	1,3	0,2
częstochowski	15,6	-	14,1	13,1	472,7	0,8	0,8	0,0
gliwicki	12,0	0,1	9,7	15,9	384,2	0,8	0,7	0,0
kłobucki	9,2	-	8,7	6,6	288,5	2,7	2,7	0,0
lubliniecki	12,0	2,5	7,8	18,5	339,0	0,8	0,7	-

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
mikołowski	12,8	-	10,3	12,0	316,0	11,3	9,8	1,5
myszkowski	7,7	-	7,3	6,7	213,6	0,0	0,0	0,0
pszczyński	15,3	-	14,5	8,0	351,0	10,1	10,1	0,0
raciborski	14,4	0,0	12,0	21,9	456,6	10,2	7,0	0,8
rybnicki	7,9	-	7,4	13,0	303,4	0,1	0,1	-
tarnogórski	15,9	0,0	11,7	17,7	499,5	0,4	0,4	-
wodzisławski	16,2	-	14,5	7,0	692,7	21,3	21,2	0,0
zawierciański	11,8	-	9,9	24,9	520,2	1,2	1,2	0,0
żywiecki	19,5	-	17,8	26,8	501,7	12,2	12,2	0,0
Bielsko-Biała	22,0	1,8	14,4	22,8	610,4	26,0	17,7	0,6
Bytom	8,9	0,3	5,7	9,1	318,0	11,3	10,1	0,1
Chorzów	7,2	-	6,2	8,0	261,6	4,4	4,4	0,1
Częstochowa	19,6	-	12,3	33,9	656,0	39,3	27,2	0,4
Dąbrowa Górnicza	15,2	0,1	11,6	36,6	554,3	6,2	4,7	0,4
Gliwice	24,2	-	22,9	15,3	455,1	30,7	18,9	0,2
Jastrzębie Zdrój	17,1	0,0	15,9	12,4	428,4	27,0	26,8	0,2
Jaworzno	21,0	-	18,4	4,0	478,6	4,6	4,2	0,4
Katowice	73,8	-	37,5	40,9	2 513,5	455,6	34,0	5,9
Mysłowice	9,5	0,0	7,7	13,4	226,8	4,7	3,6	-
Piekary Śląskie	6,9	-	4,3	1,9	183,4	0,4	0,4	-
Ruda Śląska	7,0	-	5,4	8,1	326,5	15,4	14,4	1,0
Rybnik	15,2	-	11,9	20,5	397,5	10,5	10,3	0,1
Siemianowice Śląskie	8,7	0,1	7,0	5,3	265,3	1,2	0,9	0,1
Sosnowiec	21,7	-	18,7	11,0	852,1	11,3	6,5	0,7
Świętochłowice	4,1	-	2,6	7,4	185,8	13,7	13,5	0,0

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Tychy	14,8	-	11,8	9,5	363,6	9,3	7,8	0,4
Zabrze	31,0	2,9	11,8	8,1	275,0	7,9	7,9	0,0
Żory	5,5	0,1	4,7	3,6	213,3	7,7	7,4	0,3
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE								
buski	7,0	-	6,9	4,0	237,9	0,2	0,2	-
jędrzejowski	8,5	-	8,2	8,3	362,4	0,6	0,5	0,0
kazimierski	3,5	-	3,4	9,5	146,8	-	-	-
kielecki	16,3	0,0	15,1	10,3	640,6	0,1	0,1	0,0
konecki	8,5	-	7,8	5,1	309,0	0,8	0,7	0,1
opatowski	6,8	-	6,5	2,3	315,3	0,0	0,0	0,0
ostrowiecki	10,0	-	8,7	3,7	379,8	0,3	0,3	0,0
pińczowski	6,1	0,5	5,2	2,6	201,0	1,0	1,0	0,0
sandomierski	7,7	0,0	7,2	7,1	293,9	2,9	0,0	0,0
skarżyski	5,6	-	5,1	7,4	232,9	6,7	6,7	0,0
starachowicki	5,3	0,0	4,9	7,6	285,6	0,6	0,4	0,1
staszowski	7,8	0,0	7,0	3,0	300,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
włoszczowski	5,0	-	4,7	5,2	176,7	0,8	0,8	0,0
Kielce	28,5	-	17,3	9,5	582,4	48,3	35,8	1,2
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE								
bartoszycki	5,2	0,2	4,8	4,6	268,6	1,2	0,4	0,9
braniewski	6,8	0,1	5,6	5,4	210,5	2,8	2,7	0,0
działdowski	11,1	3,5	6,2	8,9	258,3	1,1	0,9	0,1
elbląski	6,6	0,0	6,1	9,0	289,8	5,8	5,7	0,1
ełcki	6,8	0,1	5,2	7,6	231,9	0,7	0,5	0,2

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
giżycki	7,4	0,0	6,8	5,5	192,9	0,6	0,5	0,1
gołdapski	4,0	-	3,5	12,5	118,7	0,5	0,2	0,3
iławski	8,6	0,3	7,8	4,2	328,3	1,6	1,4	0,2
kętrzyński	4,6	0,1	4,2	2,9	241,1	1,6	0,7	0,0
lidzbarski	6,5	1,2	4,8	10,3	190,7	0,9	0,9	0,0
mragowski	5,0	0,1	4,3	3,1	158,9	0,9	0,7	0,0
niedzicki	4,1	0,0	4,0	1,9	126,0	0,7	0,7	0,0
nowomiejski	5,3	0,1	5,0	3,8	205,2	0,7	0,7	0,0
olecki	4,4	0,0	3,8	3,3	144,8	0,2	0,2	0,0
olsztyński	11,9	0,1	10,7	24,8	414,6	1,8	1,8	0,0
ostródzki	9,0	0,0	8,3	18,3	365,8	2,9	2,4	0,3
piski	5,3	0,4	4,6	25,7	199,1	3,6	1,2	0,0
szczycieński	8,0	0,9	6,1	23,3	228,0	0,7	0,6	0,1
węgorzewski	4,0	0,0	3,8	3,4	129,8	0,7	0,7	0,0
Elbląg	10,0	0,1	8,5	14,0	494,2	22,7	11,1	0,4
Olsztyn	30,1	0,2	13,3	45,2	604,9	101,0	40,2	2,4
WIELKOPOLSKIE								
chodzieski	6,8	-	6,1	4,2	215,0	5,4	5,2	0,2
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	9,3	-	8,9	6,6	395,7	9,1	8,8	0,1
gnieźnieński	13,3	0,0	11,8	21,8	414,5	11,3	11,3	0,0
gostyński	9,3	-	8,3	10,7	376,2	8,4	8,4	-
grodziski	5,5	0,0	5,5	7,3	199,0	0,0	0,0	-
jarociński	4,0	0,1	3,6	22,1	214,3	0,4	0,3	0,1
kaliski	10,5	-	9,9	8,9	343,9	0,4	0,4	0,1
kępiński	5,5	-	5,0	6,2	194,5	3,1	2,9	0,2

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
kolski	9,7	-	7,4	9,1	301,2	0,4	0,4	0,0
koniński	11,6	-	10,7	17,0	491,7	0,5	0,4	0,0
kościański	6,5	-	5,6	2,5	327,5	8,8	8,7	-
krotoszyński	8,7	-	7,7	10,8	304,7	3,3	3,3	-
leszczyński	6,1	0,0	5,8	9,7	235,1	0,7	0,7	0,0
międzychodzki	4,7	0,0	4,2	3,0	156,1	1,8	0,2	0,0
nowotomyski	10,8	0,0	9,7	8,9	301,8	4,5	4,5	0,0
obornicki	4,6	-	3,7	7,2	157,8	5,6	0,6	0,0
ostrowski	24,2	6,1	15,2	12,8	499,7	1,6	1,4	0,2
ostrzeszowski	5,6	0,1	5,1	1,7	186,7	0,2	0,2	0,0
piłski	14,6	-	12,2	9,8	582,4	57,0	55,3	0,3
pleszewski	8,4	0,0	7,1	3,8	280,5	1,5	1,4	0,0
poznański	36,5	0,1	31,8	18,6	1 004,1	5,9	4,8	0,9
rawicki	7,0	0,0	6,4	3,3	284,4	1,7	1,4	-
słupecki	6,4	-	6,2	3,4	205,8	0,1	0,1	-
szamotulski	11,4	0,0	10,5	15,7	363,1	1,4	1,1	0,2
średzki	8,7	-	8,3	4,7	256,1	3,5	2,8	0,0
śremski	5,0	0,1	4,0	19,8	190,7	0,3	0,3	0,0
turecki	8,4	-	7,9	6,9	224,8	0,3	0,2	0,1
wągrowiecki	7,2	-	6,5	4,1	229,6	0,7	0,4	0,2
wolsztyński	4,9	-	4,4	6,9	184,2	0,1	0,0	0,1
wrzesiński	8,2	-	7,0	9,1	282,8	0,3	0,3	0,0
złotowski	10,5	0,1	9,0	7,4	292,5	4,3	4,3	0,0
Kalisz	6,3	-	4,5	3,8	314,3	22,5	16,5	0,0

TABLE 18

COLLECTIONS, NUMBER OF VOLUMES AND ACQUISITIONS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	COLLECTIONS					SPECIAL COLLECTIONS		
	Acquisitions			Lost	STOCKS 31.XII.2007	Total	of which audiovisual materials	of which electronic documents
	Total	of which from oth. libraries	of which bought					
	volumes in thousands					registered items in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Konin	8,2	0,7	1,4	12,7	289,3	31,5	31,2	0,1
Leszno	7,4	-	5,7	5,8	222,9	24,2	23,6	0,2
Poznań	60,9	0,0	43,8	79,6	1 496,8	265,6	85,2	0,7
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE								
białogardzki	6,3	0,3	5,9	4,4	194,4	0,5	0,4	0,0
choszczeński	5,5	0,7	3,9	6,0	275,4	0,8	0,4	0,2
drawski	9,1	2,5	5,6	1,3	262,0	2,3	2,1	0,2
goleniowski	6,6	0,6	5,5	20,2	354,0	19,7	19,6	0,0
gryficki	6,0	2,1	3,8	7,9	258,6	4,5	4,4	0,0
gryfiński	9,0	0,8	6,9	13,7	441,4	7,1	7,1	0,0
kamieński	7,8	0,6	5,6	9,0	316,5	12,0	11,5	0,5
kołobrzeski	12,4	0,3	9,3	10,0	300,0	2,3	1,9	0,4
koszaliński	10,3	0,5	8,8	13,3	212,5	0,9	0,7	0,2
łobeski	4,0	0,5	3,4	7,0	258,3	8,0	8,0	0,0
myśliborski	8,6	1,7	6,3	10,0	271,5	3,1	2,9	0,1
policki	4,0	0,3	2,9	2,9	183,3	6,5	5,9	0,6
pyrzycki	5,7	0,4	4,7	14,6	253,7	3,1	1,3	0,0
sławieński	9,8	0,8	5,6	3,5	221,4	0,3	0,2	0,1
stargardzki	8,8	0,7	7,2	17,5	457,8	15,9	15,8	0,1
szczecinecki	8,6	1,4	5,1	23,5	279,0	0,1	0,0	0,1
świdwiński	3,6	0,4	3,0	6,8	203,7	0,8	0,8	0,0
wątecki	3,3	0,3	2,5	3,4	145,5	2,8	2,5	-
Koszalin	11,8	1,0	7,9	17,1	407,1	63,4	41,8	0,3
Szczecin	72,0	3,2	31,6	51,1	1 567,1	495,3	129,0	1,3
Świnoujście	5,0	-	4,7	2,4	194,3	12,3	12,3	0,0

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE				
bolesławiecki	204,1	5,0	2,0	23,7
dzierżoniowski	259,4	3,9	3,4	31,7
gólgowski	116,1	20,3	20,3	27,4
górowski	63,5	0,3	0,3	7,7
jaworski	127,3	1,9	1,6	16,8
jeleniogórski	127,7	1,8	1,7	16,3
kamiennogórski	61,4	-	-	5,4
kłodzki	268,2	1,3	1,1	52,4
legnicki	107,6	0,4	0,4	11,1
lubański	94,1	0,6	0,5	13,0
lubiński	187,0	6,7	6,7	33,6
lwówecki	104,4	0,2	0,2	23,4
milicki	86,8	0,9	0,9	13,0
oleśnicki	200,4	6,7	6,6	38,1
oławski	95,3	3,0	2,6	25,6
polkowicki	200,4	26,4	26,2	22,5
strzeliński	106,1	1,0	1,0	6,4
średzki	91,9	5,1	5,1	10,5
świdnicki	256,6	44,0	24,6	40,2
trzebnicki	132,3	0,4	-	14,7
wałbrzyski	292,7	7,6	7,6	66,1
wołowski	105,7	9,8	9,8	13,3
wrocławski	186,9	2,5	2,2	28,6
ząbkowicki	157,9	1,3	-	14,2

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
zgorzelecki	166,1	10,9	9,5	28,9
złotoryjski	131,8	0,3	-	23,9
Jelenia Góra	128,5	5,7	4,1	29,6
Legnica	178,7	6,9	6,6	41,5
Wrocław	1 040,1	308,7	255,3	100,5
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE				
aleksandrowski	117,2	1,0	0,1	22,7
brodnicki	172,7	1,5	-	20,0
bydgoski	187,4	0,5	0,1	16,4
chełmiński	116,2	0,2	-	16,3
golubsko-dobrzyński	113,6	-	-	11,3
grudziądzki	80,9	0,4	0,4	6,9
inowrocławski	316,6	16,5	10,8	39,9
lipnowski	104,7	-	-	17,1
mogileński	105,8	0,0	-	10,9
nakielski	155,7	3,0	3,0	25,3
radziejowski	130,6	0,7	0,6	13,7
rypiński	104,1	0,0	-	10,7
sępoleński	75,6	1,3	1,3	7,8
świecki	206,9	-	-	18,0
toruński	176,5	13,0	11,4	27,2
tucholski	101,9	0,2	0,2	4,0
wąbrzeski	65,5	1,4	-	4,9
włocławski	98,6	0,0	-	8,1

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
żniński	175,7	1,3	1,3	25,6
Bydgoszcz	297,4	27,2	10,4	49,4
Grudziądz	104,8	5,6	3,1	21,6
Toruń	186,4	9,7	4,4	43,1
Włocławek	98,6	-	-	31,4
LUBELSKIE				
białski	179,5	0,0	-	34,4
biłgorajski	193,8	0,3	-	26,1
chełmski	161,8	-	-	29,1
hrubieszowski	120,2	2,1	-	16,0
janowski	92,4	-	-	8,2
krasnostawski	138,8	0,0	-	21,7
kraśnicki	229,3	2,9	2,6	34,5
lubartowski	218,0	1,2	-	39,6
lubelski	315,0	0,9	0,0	47,9
łęczyński	145,8	1,6	1,5	20,9
łukowski	203,5	0,9	-	32,5
opolski	131,2	0,6	0,3	15,1
parczewski	64,1	0,6	0,6	12,6
puławski	248,7	5,5	3,2	27,5
radzyński	96,3	-	-	8,8
rycki	103,7	0,0	-	8,7
świdnicki	133,3	1,7	1,5	11,8
tomaszowski	175,7	0,0	-	20,1
włodawski	71,3	0,1	0,1	12,3

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
zamojski	220,3	-	-	17,6
Biała Podlaska	103,2	0,0	-	27,2
Chełm	113,6	4,1	3,5	14,9
Lublin	615,9	51,9	31,4	84,3
Zamość	144,1	12,1	7,1	20,8
LUBUSKIE				
gorzowski	109,2	-	-	11,4
krośnieński	116,8	0,3	-	28,1
międzyrzecki	161,9	-	-	30,8
nowosolski	186,9	2,2	2,2	29,0
słubicki	119,6	-	-	15,0
strzelecko-drezdenecki	95,1	0,0	-	16,4
sulęciński	78,0	-	-	14,3
świebodziński	92,0	0,0	-	19,3
wschowski	78,4	0,0	-	10,1
zielonogórski	196,4	2,9	2,9	34,6
żagański	189,1	0,9	0,3	30,3
żarski	267,6	9,5	3,1	33,6
Gorzów Wielkopolski	205,5	25,1	10,0	61,8
Zielona Góra	182,0	87,4	8,0	34,5
ŁÓDZKIE				
bełchatowski	164,6	5,7	4,3	26,4
brzeziński	62,5	-	-	7,4
kutnowski	226,4	17,8	17,8	18,8
łaski	73,4	0,0	0,0	15,0
łęczycki	86,5	0,1	0,1	18,4

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
łowicki	181,7	-	-	21,6
łódzki wschodni	88,4	0,4	-	14,9
opoczyński	82,2	-	-	15,3
pabianicki	121,7	4,9	4,4	17,4
pajęczański	119,2	0,3	0,2	10,1
piotrkowski	168,8	-	-	22,2
poddębicki	103,6	-	-	15,8
radomszczański	297,2	-	-	44,1
rawski	118,1	-	-	14,8
sieradzki	267,1	0,4	0,1	28,1
skierniewicki	77,4	-	-	7,7
tomaszowski	194,0	3,8	3,5	34,1
wieluński	211,8	0,4	-	26,8
wieruszowski	116,9	0,5	-	6,4
zduńskowolski	70,4	-	-	7,1
zgierski	201,9	0,4	-	35,4
Łódź	637,5	39,5	8,3	144,2
Piotrków Trybunalski	125,8	3,2	2,9	18,4
Skierniewice	86,1	3,1	3,0	21,6
MAŁOPOLSKIE				
bocheński	202,8	7,7	7,3	23,7
brzeski	214,0	2,9	-	25,9
chrzanowski	319,4	19,3	18,7	21,3
dąbrowski	101,0	0,3	0,3	12,0
gorlicki	202,1	0,8	0,8	23,1

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
krakowski	341,4	0,3	0,1	26,1
limanowski	256,4	0,5	-	16,4
miechowski	104,9	0,2	-	12,6
myślenicki	174,9	0,0	-	13,8
nowosądecki	391,8	0,8	0,4	24,6
nowotarski	304,2	1,0	0,5	24,0
olkuski	235,1	9,3	1,1	24,6
oświęcimski	305,2	4,7	2,7	41,5
proszowicki	90,4	0,4	0,4	4,4
suski	181,6	1,5	1,2	18,6
tarnowski	398,6	2,1	1,7	40,5
tatrzański	108,7	0,4	0,4	18,9
wadowicki	280,1	5,7	5,5	29,4
wielicki	184,8	4,6	2,6	24,3
Kraków	830,5	63,1	58,6	136,1
Nowy Sącz	247,8	0,2	-	31,4
Tarnów	120,2	5,5	5,5	31,7
MAZOWIECKIE				
białobrzeski	107,6	-	-	17,9
ciechanowski	188,7	4,3	4,2	37,9
garwoliński	238,2	-	-	24,5
gostyniński	75,1	-	-	5,6
grodziski	108,4	0,0	-	14,9
grójecki	150,2	-	-	18,5
kozienicki	138,8	0,4	-	18,9

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
legionowski	195,9	0,6	0,5	17,5
lipski	87,8	-	-	11,7
łosicki	61,7	0,2	0,2	8,0
makowski	124,2	0,1	-	18,6
miński	347,7	1,1	0,4	35,7
mławski	189,9	0,2	0,1	23,8
nowodworski	122,0	0,8	-	22,6
ostrołęcki	172,6	-	-	13,1
ostrowski	191,7	0,6	-	28,5
otwocki	180,9	0,6	0,6	12,5
piaseczyński	326,8	4,1	3,6	17,6
płocki	204,8	-	-	19,3
płoński	151,6	0,3	0,0	11,6
pruszkowski	325,4	9,1	5,9	37,5
przasnyski	93,2	0,0	-	18,3
przysuski	129,9	2,9	2,5	9,5
pułtuski	121,2	0,1	-	15,0
radomski	220,6	2,0	2,0	33,3
siedlecki	156,0	2,1	1,8	15,9
sierpecki	111,0	0,0	0,0	10,0
sochaczewski	161,3	0,0	0,0	20,7
sokołowski	113,3	13,6	12,8	9,6
szydłowiecki	60,7	-	-	6,0
warszawski zachodni	211,6	0,8	0,5	11,8

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
węgrowski	133,8	1,0	-	16,5
wołomiński	368,2	5,5	5,5	195,9
wyszkowski	172,8	0,7	0,6	22,0
zwoleński	100,1	0,0	0,0	13,1
żuromiński	72,3	-	-	10,9
żyrardowski	116,4	-	-	13,8
Ostrołęka	50,8	3,8	3,8	23,8
Płock	199,8	20,7	15,4	29,5
Radom	121,6	10,6	10,6	45,9
Siedlce	167,6	8,0	7,7	24,8
Warszawa	6 931,5	690,3	588,0	702,7
OPOLSKIE				
brzeski	142,9	4,8	1,3	16,9
głubczycki	81,0	0,0	-	8,6
kędzierzyńsko-kozielski	203,7	3,6	2,7	44,0
kluczborski	116,8	5,4	5,4	15,1
krapkowicki	154,8	2,5	1,3	11,7
namysłowski	64,5	1,6	0,3	6,3
nyski	233,2	3,8	3,2	31,0
oleski	174,2	6,0	5,8	23,9
opolski	280,9	0,5	-	26,7
prudnicki	105,9	1,6	1,6	19,2
strzelecki	146,2	-	-	14,0
Opole	445,9	58,3	38,0	66,1

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
PODKARPACKIE				
bieszczadzki	55,1	-	-	9,4
brzozowski	114,3	-	-	19,6
dębicki	198,8	1,3	1,3	24,9
jarosławski	167,5	0,1	-	15,9
jasielski	213,3	5,4	1,3	32,8
kolbuszowski	144,8	0,0	0,0	18,2
krośnieński	249,8	0,0	0,0	21,6
leski	80,0	-	-	15,3
leżajski	116,9	0,6	0,6	20,9
lubaczowski	174,6	1,1	1,1	14,4
łańcucki	182,4	2,2	2,1	25,0
mielecki	341,1	1,2	1,2	37,8
niżański	83,9	1,3	1,3	17,0
przemyski	145,3	-	-	9,7
przeworski	159,6	0,2	0,2	16,6
ropczycko-sędziszowski	90,7	-	-	16,7
rzeszowski	408,7	3,0	0,5	38,0
sanocki	189,9	0,8	0,7	29,3
stalowowolski	196,7	6,6	6,6	27,0
strzyżowski	137,3	0,2	0,2	18,2
tarnobrzeski	75,9	-	-	12,3
Krosno	301,7	13,3	8,0	25,2
Przemysł	69,5	0,5	0,1	25,3
Rzeszów	441,2	8,0	2,5	51,2
Tarnobrzeg	135,1	3,5	3,5	23,7

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
PODLASKIE				
augustowski	114,3	1,4	0,5	26,4
białostocki	254,4	0,6	0,1	33,0
bielski	101,0	0,0	-	19,6
grajewski	97,5	-	-	9,5
hajnowski	114,6	0,3	0,0	20,6
kolneński	83,6	0,0	-	14,8
łomżyński	116,3	0,0	-	12,3
moniecki	87,1	-	-	15,3
sejneński	44,4	-	-	7,2
siemiatycki	114,3	0,2	-	22,6
sokólski	142,1	0,5	-	22,6
suwalski	62,4	-	-	9,3
wysokomazowiecki	95,4	0,3	0,3	14,3
zambrowski	73,3	-	-	7,8
Białystok	333,8	19,9	14,0	39,6
Łomża	122,4	3,2	3,2	19,3
Suwałki	71,2	5,2	1,4	14,5
POMORSKIE				
bytowski	124,9	2,3	0,0	20,9
chojnicki	165,0	2,6	1,7	24,5
człuchowski	179,9	-	-	27,0
gdański	118,0	0,0	-	13,4
kartuski	130,8	1,8	1,6	29,0

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
kościerski	84,6	0,8	0,7	40,7
kwidziński	166,7	1,8	1,8	34,0
łęborski	165,4	3,5	3,5	28,1
malborski	85,9	0,7	-	13,5
nowodworski	73,6	0,4	0,3	6,9
pucki	82,7	1,8	1,8	11,3
słupski	153,3	0,5	0,5	20,7
starogardzki	201,6	0,1	0,1	31,1
sztumski	63,8	0,0	0,0	8,4
tczewski	163,6	2,0	2,0	24,3
wejherowski	310,3	3,7	1,7	32,0
Gdańsk	541,1	30,2	30,1	88,9
Gdynia	413,2	3,8	2,5	58,4
Słupsk	173,6	12,8	12,8	39,8
Sopot	70,3	1,1	0,8	20,7
ŚLĄSKIE				
będziński	341,3	4,6	2,7	65,3
bielski	403,2	9,7	7,1	49,9
bieruńsko-lędziński	175,7	0,4	-	21,9
cieszyński	330,3	2,7	0,2	34,6
częstochoowski	264,2	-	-	12,0
gliwicki	191,5	0,4	0,3	21,9
kłobucki	176,2	2,3	2,3	14,7
lubliniecki	149,4	-	-	29,0

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
mikołowski	196,3	12,4	10,1	25,5
myszkowski	138,0	-	-	14,2
pszczyński	271,1	13,5	13,3	26,5
raciborski	218,1	20,3	16,6	18,8
rybnicki	153,8	-	-	9,0
tarnogórski	229,0	3,0	3,0	33,5
wodzisławski	280,2	13,1	13,1	49,9
zawierciański	198,0	0,5	0,4	25,8
żywiecki	343,8	4,4	4,1	26,4
Bielsko-Biała	294,0	14,4	10,2	64,3
Bytom	110,1	0,2	0,0	18,8
Chorzów	128,6	5,0	5,0	31,3
Częstochowa	261,6	6,2	4,3	67,7
Dąbrowa Górnicza	202,5	3,8	3,2	41,6
Gliwice	442,0	30,5	27,7	81,0
Jastrzębie Zdrój	317,7	33,2	32,9	33,3
Jaworzno	349,0	44,4	44,4	36,4
Katowice	834,2	62,9	9,5	157,1
Mysłowice	140,9	1,8	1,8	22,5
Piekary Śląskie	80,7	0,0	0,0	11,7
Ruda Śląska	120,1	49,2	41,1	38,7
Rybnik	263,1	28,4	28,3	31,4
Siemianowice Śląskie	143,3	6,1	2,2	26,5
Sosnowiec	389,2	14,7	0,5	71,6
Świętochłowice	49,8	-	-	9,6

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
Tychy	233,3	8,1	7,7	53,6
Zabrze	238,1	2,3	2,3	18,8
Żory	95,5	25,0	24,5	16,9
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE				
buski	141,6	-	-	12,3
jędrzejowski	159,4	0,2	0,2	20,3
kazimierski	75,7	-	-	6,8
kielecki	278,1	0,1	0,1	35,0
konecki	132,9	0,4	-	19,5
opatowski	127,7	0,3	-	13,6
ostrowiecki	157,3	0,3	-	28,4
pińczowski	88,5	0,6	-	14,6
sandomierski	130,6	-	-	20,9
skarżyski	91,8	1,6	1,0	19,4
starachowicki	99,5	1,6	0,7	24,1
staszowski	114,9	0,5	0,5	23,0
włoszczowski	94,3	0,4	0,0	38,6
Kielce	352,2	107,2	73,0	137,9
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE				
bartoszycki	100,9	0,3	0,3	33,3
braniewski	113,5	0,2	-	10,4
działdowski	104,5	1,1	-	19,9
elbląski	127,2	0,1	0,1	15,8
ełcki	113,3	2,0	-	20,5

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
giżycki	131,8	2,1	0,6	18,3
gołdapski	78,1	2,7	1,3	13,1
iławski	161,9	0,3	0,3	22,3
kętrzyński	83,2	0,2	0,2	19,8
lidzbarski	92,1	0,1	-	18,9
mragowski	87,4	-	-	17,4
nidzicki	68,5	5,6	4,2	16,4
nowomiejski	90,5	0,1	-	17,2
olecki	77,6	0,1	-	9,1
olsztyński	209,6	0,1	0,1	48,4
ostródzki	153,2	0,4	-	35,3
piski	106,5	-	-	15,9
szczycieński	118,8	0,1	-	19,3
węgorzewski	72,8	0,2	-	8,6
Elbląg	177,1	21,8	8,9	32,9
Olsztyn	308,5	102,6	81,8	89,4
WIELKOPOLSKIE				
chodzieski	125,3	1,6	0,8	22,1
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	178,6	0,3	0,3	27,6
gnieźnieński	237,3	0,9	0,2	27,2
gostyński	169,4	1,2	1,2	32,1
grodziski	100,4	-	-	25,7
jarociński	78,3	0,0	-	23,7
kaliski	197,6	1,0	0,5	8,1
kępiński	103,7	0,9	0,3	12,2

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
kolski	131,0	1,8	1,7	20,9
koniński	221,8	0,5	0,5	16,6
kościański	113,1	0,0	0,0	22,5
krotoszyński	136,4	12,2	12,2	17,4
leszczyński	114,5	-	-	20,7
międzychodzki	86,4	0,0	0,0	18,9
nowotomyski	185,4	3,1	3,1	33,2
obornicki	72,4	0,1	-	9,0
ostrowski	291,8	2,9	-	25,3
ostrzeszowski	100,5	-	-	12,4
pilski	295,6	13,4	13,2	64,3
pleszewski	153,8	0,0	-	12,5
poznański	605,6	3,6	1,5	64,0
rawicki	130,9	-	-	17,1
słupecki	118,7	0,5	0,5	17,6
szamotulski	197,3	0,2	0,2	25,0
średzki	162,9	1,2	1,2	29,0
śremski	78,4	0,2	-	9,6
turecki	156,9	4,2	3,8	17,6
wągrowiecki	134,7	0,2	0,2	16,6
wolsztyński	70,6	0,1	0,0	10,8
wrzesiński	168,5	3,3	3,2	14,5
złotowski	163,4	0,4	0,4	29,5
Kalisz	103,8	0,5	-	12,0

TABLE 19

COLLECTIONS AND SUBSCRIPTION EXPENDITURE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	EXPENDITURES			Subscription expenditure
	Books	Special collections		
		Total	of which audiovisual materials	
PLN in thousands				
0	1	2	3	4
Konin	38,6	17,6	6,7	14,8
Leszno	116,3	11,1	4,7	22,2
Poznań	961,5	87,3	77,6	85,0
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE				
białogardzki	109,6	0,7	-	19,4
choszczeński	79,4	0,3	-	12,9
drawski	93,6	0,9	0,9	13,4
goleniowski	117,4	3,5	3,5	23,0
gryficki	93,2	1,9	1,9	18,0
gryfiński	141,5	12,2	0,7	26,7
kamieński	123,3	0,3	0,0	21,1
kołobrzeski	194,4	3,8	0,1	35,1
koszaliński	155,5	1,1	-	27,6
łobeski	66,5	-	-	20,8
myśliborski	152,3	3,4	1,2	33,0
policki	63,7	1,8	-	8,5
pyrzycki	92,5	0,0	-	8,2
sławieński	109,8	0,4	0,3	13,3
stargardzki	149,6	10,5	10,5	38,0
szczecinecki	94,2	0,3	-	19,9
świdwiński	72,5	0,0	0,0	15,1
wałeccki	53,4	-	-	8,3
Koszalin	219,5	28,6	14,7	53,8
Szczecin	619,8	71,1	28,2	82,9
Świnoujście	105,3	1,8	1,8	14,4

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE				
bolesławiecki	16,1	360,8	38,6	351
dzierżoniowski	20,2	440,0	93,2	469
głogowski	13,5	226,7	78,8	284
górowski	4,6	95,2	11,2	159
jaworski	11,8	197,3	77,5	332
jeleniogórski	11,0	322,1	37,6	231
kamiennogórski	9,3	233,6	25,5	185
kłodzki	38,6	797,3	103,9	837
legnicki	8,5	142,6	18,5	289
lubański	10,4	188,3	32,7	150
lubiński	20,6	417,2	159,8	348
lwówecki	9,1	193,0	48,0	293
milicki	6,2	142,3	26,5	99
oleśnicki	18,5	313,4	78,2	353
oławski	12,9	253,3	31,5	192
polkowicki	11,0	204,1	23,8	342
strzeliński	6,8	114,5	29,3	208
średzki	7,8	108,7	37,1	356
świdnicki	29,7	592,3	96,2	493
trzebnicki	9,0	205,0	36,2	177
wałbrzyski	41,0	756,7	188,3	728
wołowski	7,0	135,7	23,6	185
wrocławski	15,9	357,8	101,1	564
ząbkowicki	11,4	231,8	49,7	409

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
zgorzelecki	19,6	389,8	70,4	337
złotoryjski	9,1	206,8	52,9	265
Jelenia Góra	12,0	327,7	51,8	93
Legnica	23,5	424,2	166,3	304
Wrocław	118,5	2 789,2	454,5	883
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE				
aleksandrowski	7,9	187,1	72,5	116
brodnicki	14,4	277,3	47,2	337
bydgoski	11,7	231,7	45,8	247
chełmiński	9,8	159,9	33,9	142
golubsko-dobrzyński	7,5	131,2	28,2	199
grudziądzki	5,4	105,5	21,0	167
inowrocławski	26,1	378,8	132,2	552
lipnowski	9,5	240,1	36,2	212
mogileński	7,2	167,0	48,9	127
nakielski	16,4	304,8	61,2	311
radziejowski	8,6	162,6	43,7	173
rypiński	7,3	181,4	26,8	174
sępoleński	6,0	101,5	31,5	126
świecki	14,6	247,0	55,5	238
toruński	14,5	246,7	45,4	472
tucholski	7,5	144,1	18,6	215
wąbrzeski	5,7	79,6	7,0	139
włocławski	11,9	267,7	41,2	275

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
żniński	12,1	261,6	41,1	294
Bydgoszcz	42,8	757,0	147,0	540
Grudziądz	17,2	323,6	63,1	232
Toruń	33,4	664,9	165,1	422
Włocławek	23,2	398,1	88,3	258
LUBELSKIE				
białski	14,1	319,3	81,3	243
biłgorajski	17,3	375,5	62,1	441
chełmski	12,2	228,4	44,5	278
hrubieszowski	11,6	195,2	24,2	227
janowski	7,8	155,5	18,9	151
krasnostawski	12,1	231,6	57,1	195
kraśnicki	17,5	360,9	64,1	339
lubartowski	18,7	404,5	70,5	497
lubelski	21,7	403,6	52,9	603
łęczyński	13,4	203,6	29,2	245
łukowski	16,7	343,6	54,0	334
opolski	12,8	238,7	35,2	398
parczewski	4,5	70,4	36,3	145
puławski	24,7	412,0	43,6	252
radzyński	10,6	210,9	33,4	167
rycki	10,0	195,9	28,2	212
świdnicki	14,5	256,6	41,0	220
tomaszowski	18,0	319,8	72,2	289
włodawski	7,2	135,2	19,9	137

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
zamojski	14,0	299,7	15,8	216
Biała-Podlaska	19,7	272,3	59,1	122
Chełm	15,5	301,4	83,3	83
Lublin	71,0	1 721,8	218,2	579
Zamość	16,8	279,0	84,9	247
LUBUSKIE				
gorzowski	5,8	102,0	8,6	153
krośnieński	11,1	183,4	60,5	291
międzyrzecki	12,8	325,6	77,7	362
nowosolski	14,6	325,9	123,4	418
słubicki	8,3	154,1	54,4	286
strzelecko-drezdenecki	6,7	172,1	44,4	191
sulęciński	5,1	95,8	16,3	170
świebodziński	8,5	181,3	30,8	149
wschowski	6,1	118,2	15,1	153
zielonogórski	14,6	286,9	144,5	495
żagański	15,7	325,8	212,0	417
żarski	17,8	373,3	302,9	480
Gorzów Wielkopolski	30,6	507,7	140,2	326
Zielona Góra	27,4	447,8	185,6	301
ŁÓDZKIE				
bełchatowski	19,9	349,3	84,8	274
brzeziński	5,4	65,9	30,2	106
kutnowski	20,2	347,6	60,6	472
łaski	11,5	146,1	40,3	178
łęczycki	7,7	121,0	39,1	121

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
łowicki	9,0	167,4	48,8	230
łódzki wschodni	8,3	140,9	17,2	126
opoczyński	8,5	141,2	43,6	256
pabianicki	15,9	245,7	75,3	257
pajęczański	10,7	145,6	30,9	260
piotrkowski	10,7	238,5	93,2	350
poddębicki	7,2	129,1	22,7	245
radomszczański	26,0	387,3	238,5	478
rawski	7,6	124,5	66,2	156
sieradzki	29,4	625,8	117,1	526
skierniewicki	5,2	75,7	13,7	206
tomaszowski	16,5	312,7	25,9	301
wieluński	18,7	286,5	61,1	400
wieruszowski	6,7	110,6	20,6	214
zduńskowolski	9,4	199,8	44,4	141
zgierski	25,0	406,0	72,2	433
Łódź	127,2	2 668,8	848,9	1 308
Piotrków Trybunalski	18,6	364,5	183,5	115
Skierniewice	9,7	165,9	69,6	70
MAŁOPOLSKIE				
bocheński	23,2	369,3	172,9	560
brzeski	18,3	409,4	56,1	312
chrzanowski	41,7	608,4	122,4	458
dąbrowski	9,5	199,6	59,5	195
gorlicki	21,2	424,8	89,1	337

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
krakowski	30,3	562,3	81,5	425
limanowski	22,6	366,2	139,0	362
miechowski	8,6	117,7	38,1	134
myślenicki	18,2	301,7	92,1	180
nowosądecki	34,6	788,6	130,6	544
nowotarski	30,8	469,3	155,0	615
olkuski	24,9	394,9	63,4	273
oświęcimski	32,0	540,6	214,8	335
proszowicki	6,0	76,3	15,3	82
suski	14,1	240,8	47,8	330
tarnowski	32,5	667,7	129,8	613
tatrzański	10,8	216,4	84,7	183
wadowicki	23,7	439,8	135,0	425
wielicki	18,0	317,2	46,3	159
Kraków	209,5	3 615,8	806,1	1 330
Nowy Sącz	21,4	375,7	94,3	71
Tarnów	30,7	515,3	166,9	147
MAZOWIECKIE				
białobrzeski	4,0	82,0	23,7	124
ciechanowski	16,8	372,0	120,8	216
garwoliński	15,9	273,5	83,6	350
gostyniński	6,5	106,7	22,0	172
grodziski	10,4	170,5	21,1	125
grójecki	12,0	151,3	21,7	147
kozienicki	8,6	165,9	41,5	140

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
legionowski	14,7	385,8	41,1	155
lipski	5,4	134,8	19,0	123
łosicki	3,5	119,2	25,8	102
makowski	6,8	119,2	28,8	208
miński	21,4	382,1	132,0	283
mławski	9,8	138,5	33,0	300
nowodworski	11,2	190,9	66,4	162
ostrołęcki	9,3	143,1	29,5	347
ostrowski	11,9	214,9	77,2	264
otwocki	18,5	306,5	27,8	238
piaseczyński	22,4	428,0	32,6	290
płocki	14,1	270,6	58,9	262
płoński	11,8	191,9	43,4	123
pruszkowski	21,4	415,6	45,7	214
przasnyski	7,3	107,7	30,8	255
przysuski	6,3	105,0	14,7	129
pułtuski	7,6	133,0	18,9	147
radomski	19,1	339,1	83,6	349
siedlecki	10,2	242,8	23,0	239
sierpecki	9,5	193,5	38,7	185
sochaczewski	12,0	230,0	35,8	189
sokołowski	7,5	111,7	8,0	184
sztybowiecki	4,5	89,1	9,7	89
warszawski zachodni	11,9	225,4	65,5	161

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
węgrowski	8,0	179,1	15,0	225
wołomiński	23,6	461,9	48,6	381
wyszkowski	9,4	162,4	47,7	328
zwoleński	4,9	111,3	42,6	137
żuromiński	4,4	58,2	25,7	137
żyrardowski	9,7	163,7	15,0	197
Ostrołęka	13,0	114,7	30,0	72
Płock	39,1	750,2	107,2	206
Radom	24,5	372,0	223,3	214
Siedlce	22,4	790,9	98,0	83
Warszawa	356,2	6 594,9	2 146,1	3 694
OPOLSKIE				
brzeski	17,5	302,4	100,0	472
głubczycki	7,2	128,5	32,7	144
kędzierzyński-kozielski	13,8	312,7	125,4	350
kluczborski	8,3	176,7	36,3	180
krapkowicki	13,3	232,9	186,8	411
namysłowski	4,8	66,3	17,2	135
nyski	19,9	454,1	91,0	573
oleski	13,8	250,0	38,7	357
opolski	17,5	296,5	67,0	539
prudnicki	8,3	133,2	39,7	300
strzelecki	11,5	176,4	34,3	247
Opole	23,9	726,9	494,6	286

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
PODKARPACKIE				
bieszczadzki	3,8	67,4	17,6	163
brzozowski	11,5	245,6	28,2	424
dębicki	22,8	465,3	100,2	435
jarosławski	12,1	206,8	46,1	321
jasielski	20,7	414,4	95,3	305
kolbuszowski	10,3	218,7	24,8	380
krośnieński	14,3	275,5	36,7	373
leski	6,3	114,4	26,3	218
leżajski	11,8	244,4	65,4	260
lubaczowski	9,4	158,6	50,6	403
łańcucki	17,7	374,9	77,4	411
mielecki	29,7	584,2	97,9	487
niżański	9,7	179,9	26,9	308
przemyski	7,8	133,6	22,5	413
przeworski	10,5	172,8	25,3	345
ropczycko-sędziszowski	11,5	252,7	56,6	198
rzeszowski	26,2	533,9	83,9	821
sanocki	17,1	275,8	64,1	370
stalowowolski	19,1	384,1	49,3	291
strzyżowski	12,0	259,4	45,7	435
tarnobrzeski	7,5	127,8	15,6	176
Krosno	18,1	346,4	124,1	199
Przemysł	12,7	197,1	65,5	148
Rzeszów	39,0	748,7	241,3	295
Tarnobrzeg	12,6	267,3	66,0	158

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
PODLASKIE				
augustowski	9,6	187,8	31,4	252
białostocki	16,6	321,7	45,7	414
bielski	6,9	145,3	34,3	171
grajewski	5,7	118,2	10,4	116
hajnowski	7,9	147,6	42,2	188
kolneński	5,0	106,9	30,7	103
łomżyński	6,8	168,2	17,5	243
moniecki	5,5	103,6	21,8	119
sejneński	3,0	44,2	2,5	90
siemiatycki	7,0	157,3	32,6	123
sokólski	11,6	277,4	61,5	285
suwalski	5,2	99,5	17,5	131
wysokomazowiecki	5,1	88,7	11,1	166
zambrowski	4,5	100,4	6,1	87
Białystok	44,3	711,7	204,2	264
Łomża	11,1	236,9	23,3	109
Suwałki	12,3	225,7	107,0	112
POMORSKIE				
bytowski	12,1	237,3	100,9	323
chojnicki	13,1	224,4	61,0	229
człuchowski	8,4	204,3	70,6	199
gdański	10,0	253,4	40,2	140
kartuski	12,9	276,8	81,3	226

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
kościerski	9,1	153,8	38,2	151
kwidzyński	11,9	180,3	29,1	220
łęborski	13,8	209,6	70,0	209
malborski	8,0	176,2	30,0	103
nowodworski	7,3	123,1	23,5	157
pucki	8,0	194,4	40,3	127
słupski	12,0	257,7	66,4	278
starogardzki	15,9	414,2	151,2	246
sztumski	5,4	91,1	25,3	128
tczewski	19,9	409,2	103,8	200
wejherowski	29,5	421,6	120,1	312
Gdańsk	59,2	1 114,3	311,2	608
Gdynia	48,7	991,3	369,7	396
Słupsk	22,0	411,1	104,4	232
Sopot	7,6	138,8	79,8	119
ŚLĄSKIE				
będziński	31,5	709,0	207,5	569
bielski	29,7	607,0	87,0	320
bieruńsko-lędziński	9,9	194,5	21,9	117
cieszyński	32,5	517,7	86,6	302
częstochoowski	16,3	341,1	41,5	334
gliwicki	21,5	495,2	62,5	246
kłobucki	11,8	208,6	29,4	216
lubliniecki	13,7	268,8	53,6	297

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
mikołowski	17,4	457,9	77,7	191
myszkowski	8,9	167,2	125,2	140
pszczyński	23,3	451,6	55,8	292
raciborski	22,5	566,9	44,7	372
rybnicki	14,1	318,6	14,8	195
tarnogórski	29,4	571,4	107,1	373
wodzisławski	31,8	680,2	100,7	428
zawierciański	24,8	704,3	54,8	324
żywiecki	23,0	396,4	30,4	382
Bielsko-Biała	47,8	1 135,9	395,1	308
Bytom	15,2	317,8	21,6	123
Chorzów	18,5	312,7	55,5	236
Częstochowa	51,7	863,8	313,0	454
Dąbrowa Górnicza	31,4	717,1	255,0	203
Gliwice	38,2	859,5	124,1	352
Jastrzębie-Zdrój	28,9	640,6	82,4	271
Jaworzno	31,6	626,9	126,1	338
Katowice	113,6	1 883,3	503,5	779
Mysłowice	15,7	296,5	67,4	195
Piekary Śląskie	10,3	292,7	96,4	168
Ruda Śląska	19,1	508,0	32,2	213
Rybnik	30,3	585,1	186,1	267
Siemianowice Śląskie	13,3	257,0	38,7	181
Sosnowiec	52,5	1 142,5	275,7	511
Świętochłowice	9,6	245,0	70,2	93

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
Tychy	28,8	546,1	139,9	262
Zabrze	28,0	411,7	54,6	208
Żory	11,8	246,9	51,4	204
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE				
buski	9,1	140,4	33,2	193
jędrzejowski	11,2	229,0	25,0	293
kazimierski	3,4	56,9	14,7	105
kielecki	23,8	488,0	136,0	531
konecki	12,3	224,2	122,3	261
opatowski	8,3	170,0	22,8	284
ostrowiecki	15,3	274,0	293,8	220
pińczowski	6,5	109,1	40,2	179
sandomierski	11,7	214,8	53,5	244
skarżyski	9,6	172,0	79,9	145
starachowicki	13,8	233,9	57,7	286
staszowski	9,7	216,4	62,3	191
włoszczowski	7,4	116,1	29,8	151
Kielce	46,1	906,6	517,7	323
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE				
bartoszycki	8,6	186,2	64,7	226
braniewski	7,1	140,4	71,2	185
działdowski	10,6	235,4	22,2	307
elbląski	8,3	142,5	42,0	211
etcki	12,5	201,5	45,6	205

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
giżycki	10,4	232,5	34,4	180
gołdapski	3,8	80,5	7,1	90
iławski	13,9	242,4	45,6	257
kętrzyński	10,3	252,1	95,0	334
lidzbarski	7,2	159,4	57,0	228
mrągowski	6,2	92,5	18,9	162
nidzicki	5,4	90,9	43,1	180
nowomiejski	7,0	121,4	37,0	230
olecki	5,2	126,6	38,5	141
olsztyński	15,1	271,0	80,5	496
ostródzki	16,3	289,7	96,4	301
piski	7,5	119,8	31,3	173
szczycieński	10,1	215,2	86,9	270
węgorzewski	3,9	101,1	18,0	74
Elbląg	25,0	364,0	116,2	250
Olsztyn	51,3	939,9	341,0	488
WIELKOPOLSKIE				
chodzieski	9,8	203,5	302,1	163
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	15,9	352,0	140,6	460
gnieźnieński	22,4	423,0	136,3	308
gostyński	13,4	295,4	45,1	283
grodziski	8,2	219,1	70,6	212
jarociński	11,1	252,1	35,2	224
kaliski	12,1	220,9	13,9	364
kępiński	6,7	118,4	14,4	213

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
kolski	11,5	241,9	33,4	222
koniński	16,2	400,2	45,9	323
kościański	14,1	271,8	52,3	270
krotoszyński	14,0	362,5	16,4	213
leszczyński	6,9	124,2	19,0	224
międzychodzki	7,2	130,8	21,7	159
nowotomyski	14,4	315,5	82,4	280
obornicki	11,1	224,4	30,3	192
ostrowski	28,9	560,2	92,2	410
ostrzeszowski	7,2	105,7	16,4	198
piłski	24,2	650,6	200,0	323
pleszewski	14,0	260,6	50,0	220
poznański	50,4	1 012,6	146,9	687
rawicki	12,6	241,0	44,2	198
słupecki	7,4	121,1	27,2	199
szamotulski	15,5	307,3	70,9	199
średzki	12,6	215,6	31,5	130
śremski	8,6	182,3	47,9	127
turecki	9,9	173,9	14,4	260
wągrowiecki	11,0	295,1	77,9	222
wolsztyński	8,1	159,5	53,5	197
wrzeșiński	11,6	259,0	48,8	446
złotowski	12,8	177,6	145,1	271
Kalisz	12,8	272,9	22,7	157

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 20

BORROWERS AND LOANS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS**	NUMBER of seats in reading rooms
	in thous.	volumes in thousands		
0	1	2	3	4
Konin	16,1	357,7	58,3	168
Leszno	17,2	499,9	130,4	173
Poznań	93,8	2 269,5	426,1	757
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE				
białogardzki	6,4	112,3	47,9	192
choszczeński	7,0	210,9	78,5	293
drawski	6,8	102,1	35,6	255
goleniowski	13,0	380,4	193,1	401
gryficki	11,2	170,6	42,1	366
gryfiński	16,7	344,5	257,4	370
kamieński	12,2	215,1	123,0	325
kołobrzeski	14,3	262,0	135,6	276
koszaliński	9,1	149,3	106,9	361
łobeski	7,9	291,1	210,7	251
myśliborski	10,8	306,6	122,8	351
policki	9,1	187,7	60,9	212
pyrzycki	7,3	149,4	87,5	295
sławieński	9,4	171,2	49,6	247
stargardzki	19,2	360,9	322,3	507
szczecinecki	13,2	195,1	106,5	282
świdwiński	5,4	96,9	59,4	338
walecki	5,6	105,3	61,9	167
Koszalin	22,1	355,5	151,0	361
Szczecin	80,3	1 122,6	730,7	860
Świnoujście	6,3	169,0	41,5	89

* Outside a library, special collections included.

** Inside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE				
bolesławiecki	31	21	7	9
dzierzoniowski	56	42	14	19
gólgowski	33	26	12	11
górowski	20	14	3	12
jaworski	32	26	8	11
jeleniogórski	24	16	-	2
kamiennogórski	16	16	2	5
kłodzki	99	74	31	38
legnicki	26	22	6	13
lubański	29	21	9	10
lubiński	51	36	12	23
lwówecki	40	24	5	16
milicki	17	14	5	4
oleśnicki	46	36	19	16
oławski	30	21	6	21
polkowicki	51	32	12	18
strzeliński	21	14	3	7
średzki	21	14	-	5
świdnicki	66	51	21	27
trzebnicki	23	16	9	13
wałbrzyski	91	80	26	34
wołowski	21	19	3	13
wrocławski	49	39	10	20
ząbkowicki	40	22	7	19

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
zgorzelecki	44	35	15	21
złotoryjski	28	21	7	15
Jelenia Góra	41	37	18	15
Legnica	69	65	27	32
Wrocław	222	206	107	93
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE				
aleksandrowski	26	15	6	10
brodnicki	32	19	12	12
bydgoski	31	21	12	7
chełmiński	23	16	8	8
golubsko-dobrzyński	28	17	4	8
grudziądzki	12	8	4	5
inowrocławski	58	38	27	16
lipnowski	30	23	9	13
mogileński	20	16	7	8
nakielski	39	27	20	13
radziejowski	20	18	9	10
rypiński	22	12	4	10
sępoleński	18	12	4	8
świecki	45	29	12	15
toruński	38	31	16	17
tucholski	26	14	3	11
wąbrzeski	18	15	4	8
włocławski	36	22	10	15

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
żniński	36	23	9	16
Bydgoszcz	106	84	67	19
Grudziądz	34	33	17	14
Toruń	110	94	48	35
Włocławek	52	52	18	20
LUBELSKIE				
białski	48	27	8	17
biłgorajski	54	34	10	37
chełmski	41	27	9	21
hrubieszowski	36	20	5	21
janowski	21	15	4	8
krasnostawski	42	27	12	21
kraśnicki	122	29	13	24
lubartowski	61	42	16	33
lubelski	71	36	19	32
łęczyński	33	24	18	8
łukowski	50	40	11	16
opolski	44	24	7	22
parczewski	26	14	3	11
puławski	56	41	14	20
radzyński	27	17	7	8
rycki	25	19	4	11
świdnicki	26	20	10	9
tomaszowski	39	29	7	30
włodawski	25	12	7	10

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
zamojski	44	31	10	25
Biała Podlaska	43	42	19	19
Chełm	45	44	17	19
Lublin	187	181	82	54
Zamość	39	37	17	18
LUBUSKIE				
gorzowski	16	10	4	4
krośnieński	27	19	8	10
międzyrzecki	29	15	1	11
nowosolski	49	30	10	28
słubicki	22	14	7	6
strzelecko-drezdenecki	22	13	3	8
sulęciński	17	9	-	7
świebodziński	21	11	5	10
wschowski	18	14	3	7
zielonogórski	41	25	9	19
żagański	42	29	3	28
żarski	49	41	19	19
Gorzów Wielkopolski	86	80	22	31
Zielona Góra	60	56	43	13
ŁÓDZKIE				
bełchatowski	41	33	13	16
brzeziński	19	13	8	7
kutnowski	52	40	19	12
łaski	27	17	5	14
łęczycki	23	17	6	9

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
łowicki	34	25	10	17
łódzki wschodni	18	14	5	6
opoczyński	26	17	8	11
pabianicki	36	30	8	10
pajęczański	36	26	7	9
piotrkowski	41	16	9	6
poddębicki	38	21	10	10
radomszczański	51	35	17	10
rawski	22	17	10	8
sieradzki	61	45	20	16
skierniewicki	22	14	7	3
tomaszowski	44	32	14	15
wieluński	45	25	15	14
wieruszowski	28	16	5	13
zduńskowolski	27	18	11	7
zgierski	60	47	21	19
Łódź	349	320	166	109
Piotrków Trybunalski	28	27	11	13
Skierniewice	28	25	13	13
MAŁOPOLSKIE				
bocheński	58	33	22	14
brzeski	44	25	10	16
chrzanowski	84	61	35	31
dąbrowski	29	20	8	7
gorlicki	51	33	20	15

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
krakowski	84	38	23	30
limanowski	49	28	16	15
miechowski	27	14	9	9
myślenicki	41	28	10	22
nowosądecki	84	45	22	22
nowotarski	71	27	11	26
olkuski	62	42	22	22
oświęcimski	78	48	29	23
proszowicki	18	11	5	6
suski	39	25	9	17
tarnowski	81	49	13	39
tatrzański	28	12	4	11
wadowicki	63	27	13	27
wielicki	43	28	11	23
Kraków	352	300	211	86
Nowy Sącz	40	39	22	9
Tarnów	51	49	21	21
MAZOWIECKIE				
białobrzeski	19	14	3	2
ciechanowski	38	35	16	15
garwoliński	40	34	9	17
gostyniński	21	20	9	4
grodziski	27	20	6	5
grójecki	26	21	7	7
kozienicki	27	19	10	5

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
legionowski	29	20	6	9
lipski	17	12	3	8
łosicki	13	8	1	5
makowski	33	25	4	17
miński	48	37	21	17
mławski	25	22	7	11
nowodworski	31	23	9	6
ostrołęcki	40	26	8	9
ostrowski	31	25	8	13
otwocki	45	33	14	13
piaseczyński	44	29	9	17
płocki	38	30	11	7
płoński	24	15	8	10
pruszkowski	54	43	13	26
przasnyski	26	18	7	12
przysuski	20	16	9	5
pułtusi	24	21	7	10
radomski	57	40	17	22
siedlecki	29	16	5	12
sierpecki	27	20	10	9
sochaczewski	35	28	14	6
sokołowski	31	23	6	9
szydłowiecki	15	11	2	9
warszawski zachodni	26	16	6	8

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
węgrowski	35	27	6	13
wołomiński	61	45	25	13
wyszkowski	33	23	3	15
zwoleński	19	17	4	7
żuromiński	20	17	3	6
żyrardowski	33	23	11	6
Ostrołęka	25	25	7	14
Płock	72	70	44	11
Radom	52	49	28	21
Siedlce	27	27	14	8
Warszawa	995	875	429	250
OPOLSKIE				
brzeski	57	25	14	21
głubczycki	17	10	2	8
kędzierzyński-kozielski	42	33	8	15
kluczborski	31	16	9	9
krapkowicki	50	20	2	23
namysłowski	17	13	4	5
nyski	65	38	9	29
oleski	36	17	7	7
opolski	63	25	6	29
prudnicki	30	12	1	13
strzelecki	34	19	4	12
Opole	91	75	36	25

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
PODKARPACKIE				
bieszczadzki	19	10	2	10
brzozowski	45	24	10	13
dębicki	59	38	11	30
jarosławski	49	27	5	31
jasielski	47	36	9	23
kolbuszowski	42	26	7	15
krośnieński	46	18	12	14
leski	26	11	2	16
leżajski	42	34	5	25
lubaczowski	42	15	9	18
łańcucki	48	20	11	34
mielecki	82	49	19	30
niżański	32	22	8	11
przemyski	42	10	-	20
przeworski	50	24	6	18
ropczycko-sędziszowski	37	24	10	19
rzeszowski	99	36	19	49
sanocki	71	41	11	28
stalowowolski	54	44	18	21
strzyżowski	49	26	6	28
tarnobrzeski	22	14	2	15
Krosno	41	36	24	13
Przemyśl	30	28	15	12
Rzeszów	84	82	38	34
Tarnobrzeg	37	37	10	18

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
PODLASKIE				
augustowski	30	23	4	20
białostocki	51	40	21	21
bielski	21	19	3	11
grajewski	17	16	1	9
hajnowski	25	22	5	14
kolneński	21	20	6	10
łomżyński	29	26	4	13
moniecki	20	16	7	12
sejneński	11	5	1	4
siemiatycki	22	20	5	13
sokólski	41	31	6	28
suwalski	19	12	1	9
wysokomazowiecki	20	14	4	10
zambrowski	13	11	2	8
Białystok	95	94	47	32
Łomża	30	29	7	12
Suwałki	25	25	6	10
POMORSKIE				
bytowski	41	24	10	18
chojnicki	41	26	13	10
człuchowski	33	18	8	11
gdański	25	19	6	12
kartuski	36	23	11	17

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
kościerski	27	15	5	20
kwidzyński	35	24	13	13
łęborski	28	22	9	9
malborski	17	9	8	3
nowodworski	28	14	1	11
pucki	24	14	11	6
słupski	34	23	5	16
starogardzki	43	30	14	18
sztumski	18	14	2	6
tczewski	51	34	17	15
wejherowski	59	43	13	30
Gdańsk	152	134	62	43
Gdynia	96	83	33	44
Słupsk	51	51	17	18
Sopot	20	20	7	12
ŚLĄSKIE				
będziński	80	68	38	25
bielski	75	47	19	26
bieruńsko-lędziński	16	13	4	6
cieszyński	73	50	11	18
częstochoowski	50	27	5	17
gliwicki	48	29	12	14
kłobucki	32	21	4	11
lubliniecki	42	20	4	18

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
mikołowski	46	28	12	15
myszkowski	29	15	8	10
pszczyński	53	28	11	14
raciborski	53	36	12	24
rybnicki	35	18	6	11
tarnogórski	67	41	30	22
wodzisławski	62	39	20	18
zawierciański	63	44	26	16
żywiecki	67	44	9	31
Bielsko-Biała	96	90	35	19
Bytom	57	56	20	30
Chorzów	37	36	11	19
Częstochowa	97	71	25	48
Dąbrowa Górnicza	74	54	33	20
Gliwice	77	62	25	29
Jastrzębie-Zdrój	60	54	20	19
Jaworzno	64	58	33	12
Katowice	283	274	98	54
Mysłowice	35	25	8	19
Piekary Śląskie	27	25	16	7
Ruda Śląska	47	43	17	15
Rybnik	61	51	14	32
Siemianowice Śląskie	29	24	11	16
Sosnowiec	104	99	40	55
Świętochłowice	21	19	11	8

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
Tychy	60	41	27	19
Zabrze	53	50	24	14
Żory	29	26	15	7
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE				
buski	27	12	9	11
jędrzejowski	39	24	12	18
kazimierski	18	13	3	8
kielecki	75	47	31	20
konecki	44	21	12	12
opatowski	33	25	8	7
ostrowiecki	37	31	12	14
pińczowski	19	10	6	4
sandomierski	36	28	12	13
skarżyski	24	21	11	9
starachowicki	41	30	20	11
staszowski	32	27	16	13
włoszczowski	23	12	10	2
Kielce	98	98	73	15
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE				
bartoszycki	28	19	7	12
braniewski	22	16	8	5
działdowski	34	22	8	6
elbląski	25	17	7	12
ecki	25	23	11	9

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
giżycki	25	18	10	9
gołdapski	15	14	4	5
iławski	39	30	9	13
kętrzyński	32	25	4	18
lidzbarski	25	19	12	6
mragowski	16	14	7	8
nidzicki	17	14	6	5
nowomiejski	27	16	8	12
olecki	11	8	2	5
olsztyński	61	34	14	24
ostródzki	45	28	17	10
piski	25	19	4	11
szczycieński	34	24	11	10
węgorzewski	16	13	6	5
Elbląg	54	51	33	13
Olsztyn	119	107	74	19
WIELKOPOLSKIE				
chodzieski	23	19	4	16
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	53	35	9	21
gnieźnieński	47	39	16	22
gostyński	34	20	8	19
grodziski	27	16	5	16
jarociński	35	26	21	11
kaliski	34	21	9	13
kępiński	25	17	9	9

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
kolski	37	24	12	12
koniński	43	34	4	22
kościański	39	29	13	22
krotoszyński	37	21	9	17
leszczyński	22	13	1	11
międzychodzki	18	13	4	9
nowotomyski	50	26	18	15
obornicki	20	14	4	12
ostrowski	63	46	19	30
ostrzeszowski	19	15	2	10
pilski	64	55	33	23
pleszewski	26	23	8	13
poznański	134	92	29	46
rawicki	30	25	6	15
słupecki	24	19	5	13
szamotulski	36	20	12	13
średzki	28	20	9	13
śremski	25	18	5	16
turecki	37	23	17	14
wągrowiecki	40	27	9	16
wolsztyński	25	16	11	7
wrzesiński	25	13	4	15
złotowski	31	24	6	17
Kalisz	35	34	19	6

TABLE 21

LIBRARY STAFF

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	TOTAL	FULL-TIME	QUALIFIED LIBRARIANS	
			univers. degree	others
0	1	2	3	4
Konin	37	30	19	15
Leszno	30	26	12	13
Poznań	207	193	84	48
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE				
białogardzki	18	18	3	10
choszczeński	31	12	4	12
drawski	27	20	4	17
goleniowski	41	24	9	14
gryficki	30	25	4	12
gryfiński	54	39	15	27
kamieński	34	23	9	12
kołobrzeski	34	23	10	12
koszaliński	34	21	11	13
łobeski	28	19	4	15
myśliborski	37	24	3	14
policki	26	25	2	11
pyrzycki	26	18	5	11
sławieński	24	20	6	14
stargardzki	47	30	18	16
szczecinecki	41	35	4	23
świdwiński	22	19	1	11
walecki	15	10	2	8
Koszalin	56	55	27	18
Szczecin	198	193	111	14
Świnoujście	19	13	5	11

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE					
bolesławiecki	3 690	2,4	670	443	11,2
dzierzoniowski	4 346	3,2	842	421	10,8
głogowski	5 474	2,1	843	266	5,8
górowski	3 311	2,5	421	463	8,9
jaworski	3 047	2,0	693	489	11,9
jeleniogórski	2 775	1,8	478	453	10,0
kamiennogórski	3 834	1,8	775	366	6,7
kłodzki	3 058	2,5	714	601	7,7
legnicki	2 803	2,3	446	464	9,6
lubański	3 146	0,9	579	509	8,7
lubiński	4 210	2,9	823	307	9,0
lwówecki	1 836	1,2	350	603	9,8
milicki	3 348	1,6	564	432	11,1
oleśnicki	5 175	3,0	924	366	9,9
oławski	4 208	1,5	761	296	6,2
polkowicki	2 788	2,1	499	449	17,5
strzeliński	3 384	1,5	520	412	11,8
średzki	2 752	0,8	436	434	9,6
świdnicki	5 508	3,9	1 025	327	8,6
trzebnicki	5 217	2,5	602	320	8,7
wałbrzyski	4 922	3,4	1 108	368	8,4
wołowski	3 647	1,8	542	388	10,8
wrocławski	2 652	2,1	397	447	9,3
ząbkowicki	2 549	1,3	423	458	12,6

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
zgorzelecki	3 472	2,7	724	434	7,5
złotoryjski	2 400	2,2	480	582	14,5
Jelenia Góra	9 531	5,3	1 333	313	6,5
Legnica	6 547	3,1	1 467	356	7,3
Wrocław	11 302	5,9	2 116	200	7,9
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE					
aleksandrowski	3 691	2,1	525	428	10,7
brodnicki	2 507	2,4	478	384	13,7
bydgoski	4 969	2,8	586	293	11,5
chełmiński	3 427	2,0	653	460	11,0
golubsko-dobrzyński	2 374	1,8	392	514	13,1
grudziądzki	3 213	2,3	452	338	10,6
inowrocławski	3 740	1,9	594	451	10,1
lipnowski	3 303	2,2	476	392	8,5
mogileński	5 854	2,8	903	364	11,2
nakielski	3 544	2,0	681	360	10,1
radziejowski	3 816	2,7	779	498	14,6
rypiński	2 452	2,4	407	532	10,2
sępoleński	3 726	2,2	549	468	10,3
świecki	3 881	2,1	586	365	10,1
toruński	3 065	2,9	485	382	10,7
tucholski	2 628	1,5	419	477	11,4
wąbrzeski	2 674	3,0	436	356	11,0
włocławski	3 281	1,8	457	383	6,3

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
żniński	3 321	1,2	578	476	14,1
Bydgoszcz	10 034	4,0	1 189	298	3,8
Grudziądz	9 008	2,5	1 560	240	6,1
Toruń	12 154	13,2	1 964	379	3,7
Włocławek	7 402	4,6	1 452	364	4,4
LUBELSKIE					
bialski	2 911	1,7	362	315	9,9
biłgorajski	2 965	1,9	493	321	10,6
chełmski	2 405	2,3	369	424	11,2
hrubieszowski	2 950	2,1	504	346	8,8
janowski	2 978	1,3	488	278	10,1
krasnostawski	3 260	2,7	576	390	10,5
kraśnicki	3 539	2,6	627	230	12,3
lubartowski	2 201	2,2	455	390	14,1
lubelski	2 594	1,6	395	328	12,7
łęczyński	3 005	2,9	706	310	13,9
łukowski	4 154	2,8	643	280	11,6
opolski	2 161	1,5	441	359	11,9
parczewski	1 648	1,2	206	425	9,9
puławski	3 754	2,7	796	262	10,8
radzyński	3 056	1,9	531	296	9,0
rycki	3 088	1,3	528	342	10,0
świdnicki	4 530	1,9	907	236	11,3
tomaszowski	3 365	2,1	691	344	10,6
włodawski	2 343	1,5	426	488	8,7

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
zamojski	3 329	1,9	424	354	10,9
Biała Podlaska	8 255	10,0	2 819	356	8,2
Chełm	16 946	18,0	3 870	304	7,2
Lublin	10 052	5,9	2 028	236	7,9
Zamość	9 482	13,3	2 406	351	11,0
LUBUSKIE					
gorzowski	4 727	1,5	415	274	9,4
krośnieński	2 559	1,3	505	437	11,2
międzyrzecki	2 649	1,1	582	476	13,6
nowosolski	3 218	1,9	541	425	10,6
słubicki	3 879	2,8	693	377	13,1
strzelecko-drezdenecki	3 130	1,2	420	348	9,7
sulęciński	2 719	0,9	392	461	10,8
świebodziński	3 740	1,0	569	336	8,1
wschowski	4 863	2,4	763	390	12,0
zielonogórski	2 916	2,5	472	412	11,0
żagański	2 732	1,4	523	536	12,9
żarski	3 400	2,6	614	405	11,2
Gorzów Wielkopolski	8 361	7,1	2 039	337	8,7
Zielona Góra	8 395	7,6	1 956	367	7,3
ŁÓDZKIE					
bełchatowski	5 935	4,1	1 048	301	7,2
brzeziński	5 098	3,8	892	416	9,9
kutnowski	3 323	2,5	652	420	11,3
łaski	2 989	2,2	675	504	8,0
łęczycki	3 775	3,2	548	432	8,4

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
łowicki	4 544	3,3	502	309	10,4
łódzki wschodni	5 943	2,5	753	269	6,6
opoczyński	3 737	1,5	406	315	5,5
pabianicki	7 006	2,9	934	272	5,3
pajęczański	2 044	1,6	412	465	11,9
piotrkowski	2 379	1,3	281	436	9,8
poddębicki	1 746	1,6	299	621	12,0
radomszczański	4 078	2,7	895	380	12,3
rawski	4 107	4,0	633	322	11,3
sieradzki	2 935	2,9	716	491	11,8
skierniewicki	1 885	2,1	259	518	10,9
tomaszowski	5 024	3,5	689	354	8,7
wieluński	2 519	2,3	603	480	13,2
wieruszowski	1 686	2,3	269	457	14,5
zduńskowolski	4 231	1,8	590	337	5,5
zgierski	4 600	2,7	713	321	6,2
Łódź	9 185	5,5	1 551	286	4,0
Piotrków Trybunalski	26 158	16,0	6 188	391	7,2
Skierniewice	9 786	9,2	1 937	441	8,4
MAŁOPOLSKIE					
bocheński	2 735	1,5	627	397	11,8
brzeski	3 017	3,0	609	476	12,9
chrzanowski	3 365	3,7	1 096	450	12,4
dąbrowski	3 252	2,4	527	355	10,9
gorlicki	3 438	2,0	684	351	9,4

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
krakowski	3 998	2,2	488	264	7,0
limanowski	3 316	2,3	610	387	11,6
miechowski	3 372	3,1	572	390	11,3
myślenicki	4 919	2,0	757	203	8,3
nowosądecki	3 226	1,7	558	366	10,2
nowotarski	3 212	1,3	540	348	8,3
olkuski	3 562	2,4	779	432	9,8
oświęcimski	4 033	2,1	842	338	10,2
proszowicki	3 340	3,0	463	293	10,8
suski	2 656	2,2	456	428	12,2
tarnowski	3 039	2,4	508	437	11,6
tatrzański	3 833	2,2	637	374	8,6
wadowicki	3 367	1,5	514	334	9,8
wielicki	5 365	2,1	902	252	7,9
Kraków	10 656	5,6	2 950	250	5,1
Nowy Sącz	10 559	8,6	2 674	354	14,0
Tarnów	9 677	9,1	2 555	311	4,3
MAZOWIECKIE					
białobrzeski	3 047	2,7	360	314	17,6
ciechanowski	4 768	2,4	885	399	10,1
garwoliński	3 801	2,0	570	339	10,8
gostyniński	3 133	1,1	434	426	8,4
grodziski	6 148	2,1	802	214	6,9
grójecki	5 365	1,8	668	288	7,6
kozienicki	3 614	2,8	506	272	13,0

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
legionowski	5 522	3,0	814	224	9,1
lipski	3 030	2,5	450	451	13,9
łosicki	2 496	1,2	268	381	10,0
makowski	2 104	1,5	309	631	15,4
miński	5 087	3,5	765	313	12,3
mławski	4 052	2,1	547	371	12,0
nowodworski	5 435	3,0	797	300	7,9
ostrołęcki	2 707	2,0	301	416	9,1
ostrowski	3 938	2,3	628	436	12,5
otwocki	3 919	2,0	616	294	7,5
piaseczyński	6 032	2,5	895	244	9,9
płocki	3 051	1,8	403	404	11,3
płoński	5 139	1,7	695	296	8,2
pruszkowski	6 701	3,0	973	258	10,4
przasnyski	2 778	1,9	383	479	8,7
przysuski	2 415	1,4	348	361	16,4
pułtowski	3 401	1,9	505	414	12,1
radomski	3 243	1,1	424	353	8,6
siedlecki	3 223	2,0	407	402	12,4
sierpecki	2 816	1,1	502	467	10,8
sochaczewski	3 985	1,4	572	311	9,5
sokołowski	2 686	2,7	359	471	9,8
szydłowiecki	3 636	1,5	411	361	9,0
warszawski zachodni	5 728	2,6	661	196	9,5

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
węgrowski	2 696	2,2	321	415	10,4
wołomiński	5 451	2,4	622	215	9,5
wyszkowski	3 427	2,6	447	373	11,9
zwoleński	2 644	3,5	348	397	13,7
zuromiński	2 855	2,6	313	366	7,9
żyrardowski	5 351	2,3	693	316	7,3
Ostrołęka	9 018	5,0	2 166	332	4,1
Płock	8 465	8,1	2 609	308	7,4
Radom	14 990	6,8	1 636	168	2,3
Siedlce	12 823	9,7	3 737	367	9,7
Warszawa	8 982	9,8	1 875	330	17,7
OPOLSKIE					
brzeski	2 971	1,7	564	409	8,7
głubczycki	3 558	1,4	514	355	9,4
kędzierzyński-kozielski	3 896	4,0	532	377	10,7
kluczborski	3 309	1,4	395	275	9,8
krapkowicki	2 038	1,2	404	503	10,9
namysłowski	2 920	1,9	318	446	8,3
nyski	3 453	1,5	473	375	8,0
oleski	2 257	1,2	458	485	14,3
opolski	2 866	1,6	373	418	11,7
prudnicki	2 698	1,1	380	441	8,0
strzelecki	3 472	1,7	501	353	9,9
Opole	7 042	7,2	1 326	445	15,9

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
PODKARPACKIE					
bieszczadzki	2 010	1,8	345	497	12,9
brzozowski	1 808	1,1	319	463	11,7
dębicki	3 400	1,7	583	443	8,6
jarosławski	3 482	0,9	345	276	6,8
jasielski	4 414	3,5	794	317	10,9
kolbuszowski	2 558	4,3	430	524	13,0
krośnieński	2 980	1,4	387	355	13,2
leski	1 397	1,2	333	789	16,5
leżajski	2 761	1,7	474	413	9,6
lubaczowski	2 191	2,0	363	449	14,8
łańcucki	2 361	2,3	536	608	13,6
mielecki	2 836	1,7	633	501	12,5
niżański	2 794	1,4	404	397	7,6
przemyski	1 870	1,2	206	462	11,8
przeworski	2 382	1,3	318	402	11,9
ropczycko-sędziszowski	2 971	1,0	479	369	8,2
rzeszowski	2 304	1,7	358	466	11,7
sanocki	2 630	2,1	476	467	10,4
stalowowolski	3 876	1,8	683	376	9,9
strzyżowski	2 293	1,7	446	554	12,5
tarnobrzeski	3 155	1,5	441	393	8,0
Krosno	5 935	7,6	2 265	504	29,9
Przemyśl	8 358	4,8	1 584	414	4,6
Rzeszów	8 761	6,8	2 055	343	12,4
Tarnobrzeg	6 221	6,9	1 575	402	16,7

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
PODLASKIE					
augustowski	2 943	2,3	479	445	10,5
białostocki	4 059	2,2	488	402	9,2
bielski	5 391	2,1	627	318	8,4
grajewski	5 518	2,9	628	332	8,7
hajnowski	3 633	4,2	610	465	11,0
kolneński	2 626	2,3	331	374	11,3
łomżyński	2 429	2,2	325	552	14,7
moniecki	3 556	2,5	456	386	11,6
sejneński	2 352	0,4	335	588	10,6
siemiatycki	3 428	3,0	502	489	12,1
sokólski	2 752	1,2	447	522	9,8
suwalski	1 952	1,7	289	538	9,9
wysokomazowiecki	4 253	2,9	367	343	7,1
zambrowski	7 447	3,0	744	252	8,0
Białystok	19 610	8,4	2 951	306	5,6
Łomża	10 506	7,2	1 857	312	8,9
Suwałki	13 856	7,8	2 454	331	5,9
POMORSKIE					
bytowski	3 597	2,6	575	321	8,4
chojnicki	8 379	5,9	1 194	280	7,1
człuchowski	3 788	3,0	557	471	15,1
gdański	6 852	2,9	771	267	6,7
kartuski	5 611	2,3	646	256	6,0

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
kościerski	3 971	2,0	535	343	6,9
kwidzyński	4 775	2,6	701	368	10,0
łęborski	5 309	4,4	1 149	324	12,6
malborski	6 968	2,9	892	196	6,3
nowodworski	2 369	2,3	488	502	10,9
pucki	5 823	2,3	614	230	5,2
słupski	4 031	2,2	522	305	8,5
starogardzki	5 339	3,5	691	283	7,8
sztumski	3 213	2,5	418	390	7,6
tczewski	6 286	2,9	1 107	268	7,8
wejherowski	6 883	4,3	1 092	295	8,4
Gdańsk	14 241	7,3	1 849	187	5,9
Gdynia	10 880	3,7	2 118	231	7,6
Słupsk	10 824	11,2	2 440	275	7,1
Sopot	5 593	6,1	1 082	413	8,3
ŚLĄSKIE					
będziński	4 199	2,8	876	548	12,0
bielski	3 915	3,1	762	398	13,4
bieruńsko-lędzki	7 032	4,4	1 242	297	13,7
cieszyński	5 189	3,0	984	341	10,3
częstochowski	3 264	2,0	397	353	10,6
gliwicki	4 403	2,4	825	336	8,5
kłobucki	3 533	1,9	490	340	10,3
lubliniecki	2 731	1,5	490	443	10,2

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
mikołowski	4 585	2,2	870	345	11,3
myszkowski	3 984	1,2	497	298	10,2
pszczyński	3 189	1,9	707	334	13,7
raciborski	3 355	2,2	680	412	10,8
rybnicki	3 521	1,0	673	410	9,9
tarnogórski	3 934	2,4	840	363	8,5
wodzisławski	4 568	2,2	934	446	9,3
zawierciański	3 162	1,5	636	422	8,0
żywiecki	3 411	1,6	524	334	11,9
Bielsko-Biała	9 761	7,1	2 656	347	8,2
Bytom	16 797	6,7	1 384	172	3,1
Chorzów	8 120	3,6	1 318	230	5,4
Częstochowa	9 692	2,1	2 070	271	5,1
Dąbrowa Górnicza	7 155	3,0	1 744	430	9,0
Gliwice	8 225	4,5	1 592	231	11,6
Jastrzębie-Zdrój	7 226	5,2	2 219	456	16,9
Jaworzno	5 307	9,8	1 754	501	19,3
Katowice	8 216	11,3	2 988	805	12,0
Mysłowice	6 810	1,7	1 427	303	10,2
Piekary Śląskie	5 906	1,3	1 025	311	7,2
Ruda Śląska	10 327	3,4	1 366	226	3,7
Rybnik	6 134	2,0	1 318	282	8,4
Siemianowice Śląskie	6 511	3,3	1 207	370	9,8
Sosnowiec	10 118	3,6	2 386	383	8,4
Świętochłowice	7 789	1,4	1 370	341	4,8

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
Tychy	8 111	3,1	1 800	280	9,1
Zabrze	8 594	5,3	1 274	145	6,2
Żory	7 751	6,4	1 477	344	7,6
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE					
buski	4 080	1,4	505	324	9,4
jędrzejowski	3 181	0,8	399	407	9,2
kazimierski	2 728	0,6	262	414	9,6
kielecki	4 079	1,6	486	321	7,6
konecki	3 483	1,5	514	370	9,4
opatowski	2 805	1,5	413	562	11,5
ostrowiecki	5 242	2,0	696	329	7,5
pińczowski	2 323	1,9	360	481	12,5
sandomierski	3 861	1,2	557	363	8,8
skarżyski	6 608	2,9	802	294	6,4
starachowicki	3 915	1,6	574	304	5,2
staszowski	3 879	2,2	509	407	9,5
włoszczowski	3 131	1,6	492	376	10,0
Kielce	13 727	9,9	3 076	283	8,4
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE					
bartoszycki	3 372	4,2	478	442	8,0
braniewski	3 606	5,8	589	486	12,9
działdowski	2 827	2,6	461	397	9,6
elbląski	2 968	4,2	439	514	10,9
etcki	7 755	5,4	1 136	272	6,1

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
giżycki	4 359	3,2	800	340	11,9
gołdapski	4 470	6,8	631	443	12,9
iławski	4 290	4,3	662	364	8,7
kętrzyński	3 868	3,4	605	367	6,3
lidzbarski	2 841	3,4	482	447	11,2
mragowski	4 177	3,8	518	317	8,6
nidzicki	3 748	4,4	602	374	11,8
nowomiejski	2 718	3,1	438	472	11,5
olecki	3 779	3,1	576	426	11,3
olsztyński	2 940	2,7	387	362	9,3
ostródzki	4 196	3,0	652	349	7,9
piski	5 219	5,3	682	347	8,1
szczycieński	3 462	3,6	505	329	8,7
węgorzewski	3 364	5,9	558	551	16,1
Elbląg	11 519	10,4	2 274	390	6,7
Olsztyn	9 248	15,1	2 702	344	7,6
WIELKOPOLSKIE					
chodzieski	5 232	3,3	1 086	457	13,0
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	3 087	1,4	567	458	10,2
gnieźnieński	6 702	3,4	1 068	294	8,4
gostyński	5 057	2,5	896	496	10,9
grodziski	3 807	2,9	630	402	11,1
jarociński	4 699	2,7	740	304	5,1
kaliski	2 984	3,0	447	427	12,2
kępiński	2 930	2,9	351	349	9,0

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
kolski	3 536	1,4	460	341	8,4
koniński	3 668	1,8	477	394	8,5
kościański	3 900	2,6	705	420	7,1
krotoszyński	3 674	2,0	669	395	10,0
leszczyński	2 813	1,9	385	464	11,5
międzychodzki	3 645	2,3	716	428	11,5
nowotomyski	3 796	2,4	760	418	13,5
obornicki	4 694	0,8	927	280	6,6
ostrowski	3 962	2,6	723	315	9,6
ostrzeszowski	4 540	2,8	596	343	9,4
pilski	4 899	3,1	863	425	8,9
pleszewski	2 957	2,6	667	452	11,4
poznański	4 531	2,6	752	331	10,5
rawicki	3 973	3,1	837	477	10,8
słupecki	4 183	2,6	532	351	10,5
szamotulski	4 326	2,4	775	420	12,1
średzki	3 661	2,6	840	466	15,0
śremski	5 876	3,5	865	324	6,9
turecki	4 179	2,9	493	269	9,5
wągrowiecki	3 991	2,5	648	338	9,6
wolsztyński	3 675	1,8	538	334	8,1
wrzesiński	3 534	0,9	552	381	9,5
złotowski	4 038	3,3	750	426	13,1
Kalisz	10 803	3,4	1 284	291	4,2

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 22 INDEXES OF MEANS AND THE ORGANIZATION BASE

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	Population in served area (average)	Number of PCs	Borro- wers	Stocks in volumes	Books bought in vol.
	per one library institution			per 100 inhabitants	
0	1	2	3	4	5
Konin	7 285	3,8	1 462	361	1,7
Leszno	8 007	5,9	2 144	348	9,0
Poznań	9 841	3,5	1 646	267	7,8
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE					
białogardzki	5 362	4,2	707	403	12,2
choszczeński	2 265	1,3	318	553	7,9
drawski	3 618	1,6	427	453	9,8
goleniowski	3 306	1,5	540	446	6,9
gryficki	4 336	3,4	802	426	6,2
gryfiński	3 193	2,0	644	532	8,3
kamieński	2 384	1,4	610	664	11,7
kołobrzeski	4 029	3,6	752	392	12,2
koszaliński	2 682	3,0	380	330	13,7
łobeski	2 939	2,2	607	676	8,9
myśliborski	3 737	2,3	599	404	9,4
policki	6 040	1,5	827	276	4,3
pyrzycki	2 101	1,4	386	635	11,7
sławieński	3 583	2,0	587	386	9,8
stargardzki	4 415	2,1	712	384	6,1
szczecinecki	3 511	1,2	602	361	6,6
świdwiński	3 237	1,7	359	419	6,1
wątecki	5 441	1,5	555	267	4,5
Koszalin	9 761	15,2	2 010	379	7,4
Szczecin	11 022	8,2	2 169	384	7,8
Świnoujście	6 812	2,8	1 050	475	11,6

* I.e. per one library or one branch.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
DOLNOŚLĄSKIE				
bolesławiecki	18,2	407	0,9	22,4
dzierzoniowski	19,4	422	1,0	21,8
głogowski	15,4	259	1,0	16,8
górowski	12,7	261	0,6	20,6
jaworski	22,7	381	0,8	16,8
jeleniogórski	17,2	505	1,1	29,3
kamiennogórski	20,2	508	1,4	25,1
kłodzki	23,4	483	0,8	20,7
legnicki	15,9	268	0,6	16,8
lubański	18,4	333	0,6	18,1
lubiński	19,5	396	1,3	20,3
lwówecki	19,1	404	0,7	21,2
milicki	16,9	386	0,9	22,9
oleśnicki	17,9	303	0,8	17,0
oławski	18,1	354	1,1	19,6
polkowicki	17,9	333	0,7	18,6
strzeliński	15,4	260	0,6	16,9
średzki	15,8	219	0,5	13,9
świdnicki	18,6	371	1,1	19,9
trzebnicki	11,5	262	0,8	22,7
wałbrzyski	22,5	416	1,1	18,5
wołowski	14,9	286	0,7	19,3
wrocławski	15,0	337	0,8	22,5
ząbkowicki	16,6	337	0,7	20,3

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
zgorzelecki	20,9	416	0,9	19,9
złotoryjski	20,0	454	0,8	22,7
Jelenia Góra	14,0	382	1,1	27,3
Legnica	22,4	405	0,9	18,1
Wrocław	18,7	441	1,9	23,5
KUJAWSKO-POMORSKIE				
aleksandrowski	14,2	338	0,8	23,8
brodnicki	19,1	369	1,0	19,3
bydgoski	11,8	233	0,8	19,8
chełmiński	19,1	311	0,7	16,3
golubsko-dobrzyński	16,5	291	0,6	17,6
grudziądzki	14,1	274	0,8	19,5
inowrocławski	15,9	230	0,5	14,5
lipnowski	14,4	363	0,9	25,2
mogileński	15,4	357	1,0	23,1
nakielski	19,2	358	1,0	18,6
radziejowski	20,4	387	0,8	19,0
rypiński	16,6	411	0,8	24,8
sępoleński	14,7	248	0,5	16,8
świecki	15,1	255	0,7	16,9
toruński	15,8	268	0,7	17,0
tucholski	16,0	305	0,6	19,1
wąbrzeski	16,3	229	0,6	14,1
włocławski	13,9	314	0,8	22,5

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
żniński	17,4	375	0,8	21,6
Bydgoszcz	11,8	210	0,7	17,7
Grudziądz	17,3	327	1,2	18,9
Toruń	16,2	322	0,8	19,9
Włocławek	19,6	336	0,9	17,1
LUBELSKIE				
bialski	12,4	281	0,9	22,6
biłgorajski	16,6	362	1,1	21,8
chełmski	15,3	288	0,7	18,8
hrubieszowski	17,1	288	0,8	16,8
janowski	16,4	326	1,2	19,9
krasnostawski	17,7	338	0,9	19,1
kraśnicki	17,7	364	1,6	20,6
lubartowski	20,7	448	1,1	21,7
lubelski	15,2	283	0,9	18,6
łęczyński	23,5	357	1,1	15,2
łukowski	15,5	318	1,1	20,6
opolski	20,4	381	1,0	18,7
parczewski	12,5	194	0,5	15,5
puławski	21,2	354	1,3	16,7
radzyński	17,4	345	1,2	19,9
rycki	17,1	334	1,0	19,5
świdnicki	20,0	354	1,5	17,7
tomaszowski	20,5	366	1,1	17,8
włodawski	18,2	340	0,7	18,7

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
zamojski	12,7	273	0,8	21,4
Biała Podlaska	34,1	471	1,3	13,8
Chełm	22,8	445	1,2	19,5
Lublin	20,2	489	1,7	24,3
Zamość	25,4	420	1,1	16,6
LUBUSKIE				
gorzowski	8,8	154	0,6	17,6
krośnieński	19,7	326	0,7	16,5
międzyrzecki	22,0	559	1,2	25,4
nowosolski	16,8	375	0,9	22,3
słubicki	17,9	331	0,9	18,5
strzelecko-drezdenecki	13,4	344	1,0	25,6
sulęciński	14,4	271	0,6	18,8
świebodziński	15,2	323	1,0	21,2
wschowski	15,7	304	0,8	19,4
zielonogórski	16,2	317	0,8	19,6
żagański	19,2	398	0,7	20,7
żarski	18,1	379	0,9	21,0
Gorzów Wielkopolski	24,4	405	1,1	16,6
Zielona Góra	23,3	381	0,9	16,4
ŁÓDZKIE				
bełchatowski	17,7	310	1,0	17,5
brzeziński	17,5	215	0,5	12,3
kutnowski	19,6	337	0,8	17,2
łaski	22,6	288	0,6	12,7
łęczycki	14,5	229	0,5	15,8

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
łowicki	11,1	205	0,6	18,5
łódzki wschodni	12,7	216	0,8	17,0
opoczyński	10,9	180	0,6	16,6
pabianicki	13,3	206	0,8	15,5
pajęczański	20,2	274	0,6	13,6
piotrkowski	11,8	264	0,6	22,3
poddębicki	17,1	308	0,5	18,0
radomszczański	22,0	328	0,8	14,9
rawski	15,4	253	0,8	16,4
sieradzki	24,4	520	1,0	21,3
skierniewicki	13,7	201	0,4	14,7
tomaszowski	13,7	259	0,7	18,9
wieluński	23,9	367	0,8	15,3
wieruszowski	15,9	262	0,6	16,5
zduńskowolski	13,9	295	0,9	21,2
zgierski	15,5	252	0,8	16,3
Łódź	16,9	354	1,2	21,0
Piotrków Trybunalski	23,7	464	1,1	19,6
Skierniewice	19,8	339	0,7	17,1
MAŁOPOLSKIE				
bocheński	22,9	365	0,9	15,9
brzeski	20,2	452	0,9	22,4
chrzanowski	32,6	476	1,0	14,6
dąbrowski	16,2	341	1,0	21,0
gorlicki	19,9	399	1,1	20,0

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
krakowski	12,2	227	0,9	18,6
limanowski	18,4	299	0,8	16,2
miechowski	17,0	233	0,6	13,7
myślenicki	15,4	256	1,3	16,6
nowosądecki	17,3	394	1,1	22,8
nowotarski	16,8	256	0,7	15,2
olkuski	21,9	346	0,8	15,8
oświęcimski	20,9	353	1,0	16,9
proszowicki	13,9	176	0,6	12,7
suski	17,2	292	0,7	17,0
tarnowski	16,7	343	0,8	20,5
tatrzański	16,6	332	0,9	20,0
wadowicki	15,3	284	0,8	18,6
wielicki	16,8	296	1,2	17,6
Kraków	27,7	478	1,8	17,3
Nowy Sącz	25,3	445	1,2	17,6
Tarnów	26,4	444	1,3	16,8
MAZOWIECKIE				
białobrzeski	11,8	244	0,8	20,7
ciechanowski	18,6	411	0,9	22,1
garwoliński	15,0	257	0,8	17,1
gostyniński	13,9	227	0,5	16,4
grodziski	13,1	213	1,0	16,3
grójecki	12,5	157	0,5	12,6
kozienicki	14,0	270	1,0	19,3

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
legionowski	14,7	388	1,7	26,3
lipski	14,9	371	0,8	25,0
łosicki	10,7	368	1,0	34,2
makowski	14,7	257	0,4	17,5
miński	15,0	268	0,9	17,8
mławski	13,5	190	0,5	14,1
nowodworski	14,7	251	0,8	17,1
ostrołęcki	11,1	171	0,4	15,3
ostrowski	15,9	287	0,7	18,0
otwocki	15,7	261	0,9	16,6
piaseczyński	14,8	284	1,2	19,1
płocki	13,2	253	0,6	19,2
płoński	13,5	220	0,7	16,2
pruszkowski	14,5	282	1,1	19,4
przasnyski	13,8	204	0,4	14,8
przysuski	14,4	241	0,7	16,8
pułtowski	14,8	261	0,6	17,6
radomski	13,1	232	0,6	17,8
siedlecki	12,6	301	0,7	23,9
sierpecki	17,8	362	0,8	20,3
sochaczewski	14,4	275	0,9	19,1
sokołowski	13,4	198	0,4	14,8
szydłowiecki	11,3	223	0,6	19,7
warszawski zachodni	11,5	219	1,1	18,9

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
węgrowski	11,9	266	0,6	22,3
wołomiński	11,4	223	1,0	19,5
wyszkowski	13,1	226	0,6	17,3
zwoleński	13,2	301	0,8	22,8
żuromiński	11,0	146	0,4	13,3
żyrardowski	12,9	218	0,7	16,9
Ostrołęka	24,0	212	0,6	8,8
Płock	30,8	591	1,7	19,2
Radom	10,9	165	0,9	15,2
Siedlce	29,1	1 028	2,5	35,3
Warszawa	20,9	386	1,1	18,5
OPOLSKIE				
brzeski	19,0	328	0,8	17,3
głubczycki	14,4	258	0,7	17,9
kędzierzyński-kozielski	13,7	309	0,8	22,6
kluczborski	11,9	254	0,9	21,3
krapkowicki	19,8	346	0,7	17,5
namysłowski	10,9	151	0,3	13,9
nyski	13,7	313	0,8	22,8
oleski	20,3	369	0,8	18,2
opolski	13,0	220	0,5	16,9
prudnicki	14,1	224	0,5	16,0
strzelecki	14,4	221	0,6	15,3
Opole	18,8	573	1,1	30,4

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
PODKARPACKIE				
bieszczadzki	17,1	305	0,6	17,8
brzozowski	17,6	377	0,8	21,4
dębicki	17,2	351	0,8	20,5
jarosławski	9,9	170	0,6	17,1
jasielski	18,0	361	1,1	20,1
kolbuszowski	16,8	356	0,7	21,2
krośnieński	13,0	250	0,7	19,2
leski	23,9	431	0,5	18,1
leżajski	17,1	354	0,9	20,6
lubaczowski	16,6	278	0,6	16,8
łańcucki	22,7	481	0,8	21,2
mielecki	22,3	438	0,9	19,6
niżański	14,5	268	0,7	18,6
przemyski	11,0	188	0,4	17,1
przeworski	13,3	220	0,5	16,5
ropczycko-sędziszowski	16,1	354	1,0	22,0
rzeszowski	15,6	317	0,7	20,4
sanocki	18,1	291	0,6	16,1
stalowowolski	17,6	354	0,9	20,1
strzyżowski	19,4	419	0,8	21,6
tarnobrzegi	14,0	238	0,6	17,0
Krosno	38,2	730	1,2	19,1
Przemyśl	18,9	295	0,7	15,6
Rzeszów	23,5	450	1,2	19,2
Tarnobrzeg	25,3	537	1,1	21,2

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
PODLASKIE				
augustowski	16,3	319	0,7	19,6
białostocki	12,0	233	0,6	19,4
bielski	11,6	245	0,8	21,1
grajewski	11,4	238	0,7	20,9
hajnowski	16,8	313	0,7	18,6
kolneński	12,6	272	0,7	21,5
łomżyński	13,4	330	0,6	24,6
moniecki	12,8	243	0,6	18,9
sejneński	14,2	209	0,4	14,7
siemiatycki	14,6	328	0,7	22,4
sokólski	16,2	388	0,7	23,9
suwalski	14,8	283	0,5	19,1
wysokomazowiecki	8,6	149	0,4	17,3
zambrowski	10,0	225	0,9	22,5
Białystok	15,1	242	0,7	16,1
Łomża	17,7	376	1,1	21,3
Suwałki	17,7	326	0,9	18,4
POMORSKIE				
bytowski	16,0	314	1,0	19,7
chojnicki	14,3	243	0,9	17,1
człuchowski	14,7	360	0,7	24,5
gdański	11,2	284	1,1	25,3
kartuski	11,5	247	1,0	21,4

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
kościerski	13,5	228	0,7	16,9
kwidzyński	14,7	222	0,6	15,1
łęborski	21,6	329	1,0	15,2
malborski	12,8	281	1,4	22,0
nowodworski	20,6	346	0,7	16,8
pucki	10,5	257	1,1	24,3
słupski	13,0	278	0,9	21,5
starogardzki	12,9	337	1,2	26,1
sztumski	13,0	218	0,6	16,8
tczewski	17,6	362	1,3	20,5
wejherowski	15,9	227	0,8	14,3
Gdańsk	13,0	245	1,3	18,8
Gdynia	19,5	396	1,7	20,3
Słupsk	22,5	422	1,4	18,7
Sopot	19,3	355	0,9	18,3
ŚLĄSKIE				
będziński	20,9	469	0,9	22,5
bielski	19,5	398	1,0	20,4
bieruńsko-lędzki	17,7	346	1,2	19,6
cieszyński	19,0	302	0,9	15,9
częstochowski	12,2	255	0,7	20,9
gliwicki	18,7	433	1,3	23,1
kłobucki	13,9	246	0,7	17,8
lubliniecki	17,9	351	0,8	19,6

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
mikołowski	19,0	499	1,4	26,3
myszkowski	12,5	233	0,8	18,7
pszczyński	22,2	429	1,3	19,3
raciborski	20,3	512	1,2	25,3
rybnicki	19,1	431	1,0	22,5
tarnogórski	21,3	415	1,1	19,4
wodzisławski	20,5	438	1,0	21,4
zawierciański	20,1	571	1,4	28,4
żywiecki	15,4	264	0,8	17,2
Bielsko-Biała	27,2	647	1,8	23,8
Bytom	8,2	172	1,0	20,9
Chorzów	16,2	275	1,2	16,9
Częstochowa	21,4	357	1,2	16,7
Dąbrowa Górnicza	24,4	557	1,3	22,8
Gliwice	19,4	435	1,8	22,5
Jastrzębie-Zdrój	30,7	682	1,4	22,2
Jaworzno	33,1	656	1,3	19,9
Katowice	36,4	603	0,6	16,6
Mysłowice	21,0	396	1,3	18,9
Piekary Śląskie	17,4	496	1,6	28,6
Ruda Śląska	13,2	351	1,5	26,6
Rybnik	21,5	415	1,4	19,3
Siemianowice Śląskie	18,5	359	1,0	19,4
Sosnowiec	23,6	513	1,3	21,8
Świętochłowice	17,6	449	1,2	25,5

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
Tychy	22,2	421	1,5	19,0
Zabrze	14,8	218	1,5	14,7
Żory	19,1	398	1,1	20,9
ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE				
buski	12,4	191	0,6	15,5
jędrzejowski	12,5	257	0,6	20,5
kazimierski	9,6	160	0,4	16,7
kielecki	11,9	244	0,8	20,5
konecki	14,7	268	0,7	18,2
opatowski	14,7	303	0,5	20,6
ostrowiecki	13,3	238	0,7	17,9
pińczowski	15,5	261	0,5	16,8
sandomierski	14,4	265	0,7	18,4
skarżyski	12,1	217	0,7	17,9
starachowicki	14,7	249	0,8	17,0
staszowski	13,1	294	0,7	22,4
włoszczowski	15,7	247	0,7	15,7
Kielce	22,4	440	1,4	19,6
WARMIŃSKO-MAZURSKIE				
bartoszycki	14,2	307	0,7	21,7
braniewski	16,3	325	0,7	19,9
działdowski	16,3	362	0,9	22,2
elbląski	14,8	253	0,5	17,1
etcki	14,7	236	0,9	16,1

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
giżycki	18,3	410	1,2	22,4
gołdapski	14,1	300	0,7	21,3
iławski	15,4	269	0,7	17,4
kętrzyński	15,6	383	1,0	24,5
lidzbarski	17,0	374	0,8	22,0
mragowski	12,4	185	0,6	14,9
nidzicki	16,1	269	0,7	16,8
nowomiejski	16,1	279	0,6	17,3
olecki	15,2	372	0,9	24,4
olsztyński	13,2	236	0,7	18,0
ostródzki	15,5	276	0,8	17,8
piski	13,1	209	0,6	16,0
szczycieński	14,6	311	0,9	21,3
węgorzewski	16,6	429	0,8	25,9
Elbląg	19,7	287	0,7	14,6
Olsztyn	29,2	535	1,3	18,3
WIELKOPOLSKIE				
chodzieski	20,8	432	0,9	20,8
czarnkowsko-trzcianecki	18,4	407	0,9	22,2
gnieźnieński	15,9	300	1,0	18,9
gostyński	17,7	389	0,8	22,0
grodziski	16,5	443	1,1	26,7
jarociński	15,8	358	1,2	22,7
kaliski	15,0	274	0,6	18,3
kępiński	12,0	213	0,6	17,8

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
kolski	13,0	274	0,8	21,0
koniński	13,0	321	0,8	24,7
kościański	18,1	348	0,8	19,3
krotoszyński	18,2	470	1,2	25,8
leszczyński	13,7	245	0,5	17,9
międzychodzki	19,6	359	0,8	18,3
nowotomyski	20,0	437	1,0	21,8
obornicki	19,7	398	1,4	20,2
ostrowski	18,2	353	1,1	19,4
ostrzeszowski	13,1	194	0,6	14,8
piłski	17,6	474	1,0	26,9
pleszewski	22,6	420	0,9	18,6
poznański	16,6	334	1,0	20,1
rawicki	21,1	404	0,8	19,2
słupecki	12,7	207	0,6	16,3
szamotulski	17,9	355	0,8	19,8
średzki	22,9	393	0,8	17,1
śremski	14,7	310	1,0	21,1
turecki	11,8	208	0,8	17,6
wągrowiecki	16,2	435	1,3	26,8
wolsztyński	14,6	289	0,9	19,8
wrzesiński	15,6	349	0,9	22,4
złotowski	18,6	259	0,6	13,9
Kalisz	11,9	253	0,8	21,3

* Outside a library, special collections included.

TABLE 23

INDEXES OF EFFECTIVENESS

COUNTY (the medium-level administrative unit)	BORRO- WERS	LOANS*	LOANS* per one item of stocks	LOANS* per one borrower
	per 100 inhabitants			
0	1	2	3	4
Konin	20,1	446	1,1	22,2
Leszno	26,8	780	2,0	29,1
Poznań	16,7	405	1,3	24,2
ZACHODNIOPOMORSKIE				
białogardzki	13,2	233	0,6	17,6
choszczeński	14,0	423	0,8	30,2
drawski	11,8	176	0,4	14,9
goleniowski	16,3	479	1,0	29,3
gryficki	18,5	281	0,6	15,2
gryfiński	20,2	415	0,8	20,6
kamieński	25,6	451	0,7	17,6
kołobrzeski	18,7	342	0,9	18,3
koszaliński	14,2	232	0,7	16,4
łobeski	20,7	762	1,1	36,9
myśliborski	16,0	456	1,1	28,4
policki	13,7	282	1,0	20,6
pyrzycki	18,4	374	0,6	20,4
sławieński	16,4	299	0,8	18,2
stargardzki	16,1	303	0,8	18,8
szczecinecki	17,2	253	0,7	14,7
świdwiński	11,1	199	0,5	18,0
walecki	10,2	194	0,7	19,0
Koszalin	20,6	331	0,8	16,1
Szczecin	19,7	275	0,5	14,0
Świnoujście	15,4	413	0,8	26,8

* Outside a library, special collections included.

VOIVODSHIPS
by selected indexes

Table XIII

**Voivodships in order of the standard score index
of means and the organization base**

	rank place at prev. year	average stand. score
1. zachodniopomorskie	1	0,98
2. warmińsko-mazurskie	4	0,98
3. lubuskie	2	0,97
4. podkarpackie	3	0,96
5. opolskie	5	0,93
6. dolnośląskie	6	0,85
7. lubelskie	7	0,85
8. mazowieckie	8	0,82
9. kujawsko-pomorskie	9	0,79
10. łódzkie	10	0,78
11. śląskie	13	0,78
13. podlaskie	12	0,77
12. wielkopolskie	11	0,77
14. małopolskie	14	0,74
15. świętokrzyskie	15	0,72
16. pomorskie	16	0,63

Table XIII/1

**Voivodships in order of the population per 1 library
or 1 branch**

	population	standard score
1. podkarpackie	2 992	0,33
2. opolskie	3 221	0,28
3. lubelskie	3 598	0,19
4. lubuskie	3 763	0,15
5. świętokrzyskie	4 280	0,04
6. warmińsko-mazurskie	4 283	0,04
7. małopolskie	4 298	0,03
8. dolnośląskie	4 374	0,02
9. zachodniopomorskie	4 465	-0,00
10. kujawsko-pomorskie	4 492	-0,01
11. łódzkie	4 524	-0,02
12. wielkopolskie	4 678	-0,05
13. podlaskie	4 809	-0,08
14. mazowieckie	5 215	-0,17
15. śląskie	5 574	-0,25
16. pomorskie	6 541	-0,47

mean 4 444

The standardized index in col. 3 of this table constitutes a difference between one and the value of the quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean value of the distribution of this index. The operation of subtracting the value of the quotient from one results from the need to obtain opposite directions of the subject-related and the arithmetical index value.

Table XIII/2

**Voivodships in order of number of PCs per 1 library
or 1 branch**

	PCs	standard score
1. warmińsko-mazurskie	4,62	1,61
2. mazowieckie	3,81	1,33
3. pomorskie	3,75	1,31
4. śląskie	3,23	1,13
5. łódzkie	3,05	1,06
6. zachodniopomorskie	3,04	1,06
7. podlaskie	2,86	1,00
8. kujawsko-pomorskie	2,81	0,98
9. małopolskie	2,66	0,93
10. dolnośląskie	2,63	0,92
11. wielkopolskie	2,58	0,90
12. lubelskie	2,56	0,89
13. lubuskie	2,35	0,82
14. podkarpackie	2,03	0,71
15. opolskie	1,98	0,69
16. świętokrzyskie	1,98	0,69

mean 2,87

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIII/3

**Voivodships in order of the library space (m²) per
100 population**

	space	standard score
1. zachodniopomorskie	3,89	1,50
2. lubuskie	3,25	1,25
3. opolskie	2,88	1,11
4. dolnośląskie	2,85	1,10
5. warmińsko-mazurskie	2,84	1,10
6. lubelskie	2,73	1,05
7. podkarpackie	2,72	1,05
8. śląskie	2,71	1,04
9. łódzkie	2,42	0,93
10. wielkopolskie	2,38	0,92
11. kujawsko-pomorskie	2,35	0,90
12. podlaskie	2,32	0,89
13. świętokrzyskie	2,19	0,84
14. małopolskie	2,07	0,80
15. mazowieckie	2,05	0,79
16. pomorskie	1,87	0,72

mean 2,60

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIII/4

**Voivodships in order of number of seats reading rooms
per 100 population**

	seats	standard score
1. lubuskie	0,4	1,36
2. zachodniopomorskie	0,4	1,32
3. podkarpackie	0,4	1,30
4. opolskie	0,4	1,26
5. warmińsko-mazurskie	0,3	1,15
6. dolnośląskie	0,3	1,13
7. lubelskie	0,3	1,00
8. kujawsko-pomorskie	0,3	0,95
9. łódzkie	0,3	0,93
10. wielkopolskie	0,3	0,92
11. świętokrzyskie	0,3	0,88
12. podlaskie	0,2	0,82
13. małopolskie	0,2	0,81
14. mazowieckie	0,2	0,76
15. śląskie	0,2	0,74
16. pomorskie	0,2	0,68

mean 0,3

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIII/5

Voivodships in order of number of books per 100 population

	volumens	standard score
1. podkarpackie	427	1,17
2. zachodniopomorskie	417	1,15
3. opolskie	405	1,12
4. lubuskie	396	1,09
5. podlaskie	381	1,05
6. warmińsko-mazurskie	379	1,04
7. kujawsko-pomorskie	376	1,04
8. śląskie	367	1,01
9. dolnośląskie	365	1,00
10. łódzkie	356	0,98
11. wielkopolskie	355	0,98
12. świętokrzyskie	350	0,96
13. małopolskie	331	0,91
14. mazowieckie	324	0,89
15. lubelskie	313	0,86
16. pomorskie	272	0,75

mean 363

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIII/6

**Voivodships in order of number of books bought
per 100 population**

	volumens	standard score
1. mazowieckie	12,2	1,31
2. podkarpackie	11,4	1,22
3. opolskie	10,7	1,15
4. lubelskie	10,4	1,11
5. lubuskie	10,4	1,11
6. śląskie	9,5	1,02
7. wielkopolskie	9,2	0,98
8. dolnośląskie	9,0	0,96
9. warmińsko-mazurskie	8,9	0,96
10. małopolskie	8,8	0,94
11. podlaskie	8,6	0,92
12. świętokrzyskie	8,5	0,91
13. kujawsko-pomorskie	8,3	0,89
14. zachodniopomorskie	8,3	0,89
15. łódzkie	7,6	0,81
16. pomorskie	7,6	0,81

mean 9,3

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIV

**Voivodships in order of the standard score index
of intensity of library services**

	rank place at prev. year	average stand. score
1. podkarpackie	1	0,79
2. opolskie	2	0,82
3. lubelskie	4	0,87
4. podlaskie	3	0,88
5. kujawsko-pomorskie	5	0,91
6. warmińsko-mazurskie	6	0,93
7. lubuskie	7	0,97
8. zachodniopomorskie	9	0,99
9. łódzkie	8	1,01
10. świętokrzyskie	10	1,01
11. wielkopolskie	12	1,05
12. mazowieckie	11	1,09
13. dolnośląskie	13	1,14
14. pomorskie	14	1,19
15. małopolskie	15	1,24
16. śląskie	16	1,26

Table XIV/1

**Voivodships in order of number of readers
per 1 library or 1 branch**

	readers	standard score
1. opolskie	496	0,65
2. podkarpackie	534	0,70
3. świętokrzyskie	631	0,83
4. lubelskie	669	0,88
5. podlaskie	678	0,89
6. lubuskie	691	0,91
7. kujawsko-pomorskie	697	0,92
8. warmińsko-mazurskie	738	0,97
9. łódzkie	770	1,01
10. zachodniopomorskie	774	1,02
11. wielkopolskie	787	1,03
12. dolnośląskie	811	1,07
13. mazowieckie	872	1,15
14. małopolskie	894	1,17
15. pomorskie	990	1,30
16. śląskie	1 148	1,51

mean 761

The standardized index in col. 3 of this table is a quotient of the named value by an arithmetic average value of a given named distribution, calculated for each voivodship.

Table XIV/2

**Voivodships in order of number of readers
per 1 m² of the library space**

	readers	standard score
1. zachodniopomorskie	4,5	0,65
2. opolskie	5,3	0,79
3. lubuskie	5,7	0,83
4. podlaskie	6,1	0,89
5. warmińsko-mazurskie	6,1	0,89
6. dolnośląskie	6,5	0,96
7. podkarpackie	6,6	0,96
8. kujawsko-pomorskie	6,6	0,97
9. świętokrzyskie	6,7	0,99
10. lubelskie	6,8	1,00
11. łódzkie	7,0	1,03
12. wielkopolskie	7,1	1,04
13. śląskie	7,6	1,12
14. pomorskie	8,1	1,19
15. mazowieckie	8,2	1,20
16. małopolskie	10,1	1,48

mean 6,8

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIV/3

Voivodships in order of number of readers per 1 librarian

	readers	standard score
1. opolskie	300	0,81
2. podkarpackie	313	0,85
3. lubelskie	334	0,90
4. podlaskie	343	0,93
5. świętokrzyskie	345	0,93
6. zachodniopomorskie	348	0,94
7. warmińsko-mazurskie	354	0,96
8. lubuskie	371	1,01
9. mazowieckie	372	1,01
10. kujawsko-pomorskie	373	1,01
11. łódzkie	376	1,02
12. pomorskie	390	1,06
13. wielkopolskie	390	1,06
14. dolnośląskie	399	1,08
15. śląskie	435	1,18
16. małopolskie	462	1,25

mean 369

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIV/4

Voivodships in order of number of loans per 1 librarian

	loans	standard score
1. podkarpackie	6 067	0,85
2. opolskie	6 110	0,85
3. zachodniopomorskie	6 483	0,91
4. świętokrzyskie	6 504	0,91
5. lubelskie	6 586	0,92
6. podlaskie	6 615	0,93
7. warmińsko-mazurskie	6 626	0,93
8. łódzkie	6 891	0,96
9. mazowieckie	6 989	0,98
10. kujawsko-pomorskie	6 999	0,98
11. lubuskie	7 214	1,01
12. pomorskie	7 547	1,06
13. małopolskie	8 137	1,14
14. dolnośląskie	8 353	1,17
15. wielkopolskie	8 409	1,18
16. śląskie	8 876	1,24

mean 7 150

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XIV/5

**Voivodships in order of number of loans in reading rooms
per 1 seat**

	loans per 1 seat	standard score
1. podkarpackie	186	0,59
2. lubelskie	201	0,64
3. kujawsko-pomorskie	218	0,70
4. dolnośląskie	226	0,72
5. podlaskie	235	0,75
6. warmińsko-mazurskie	279	0,89
7. wielkopolskie	292	0,93
8. opolskie	316	1,01
9. łódzkie	325	1,04
10. lubuskie	338	1,08
11. mazowieckie	345	1,10
12. małopolskie	364	1,16
13. śląskie	391	1,25
14. pomorskie	416	1,33
15. świętokrzyskie	437	1,39
16. zachodniopomorskie	445	1,42

mean 314

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XV

**Voivodships in order of the standard score index
of effectiveness of library activity**

	rank place at prev. year	average stand. score
1. śląskie	2	1,13
2. zachodniopomorskie	1	1,12
3. lubuskie	3	1,12
4. małopolskie	5	1,08
5. dolnośląskie	4	1,06
6. wielkopolskie	6	1,03
7. lubelskie	7	1,02
8. opolskie	10	1,00
9. warmińsko-mazurskie	9	0,98
10. pomorskie	8	0,97
11. łódzkie	11	0,96
12. mazowieckie	14	0,96
13. świętokrzyskie	13	0,96
14. podkarpackie	12	0,95
15. kujawsko-pomorskie	15	0,85
16. podlaskie	16	0,81

Table XV/1

**Voivodships in order of number of readers
per 100 population**

	readers	standard score
1. małopolskie	20,8	1,21
2. śląskie	20,6	1,20
3. lubelskie	18,6	1,08
4. dolnośląskie	18,5	1,08
5. lubuskie	18,4	1,07
6. podkarpackie	17,8	1,04
7. zachodniopomorskie	17,3	1,01
8. warmińsko-mazurskie	17,2	1,00
9. łódzkie	17,0	0,99
10. wielkopolskie	16,8	0,98
11. mazowieckie	16,7	0,97
12. kujawsko-pomorskie	15,5	0,90
13. opolskie	15,4	0,90
14. pomorskie	15,1	0,88
15. świętokrzyskie	14,7	0,86
16. podlaskie	14,1	0,82

mean 17,2

The standardized index in col. 3 of this table is a quotient of the named value by an arithmetic average value of a given named distribution, calculated for each voivodship.

Table XV/2

Voivodships in order of number of loans per 100 population

	loans	standard score
1. śląskie	420	1,26
2. dolnośląskie	388	1,17
3. małopolskie	367	1,10
4. lubelskie	366	1,10
5. wielkopolskie	363	1,09
6. lubuskie	357	1,07
7. podkarpackie	346	1,04
8. warmińsko-mazurskie	323	0,97
9. zachodniopomorskie	323	0,97
10. mazowieckie	314	0,94
11. opolskie	314	0,94
12. łódzkie	312	0,94
13. pomorskie	293	0,88
14. kujawsko-pomorskie	291	0,88
15. świętokrzyskie	278	0,84
16. podlaskie	272	0,82

mean 333

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XV/3

Voivodships in order of number of loans per 1 registered item

	loans	standard score
1. lubelskie	1,1	1,26
2. śląskie	1,1	1,22
3. małopolskie	1,1	1,21
4. pomorskie	1,1	1,19
5. dolnośląskie	1,0	1,13
6. wielkopolskie	1,0	1,09
7. mazowieckie	0,9	1,05
8. lubuskie	0,9	0,97
9. łódzkie	0,9	0,95
10. warmińsko-mazurskie	0,8	0,92
11. podkarpackie	0,8	0,89
12. świętokrzyskie	0,8	0,87
13. kujawsko-pomorskie	0,8	0,85
14. opolskie	0,7	0,84
15. zachodniopomorskie	0,7	0,79
16. podlaskie	0,7	0,77

mean 0,9

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XV/4

**Voivodships in order of number of loans in reading rooms
per 100 population**

	loans in reading rooms	standard score
1. zachodniopomorskie	179	1,90
2. lubuskie	140	1,49
3. opolskie	122	1,29
4. świętokrzyskie	117	1,24
5. warmińsko-mazurskie	98	1,04
6. łódzkie	92	0,98
7. małopolskie	90	0,95
8. śląskie	88	0,93
9. pomorskie	87	0,92
10. wielkopolskie	82	0,87
11. mazowieckie	79	0,84
12. dolnośląskie	78	0,83
13. podkarpackie	74	0,79
14. kujawsko-pomorskie	63	0,67
15. lubelskie	61	0,65
16. podlaskie	59	0,62

mean 94

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

Table XV/5

Voivodships in order of number of loans per 1 reader


	loans	standard score
1. wielkopolskie	21,6	1,11
2. dolnośląskie	20,9	1,08
3. śląskie	20,4	1,05
4. opolskie	20,4	1,05
5. lubelskie	19,7	1,02
6. pomorskie	19,4	1,00
7. podkarpackie	19,4	1,00
8. lubuskie	19,4	1,00
9. podlaskie	19,3	0,99
10. świętokrzyskie	18,9	0,97
11. kujawsko-pomorskie	18,8	0,97
12. mazowieckie	18,8	0,97
13. warmińsko-mazurskie	18,7	0,97
14. zachodniopomorskie	18,6	0,96
15. łódzkie	18,3	0,95
16. małopolskie	17,6	0,91

mean 19,4

The standardized index is a quotient of the named index for a given voivodship by the mean distribution of this index.

THE MAPS
of selected indices
by voivodships

Population per 1 library or 1 branch




Voivodships 2007

Population per 1 library or 1 branch

■ 2501–3500	(2)
■ 3501–4500	(8)
■ 4501–5500	(4)
■ 5501–6500	(1)
■ 6501–7500	(1)

PCs per 1 library or 1 branch




Voivodships 2007

PCs per 1 library or 1 branch

- 1,51–2,50 (4)
- 2,51–3,50 (9)
- 3,51–4,50 (2)
- 4,51–5,50 (1)

Number of volumes per 100 population



Voivodships 2007

Number of volumes per 100 population

- 251–300 (1)
- 351–350 (4)
- 351–400 (8)
- 401–450 (3)

Number of books bought per 100 population




Voivodships 2007

Number of books bought per 100 population

■ 7,1– 8,0	(2)
■ 8,1– 9,0	(7)
■ 9,1–10,0	(2)
■ 10,1–11,0	(3)
■ 11,1–12,0	(1)
■ 12,1–13,0	(1)

Loans per 1 librarian




Voivodships 2007

Loans per 1 librarian (outside a library)

- 6001–7000 (10)
- 7001–8000 (2)
- 8001–9000 (4)

Number of readers per 100 population




Voivodships 2007

Number of readers per 100 population

- 13,1–15,0 (2)
- 15,1–17,0 (6)
- 17,1–19,0 (6)
- 19,1–21,0 (2)

Loans per 100 population




Voivodships 2007

Loans per 100 population (outside a library)

251–300	(4)
301–350	(6)
351–400	(5)
401–450	(1)

Loans in reading rooms per 100 population



Voivodships 2007

Loans in reading rooms per 100 population

■	21– 60	(1)
■	61–100	(11)
■	101–140	(3)
■	141–180	(1)

ISBN 978-83-7009-697-7
ISSN 0137-2726